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## ANNEX 1 SAMPLING DESIGN

## I YEMEN HOUSEHOLD BUDGET SURVEY 2005-2006 SAMPLE DESIGN

1. The 2005-06 Household Budget Survey (HBS) is an important resource to estimate poverty, its proximate causes and effects of public action on poverty. The HBS provides the database for monitoring poverty as Yemen has just started implementing its second PRSP (2006-2010). This is the third HBS since the unification is 1990.
2. The main objectives of the HBS 2005/2006 are:

- Producing aggregates of the statistical indicators at the level of the urban and rural communities of each governorate in order to serve the purposes of economic and social development-planning on the central and local levels.
- Updating the National Accounts estimates in order to enable specialists and development planners to determine each governorate's share in the GDP, through the household's consumption structures.
- Collecting information about the variation in living standards between the urban and rural communities of each governorate, and between those of different governorates.


## Sample Frame and Stratification

3. The sample frame for the HBS was the 2004 Population Census. Yemen consists of 21 governorates. The study population was sorted into 38 strata. 17 governorates were represented by two strata (urban and rural,) whereas Sana'a City and Aden are only urban and Raima and Sana'a Region are only rural. This resulted in 19 urban strata and 19 rural strata.
4. Within each stratum, the sample was selected in two stages. In the first stage, a certain number of Census Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected with probability proportional to size (pps,) using as a measure of size the number of households according to the pre-census estimates available in January 2005. In the second stage, 12 households were picked from each EA by systematic equal probability sampling (seps). ${ }^{1}$
5. In order to produce estimates of consumption in all governorates of both rural and urban populations, the total sample of 1,200 EAs was distributed across strata by a combination of allocation proportional to size and equal allocation (see Box 1.) The final sample allocation is as show in Figure 1.
[^0]
## Box 1: Allocation of Sample Across Strata

The results of the 1998 Household Budget Survey were used to assign the sample size that needed to obtain accurate data at governorate level. The procedure used in allocating the sample households for the HBS 2004/2005 had the following steps:

1. $50 \%$ of the total sample was distributed proportional to the household counts of the strata.
2. $50 \%$ of the total sample size was distributed uniformly amongst strata.
3. Since the larger variation of the living conditions in urban communities result in higher expected standard error for these communities (based on data from HBS 1998), the sample was redistributed between urban and rural strata to achieve uniform expected relative standard errors for overall urban and rural strata (RSE 1.1\%). The total sample allocation had total of 9,228 urban and 5,172 rural households.
4. The results were adjusted to make the number of households in each governorate a multiple of 144 ( 12 EAs of 12 households each,) to facilitate the random allocation of the sample into the 12 months of fieldwork.

Figure 1: Yemen Household Budget Survey 2004-2005
(Sampling strata, allocation of the sample and Relative Standard Errors for Per Capita Consumption)

| Governorate | HH counts (2994) |  |  | PSUs |  |  | Nominal Sample (HHs) |  |  | R S E (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 11 lbb | 50,404 | 249,674 | 300,078 | 43 | 41 | 84 | 516 | 492 | 1,008 | 6.98 | 11.81 | 9.42 |
| 12 Abyan | 13,795 | 42,332 | 56,127 | 30 | 18 | 48 | 360 | 216 | 576 | 5.71 | 7.32 | 5.29 |
| 13 Sec . of the Capital | 247,668 |  | 247,668 | 156 |  | 156 | 1,872 |  | 1,872 | 4.44 |  | 4.44 |
| 14 Al Baydha | 13,424 | 53,004 | 66,428 | 29 | 19 | 48 | 348 | 228 | 576 | 7.70 | 10.98 | 7.95 |
| 15 Taiz | 79,029 | 283,521 | 362,550 | 56 | 40 | 96 | 672 | 480 | 1,152 | 6.14 | 10.13 | 7.51 |
| 16 Al Jawf | 7,682 | 47,940 | 55,622 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 7.51 | 13.52 | 11.33 |
| 17 Hajjah | 17,416 | 174,819 | 192,235 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 360 | 360 | 720 | 10.93 | 8.79 | 7.73 |
| 18 Al Hodiedah | 109,974 | 236,347 | 346,321 | 75 | 33 | 108 | 900 | 396 | 1,296 | 7.07 | 5.85 | 4.52 |
| 19 Hadramout | 56,084 | 63,137 | 119,221 | 41 | 19 | 60 | 492 | 228 | 720 | 17.92 | 24.68 | 15.67 |
| 20 Dhamar | 24,639 | 161,267 | 185,906 | 31 | 29 | 60 | 372 | 348 | 720 | 11.92 | 5.98 | 5.40 |
| 21 Shabwah | 8,657 | 41,101 | 49,758 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 252 | 180 | 432 | 7.83 | 13.94 | 11.75 |
| 22 Saadah | 13,620 | 70,513 | 84,133 | 28 | 20 | 48 | 336 | 240 | 576 | 5.41 | 5.65 | 4.77 |
| 23 Sanaa |  | 116,086 | 116,086 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 288 | 288 |  | 6.76 | 6.76 |
| 24 Aden | 89,605 |  | 89,605 | 72 |  | 72 | 864 |  | 864 | 4.61 |  | 4.61 |
| 25 Lahaj | 9,057 | 93,661 | 102,718 | 25 | 23 | 48 | 300 | 276 | 576 | 8.21 | 10.18 | 8.82 |
| 26 Marib | 3,728 | 23,653 | 27,381 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 9.21 | 13.34 | 10.79 |
| 27 Al Mahweet | 4,647 | 63,785 | 68,432 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 | 4.61 | 5.48 | 5.00 |
| 28 Al Mahrah | 5,459 | 5,705 | 11,164 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 144 | 144 | 288 | 14.90 | 12.38 | 9.63 |
| 29 Amran | 19,073 | 85,919 | 104,992 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 | 6.86 | 4.91 | 4.23 |
| 30 Al Dhalea | 8,094 | 51,010 | 59,104 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 11.21 | 6.54 | 5.84 |
| 31 Raimah |  | 55,086 | 55,086 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 288 | 288 |  | 6.40 | 6.40 |
| Total | 782,055 | 1,918,560 | 2,700,615 | 769 | 431 | 1,200 | 9,228 | 5,172 | 14,400 | 2.49 | 2.98 | 2.07 |

## ANNEX 2: CONSTRUCTING THE HBS DATABASE

## I Detection And Automatic Correction Of Outliers

1. We tried to fix the most extreme inconsistencies still remaining in the databases generated from the anthropometric and food consumption sections of the HBS. In order to automatically detect outliers we often used the same tools that will be used by subject matter specialists with analytic purposes later. We specifically used

- the World Health Organization (WHO) standard anthropometric tables, in order to assess the consistency between height, weight and age measures;
- food composition tables, in order to detect suspiciously low or high levels of food consumption, by way of the households' per capita energy intake; and
- specially developed unit price tables, in order to detect errors in the recording of quantities or amounts purchased.

2. Although our tools may have been the same, our objectives at this stage were very different from those that will be pursued by the thematic specialists in the analytic phase. For instance, in anthropometrics, we scrutinized the measures of children who seemed to be too heavy or too light for their age or height, but when doing this we were not trying to assess or qualify the nutritional status of Yemeni children - this will be the job of nutritional experts later on. We only wanted to detect possible measurement or recording errors. Similarly, we considered as doubtful the households who appeared to be consuming too few or too many calories, and the transactions with too small or too large unit prices, but our intention was not to assess the households' poverty status or the inter-regional or seasonal variation of prices - this will be done by poverty analysts and economic statisticians in the future.
3. Unwilling to qualify as inconsistent observations that are merely unlikely, but not necessarily impossible, our quality control criteria were in general much more lenient than those that specialists will use later to sort their subjects into analytic categories. For instance, whereas nutritionists will qualify as "wasted," "stunted," or "underweight" the kids for whom some of the measures are more that two standard deviations below their average values, we qualified as "inconsistent" the anthropometric measures beyond five standard deviations from the mean. In other words, very few, if any, of the kids we considered as outliers are likely to be genuinely small or large children - they are almost certainly outliers indeed.

## Non-standard conventions for missing values

4. In spite of instructions, some interviewers filled questionnaire fields with numbers such as " 999 " when they were unable to record the precise answers (they fortunately didn't have many opportunities to use this outdated convention to indicate that a question was not applicable, because the HBS questionnaire was explicitly designed to avoid such cases.) Such numbers can be very annoying at the analytic stage because they distort most results, including averages and standard errors.
5. We thoroughly scanned the HBS databases to detect these 999s and replace them with blanks (or periods, in their Stata and SPSS versions.) This was not trivial because the nonstandard convention was not used uniformly (sometimes " 99 ", " 9.99 " or other variants were used instead of " 999 ", ) and also because some of the 99 s could occasionally represent genuine amounts (such as supermarket promotions.)

## Anthropometrics

6. The objective of this phase was to replace by blanks the values with strong evidence of being wrongly recorded in the field. However, we kept the ones for which we cannot affirm that they are incorrect or whether they simply reflect the reality in Yemen. Certainly the nutritional analysts who will work with these data will make further analysis using advanced nutritional techniques and they may decide to remove other values as well.
7. For identifying anthropometric outliers we worked in close collaboration with Dr Abdul Baki Alzaemey, who defined the corresponding criteria. The criteria - based on the most recent World Health Organization Anthropometric tables, released in June 2006, were the following:

## For children up to 60 months:

- Replace the weight by a blank if the Z-score of weight for age is less than -6 or greater than +5 .
- Replace the height by a blank if the Z-score of height for age is less than -6 or greater than +6 .
For children 61 to 216 months ( 18 years) ${ }^{2}$ :
- Replace the weight by a blank if the Z-score of weight for age is less than -5 or greater than +5 .
- Replace the height by a blank if the Z-score of height for age is less than -4 or greater than +5 .
For individuals older than 18 years:
- Replace both weigh and height by blanks if the Body Mass Index (BMI) is less than 14.4 or greater than 44.

8. It is important to emphasize that we did not modify the original data on "Section 6: Anthropometrics" nor deleted any individual records. Instead we created two new variables with the values dictated by the above criteria: the new weight and height variables will be either equal to the originals or blanks.

## Food consumption

9. Section 14 contains the bulkiest and the most important part of the data collected by the HBS - the consumption and acquisition of food and some frequently purchased non-food items,

[^1]reported on a weekly basis. The detection and automatic correction of outliers in this section was concurrent with various other actions of data analysis and scrutiny, performed with the help of a dedicated program developed over the Excel/VBA platform. The program did not need to hold the whole database in memory. Instead it read the file twice on a record-by-record basis. The actions performed in each of the two program passes are described below.
10. The supporting workbook (Fix_S14B.xls) contains a spreadsheet with reference and summary data for all items in Section 14. Figure 2 below shows the first and last rows:

Figure 2: Reference and Summary Data Used for Scrutiny of Section 14

11. Columns C to G contain external technical coefficients used to estimate the energy supplied by each item. For most items, the number of Kilo-calories is reported in column G, in reference to the so-called field unit used to record the quantities, coded in Column C as 1 (kilos,) 2 (pieces) or 3 (liters.) For certain items (such as bread or spices) for which the HBS only recorded the amounts spent, not the quantities, columns D to F contain conversion coefficients used to estimate the quantities and energy intakes from the amounts.
12. The other columns contain internal coefficients, obtained by the program from the database itself during the first pass:

- Columns $\mathbf{G}$ to $\mathbf{R}$ refer to weekly purchases. Column $\mathbf{G}$ contains the number of weekly transactions reported, and Column $\mathbf{R}$ the median unit price. The other columns contain the mean and the standard deviation of the decimal logarithms of, respectively, the amounts spent, the quantities purchased, and the unit prices.
- Columns $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ contain the mean and the standard deviation of the decimal logarithm of the quantity consumed in the week.

13. During the second pass, the program uses these internal coefficients to detect unlikely combinations of item codes, amounts and quantities (when applicable,) and to eventually fix the problems so detected. (All operations are done with decimal logarithms, but this will not be said explicitly in the rest of this explanation, for the sake of simplicity.) If the unit price of a transaction is more than 4 standard deviations away from the mean, it is considered to be an outlier, and then either the amount or the quantity is fixed, depending on which of the two is farther from its respective mean, and as long as the other one is less than 3 standard deviations from its mean. The magnitude to be fixed is estimated from the correct one using the median price, unless there are reasons to assume that the problem is due to an accidental shift in the location of the decimal point (a common error of both interviewers and data entry operators,) in which case the correction is done by multiplying the incorrect magnitude by an adequate power of 10 .
14. The process will be illustrated with an example. One of the HBS interviewers reported that Household Number 2301801 purchased 1.5 kilos of imported wheat (food item code 102) for 7,400 Rials in the fourth survey week - an implicit unit price of almost 5,000 Rials per kilo. Based on the 11,303 purchases of imported wheat reported by the HBS for all households in the whole survey year, the program found that the mean and standard deviation of $\log _{10}$ (unit price) for imported rice were, respectively, 1.74657 and 0.08187 (see row 4 in Figure 2,) meaning that the lower and upper bounds for the acceptable unit prices are $10^{1.74657-4 \times 0.08187}$ and $10^{1.74657+4 \times 0.08187}$, or 26.25 and 118.59 Rials per kilo. The implicit unit price of 5,000 Rials per kilo is therefore too high to be credible. Either the amount paid must have been less that 7,400 Rials or the quantity purchased must have been more than 1.5 kilos. To decide which of the two is more likely to have been the case, the program considers that the average amount paid in all 11,303 purchases is $10^{3.18072}=1,516$ Rials and the average quantity purchased is $10^{1.43416}=27.17$ kilos. Since the 7,400 Rials amount spent in the suspicious transaction is only +1.4 standard deviations above the average, whereas the 1.5 kilos are -2.3 standard deviations below the average, the program decides that the quantity must be wrong and needs to be fixed. The median unit price of all 11,303 purchases is 54 Rials per kilo, which suggests that the real quantity purchased must have been around $7,400 / 54=137$ kilos. Since this is close to 150 , the program decides that the quantity must have been 150.0 kilos, but was wrongly recorded as 1.500 .
15. 2,970 of the 1.5 million transactions reported on Section 14 were fixed with this algorithm. As in the case of anthropometric measures, we did not modify any of the original data in Section 14. We just added three additional fields to each record - for the (eventually fixed) values of the amount spent, the quantity purchased and the quantity consumed from all sources (market, self-production or gifts.)
16. As a by-product of the scrutiny of Section 14 , the program computed the total per capita energy intake, the total per capita food expenditure and the share of food in total expenditure for each of the 13,227 present at that moment in the HBS databases. In agreement with Mr . Srinivasan and Ms El-Laithy, we subsequently dropped from the HBS databases the households for which all three indicators were low enough to make further analyses unreliable. We sorted into this category 91 households reported as consuming less than 800 $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{capita} /$ day, spending less than 1,000 Rials/capita/month on food and less than 10 percent of their budget on food.

## II Households Conserved In The Final Databases

17. The target sample size was 14,400 households. Four questionnaires never arrived to the data entry office, therefore only 13,396 were entered. Of those, 996 households were qualified by the field workers as either (1) interview not complete, (2) household empty or destroyed or (3) refusal; and were subsequently dropped from the databases by the CSO prior to our arrival. The same was done with 173 households without any food consumption recorded in the diary.
18. As said before, during the course of this mission we identified and removed form the databases an additional 91 households with extremely low food consumption. Figure 3 below gives the distribution of the remaining 13,136 households by Governorate and survey month.

Figure 3: Distribution of the Households in the HBS Databases
(by Governorate and Survey Month)

|  |  | Result of HH interviem |  |  |  | Food consumption |  | Usable households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Partial completed | Refused | HH <br> destroyed | HH empty | Not reported | Lown consumption |  |
| Govermoraie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lba | 1,008 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 18 | 8 | 933 |
| A.byan | 576 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 13 | 2 | 519 |
| Sana'a City | 1,872 | 76 | 14 | 7 | 69 | 37 | 14 | 1,655 |
| Al-Baida | 576 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 549 |
| Taiz | 1,152 | 44 | 13 | 5 | 32 | 13 | 13 | 1,032 |
| A.l-Jainof | 431 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 385 |
| Haija | 720 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 685 |
| Al-Hodeida | 1,296 | 20 |  | 2 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 1,218 |
| Hadramout | 720 | 19 |  | 2 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 666 |
| Dhamar | 720 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 681 |
| Shabowah | 432 | 28 | 7 | 3 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 359 |
| Sa'adah | 573 | 5 |  | 1 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 543 |
| Sana'a Region | 288 | 1 |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 267 |
| Aden | 864 | 32 | 14 | 3 | 61 | 27 | 11 | 716 |
| Laheg | 576 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 519 |
| Mareb | 432 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 382 |
| Al-Mahueet | 576 | 6 |  |  | 22 | 2 | 8 | 538 |
| Al-Muharh | 288 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 281 |
| Amran | 576 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 537 |
| Al-Dhale | 432 | 12 | 2 |  | 19 | 4 | 6 | 389 |
| Remah | 288 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 282 |
| Homb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1,200 | 39 | 2 | 3 | 30 | 6 | 11 | 1,109 |
| 2 | 1,200 | 35 | 7 | 4 | 32 | 9 | 6 | 1,107 |
| 3 | 1,200 | 42 | 8 | 8 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 1,083 |
| 4 | 1,200 | 48 | 8 | 2 | 47 | 11 | 6 | 1,078 |
| 5 | 1,197 | 37 | 11 | 2 | 55 | 14 | 9 | 1,069 |
| 6 | 1,200 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 50 | 15 | 3 | 1,090 |
| 7 | 1,200 | 29 | 11 | 4 | 47 | 15 | 5 | 1,089 |
| 8 | 1,200 | 29 | 2 | 6 | 48 | 18 | 7 | 1,090 |
| 9 | 1,200 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 42 | 11 | 6 | 1,114 |
| 10 | 1,200 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 42 | 20 | 9 | 1,104 |
| 11 | 1,199 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 46 | 18 | 10 | 1,105 |
| 12 | 1,200 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 46 | 22 | 12 | 1,098 |
| Todal | 14,396 | 345 | 76 | 52 | 523 | 173 | 91 | 13,136 |

## III Sampling Weights

19. (For a better understanding of this section we reproduce in Appendix 2 a summary description of the HBS sampling design prepared by Mr Srinivasan.)
20. During the course of this mission, we computed the sampling weights (or raising factors,) needed to produce unbiased estimates from the survey. We first computed the probability pijh of selecting household ijh in Enumeration Area (EA) jh of stratum h as

$$
p_{i j k}=\frac{k_{h} n_{j h}}{n_{h}} \frac{m_{j h}}{n_{j h}^{\prime}}
$$

where
$k_{h} \quad$ is the number of EAs selected in stratum $h$;
$n_{j h} \quad$ is the number of households in EA $j h$, according to the pre-census estimates available at the time the EAs were selected;
$n_{h} \quad$ is the number of households in stratum $h$, according to the pre-census estimates;
$m_{j h} \quad$ is the number of households in the final database in EA $j h$; and
$n_{j h}^{\prime} \quad$ is the number of households in EA $j h$, according to the final census figures.
We then computed the nominal weight $w_{i j h}$ of household $i j h$ as the inverse of its selection probability:

$$
w_{i j k}=\frac{1}{p_{i j h}}
$$

We finally computed the adjusted weight $\omega_{i j h}$ of household $i j h$ as

$$
\omega_{i j h}=w_{i j h} \frac{n_{h}^{\prime}}{\sum_{h} w_{i j h}}
$$

Where $n_{h}$ is the number of households in stratum $h$, according to the final census figures.
The final adjustment intends to have the HBS sum of weights match the official CSO number of households figures in all strata.

## IV Structure Of The Databases

21. All data files were organized into 14 themes and delivered to CSO in three formats: SPSS (.sav), Stata (.dta) and dbf. Each theme corresponds to a specific statistical unit:
22. Households: contains data on the cover, dwelling conditions and householdlevel information on agriculture and credits.
23. Individuals: demographics, education, health and unemployment.
24. Enterprises: general information about each family enterprise
25. Jobs: information on each job conducted by a household member during the past 12 months.
26. Wages: specific information on each job conducted by a household member for wages.
27. Crops: crops grown during the past 12 months.
28. Types of land: information on various types of agricultural land owned or operated by the household during the past 12 months.
29. Enterprise incomes/expenditures: income and expenditures on specific items for each family enterprise.
30. Other sources of income: information on non-work income received by household members during the past 12 months.
31. Durable goods: durable goods owned by the household.
32. Credits: Credits or loans obtained by household members.
33. Food consumption: Acquisition and consumption of food and other frequently purchased items.
34. Non-food consumption: Acquisition of non-food items
35. Anthropometrics: Weight and height of children up to 6 years old (and all household members during the last survey month.)
36. All records in the fourteen files contain the following key information:

- Household identification number
- Sampling weight
- Stratum (governorate and urban/rural)
- Cluster
- Governorate
- Area (urban/rural)
- Survey month
- Household size
- The complete content of each file is given in Appendix 1.


## V Conclusions And Recommendations

## No more data cleaning

23. The activities and actions developed during this mission are generally considered to be a part of the "data cleaning" phase of a household survey project. Two questions that can naturally be asked at this point are [1] Is the HBS database now totally consistent? and, [2] does
it need more "cleaning" before being delivered to end users for tabulation and analysis? The answer to the first question is probably not. The answer to the second question is definitely not.
24. We have already taken care of the most serious inconsistencies - those that could have led to wrong conclusions in poverty and nutritional analyses. Survey analysts are very likely to find more inconsistencies as a part of their endeavors, but this is not a reason for spending additional time and efforts to further refine the HBS data. There are in fact three powerful reasons for not doing this and delivering the HBS database to users as soon as possible.

- The first reason is that the databases generated by a survey as large and complex as the Yemen HBS can never be considered as perfect - there will be always something else that could be done, but the opportunity cost of doing it as a prerequisite for further tabulation is just too high. The database already represents a reality that is more than a year old, and it looses its policy-making value with each month that passes. Delivery is urgent.
- Another reason is that serious data analysts do understand that datasets from complex surveys are imperfect. They have analytic tools and expertise to deal with this situation and they prefer to do it themselves rather than relying of somebody else's criteria, especially when the later is not properly documented.
- The third reason is that solving the remaining inconsistencies may occasionally imply making imputations, which at this point - many miles and months away from the place and time where the data were collected - can only be made by guesswork.


## We strongly recommend not to submit the HBS to further "data cleaning."

## Use statistical software

25. The CSO has traditionally used tailor-made computer programs for tabulating census and survey data. We recommend that the institution evolves towards the use of standard statistical software (such as Ariel, Stata or SPSS) for this purpose. This will reduce the time and human resources needed to prepare tables and make the tables much more reliable. It will also foster analytic thinking throughout the institution, allow analysts to directly interact with the data, without intermediaries, and open the way to advanced models and techniques that can hardly be programmed on a case-by-case basis.
26. A simple illustration of the superiority of statistical software over tailor-made programs is the need to obtain weighed estimates from survey data. This is a non-trivial challenge for any programmer, but is easily solved by anyone using standard statistical software.

## Recommendations for future surveys

27. A key factor for the success of complex surveys is the effective integration of computerbased quality controls to fieldwork. This can be achieved by implementing a high-quality data entry program and deploying dedicated data entry operators and PCs to perform data entry and consistency controls on a household-by-household basis as a part of field operations, so that
errors and inconsistencies are solved by means of eventual revisits to the households. The direct benefits of this methodology are:

- it significantly improve the quality of the information collected by the survey, because the errors and inconsistencies will be detected while the interviewers are still in the field rather than by office "cleansing" later.
- it generates databases that are ready for tabulation and analysis in a timely fashion; in fact, as the survey is conducted, thus giving the survey managers the ability to effectively monitor field operations.
- it fosters the application of uniform criteria by all the interviewers and throughout the whole period of data collection,

28. The improvements in quality and timing of this alternative are such that we strongly recommend that the CSO considers using it for future rounds of the HBS and in any other complex surveys.
29. In future rounds of the HBS, the CSO may also consider to re-visit some of the same households already visited by the survey in 2004-2006. A panel survey of this kind would have many analytic advantages. If this is to an option, we strongly recommend entering the names of household members in the HBS database now. This is almost costless, very easy to do now that the paper forms are still in good conditions and the names are legible, and it would facilitate enormously the organization of a panel survey in the future. (The names should obviously be kept in the CSO's internal database only - not delivered to external data users.)

## APPENDIX 1YEMEN HOUSEHOLD BUDGET SURVEY 2005-2006

## STRUCTURE OF THE DATABASES

## 1. Data file: S00_HHOLD

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identification number |
|  | Weight | Sampüng weight |
|  | stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IhFORMATION | Couster | Custer number |
| KEY MFORMA TON | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Urban/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Cover | HH | HH number in cluster |
| Cover | DISTRICT | District |
| Cover | RESULT | Result of HH interview |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00301 | Type of house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00302 | Main material used for external walls |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00303 | Main material used for ceiling |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00304 | Main material used for floor |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00305 | Main Moy of water services to house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00306 | Main source of water (4-9 in ques. 305) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_1C | Person ID \#11 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_2C | Person ID \#22 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | Q0307_3C | Person ID \#3 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | Q0307_4C | Person ID \#4 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_5C | Person ID \#5 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_6C | Non HH member 98 code (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_1N | Person \#11 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00307_2N | Person \#2 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 00307_3N | Person \#3 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 00307_4N | Person \#4 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00307_5N | Person \#5 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_6N | Non HH member (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00308 | The average time(in minutes) for collecting water (for one timelone person |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00309 | Is the main water supply sufficient? |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00310 | How do you address shortage in water supply |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00311 | Mluays of treatment drinking water |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00312 | Type of sewage disposal |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00313 | Describe type of toilet you have |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00314 | Place of toilet |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00315 | Main source of lighting |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00316 | Power supply duration(daysimonth) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00317 | Power supply duration(hrs/day) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00318 | Kitchen status |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00319 | The main energy sources used for cooking |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003201 | Exposed to (Smoke from cars) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003202 | Exposed to (Smoke from cooking) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003203 | Exposed to (Animals' odor) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003204 | Exposed to (Sewer system odor) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003205 | Exposed to (Garbage odor) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003206 | Exposed to (Dust from factories) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 003207 | Exposed to (Dust or odors from other sources) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 003208 | Exposed to (Bad ventilation) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003209 | Exposed to (Dampness) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 0032010 | Exposed to (Stagnant water pool) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 0032011 | Exposed to (noise) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 0032012 | Exposed to (no nothing) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00321 | Main means of garbage disposal |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00322 | Number of rooms in total in house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00323 | Number of sleeping rooms in house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00324 | The legal status of the divelling |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00325 | Ability to sell diwelling |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00326 | Cost of duelling if sold(Ryyals) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 00327 | Monthly rent of dwelling if rented(Rjyals) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00328 | Monthly rent of renting other dwelling like the current(Rjyals) |

1. Data file: SOO_HHOLD

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Househoididentification number |
|  | Weight | Samping weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY SHFORMATIOM | Couster | Cluster number |
| KEY \% ORMA | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (UrDan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Cover | HH | HH number in cluster |
| Cover | DISTRICT | District |
| Cover | RESULT | Result of HH interview |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00301 | Type of house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00302 | Main material used for external walls |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00303 | Main material used for ceiling |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00304 | Main material used for floor |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00305 | Main lilay of water services to house |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00306 | Main source of mater (4-9 in ques. 305) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_1C | Person ID \#1 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_2C | Person ID \#\#2 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_3C | Person ID \# ${ }_{\text {P }}$ (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_4C | Person ID \#4 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_5C | Person ID \#5 (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_6C | Non HH member 98 code (responsible of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307.1 N | Person \#1 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_2N | Person \#22 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307_3N | Person \#3 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | $00307 \_4 \mathrm{~N}$ | Person \#4 (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00307.5 N | Person \# (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003076 N | Non HH member \# \# (Number of times of fetching water) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00308 | The average time(in minutes) for collecting water (for one timejone person |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00309 | Is the main water supply sufficient? |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00310 | How do you address shortage in water supply |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00311 | lulays of treatment drinking water |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00312 | Type of sewage disposal |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00313 | Describe type of toilet you have |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00314 | Place of toilet |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00315 | Main source of lighting |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00316 | Power supply duration(daysimonth) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00317 | Power supply duration(hrs/day) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 00318 | Kitchen status |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00319 | The main energy sources used for cooking |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003201 | Exposed to (Smoke from cars) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003202 | Exposed to (Smoke from cooking) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003203 | Exposed to (Animals' odor) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003204 | Exposed to (Sewer system odor) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003205 | Exposed to (Garbage odor) |
| Section 3. Dwelling Conditions | 003206 | Exposed to (Dust from factories) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003207 | Exposed to (Dust or odors from other sources) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 003208 | Exposed to (Bad ventilation) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 003209 | Exposed to (Dampness) |
| Section 3. Duvelling Conditions | 0032010 | Exposed to (Stagnant water pool) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 0032011 | Exposed to (noise) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 0032012 | Exposed to (no nothing) |
| Section 3. Dupelling Conditions | 00321 | Main means of garbage disposal |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00322 | Number of rooms in total in house |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00323 | Number of sleeping rooms in house |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00324 | The legal status of the dovelling |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00325 | Ability to sell dowelling |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00326 | Cost of dwelling if sold(Riyals) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00327 | Monthly rent of diwelling if rented(Rjyals) |
| Section 3. Duelling Conditions | 00328 | Monthly rent of renting other dovelling like the current(Piyals) |

Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities

Manage plots of land
Measuring unit
Total area of the plots
Did you hire non-household members in agric activities during the past 12 M
How many hire non-household members? (males)
How many hire non-household members? (temales)
Any livestock producing activities?
Number of Camels
Number of Cows
Number of Sheep \&Goats
Number of Chicken
Number of Bee-hives
Number of Donkeys
Number of Horses
Number of Pigeon
Number of Rabbit
Number of other animals
Did the HH sell any animals during the last 12 months?
How much did your HH receive from sales of animals during the past 12 M
Did any agricultural extension officers provide you with technical advice?
Expenses on Fertiliser
Expenses on Pesticides
Expenses on Seeds and seedlings
Expenses on Bags and tarpaulins
Expenses on Farm tools
Expenses on Fuel for drying crops
Expenses on Tractor hire (including fuel cost)
Expenses on Hire Irrigation equipment
Expenses on Transportation
Expenses on Agricultural credit repayment
Expenses on Seeds and young plants
Expenses on inorkers
Expenses on Fuel (for vehicles, drying crops, irrigation, etc.)
Expenses on Irrigation (do not include fuel)
Expenses on Storage facilities
Expenses on Improvements on land or buildings
Expenses on Repair and maintenance of equipment
Expenses on Fodder
Expenses on Veterinary
Expenses on Repayment of loans
Expenses on Other 1
Expenses on Other 2
Expenses on Other 3
Expenses on TOTAL
Is any of the HH members works in fishing during the last 12 morths?
Owner of the boat you work on?
What kind of technologies do you use for fishing?
Does the boat you work on allow for overnight fishing?
Does the boat have storage facility?
What is the total storage capacity of the boat(KG)
Do you use any referigeration facilites?
Expenses on (Norker fees(cash\&in-kind))during the past 12 months
Expenses on (lce)during the past 12 months
Expenses on (Repair and maintenance of nets and traps)during the past 12M
Expenses on (Boat Fuel and repair and maintenance of boat)during the past
Expenses on (Boat rent (cash))during the past 12 months
Expenses on (Transportiation of fish to market)during the past 12 morths
Expenses on (Services(technical assistance))during the past 12 months
Expenses on (other)during the past 12 months
Expenses on (Total)during the past 12 months
Receive from (Proceeds from sale of fish) during the past 12 months
Receive from (Value of fish,shrimp consumed in household ) during the past
Receive from (Value of fish given away as gitt, charity, barter) during the past

Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities Section 13: Loans and credits Section 13: Loans and credits Section 13: Loans and credits

00932 _4
00932_5
Q0932_6 Receive from (Value of fish used for animal feed) during the past 12 months
Q0932_7 Receive from (Value of fish used for other ) during the past 12 months
Q0932_8 Receive from (Total) during the past 12 months
01301 Does the HH have outstanding loans, debts to the others
Q1312 It the HH need a loan can get it?
01313
2. Data file: S01_INDIV

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identifation number |
|  | Weight | Sampuing weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY MFORMATION | Couster | Custer number |
| KEY MFORMA | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Uman/Rural) |
|  | Month | Sunvey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | IND_ID | Individual identification number |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00102 | Sex |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00103M | Age(months) |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00103Y | Age(year) |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00104M | Birth date(months) |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | Q0104Y | Birth date(year) |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00105 | Relationship |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00106 | Nationality |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | Q0107 | Born in this place |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00108_V | Village/City of birth |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | Q0108_D | District of birth |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00108_G | Governorate/Countery of birth |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00109 | Marital Status |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00110 | Spouse's id code |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00111 | Mother id |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00112 | Father id |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00113 | Person away from HH during the past 12 months |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00114 | Reasons for been away |
| Section 1: Demographic Information | 00115 | Number of months been away during the past 12 months |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0401 | Suffer from a disability or chroinc illness |
| Section 4. Health | 00402 | Kind of disability |
| Section 4. Heatth | 00403 | Kind of chroinc illness |
| Section 4. Heath | 00404 | Hown did name become disabled or chronically ill |
| Section 4. Heatth | 00405 | When did name become disabled or chronically ill YEAR |
| Section 4. Heatth | 00406_1 | Source of support (1) |
| Section 4. Health | 00406_2 | Source of support (2) |
| Section 4. Heath | 00406_3 | Source of support (3) |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0406_4 | Source of support (4) |
| Section 4. Heath | 00406_5 | Source of support (5) |
| Section 4. Heath | 00406_6 | Source of support (6) |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0406_7 | Source of support (7) |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0407 | IWas(name) sufferd from an accident or illness during the past monthn(not include |
| Section 4. Heath | 00408 | What type of illness or accident did name suffer during the last month (illnesses |
| Section 4. Heath | 00409 | What type of illness or accident did name suffer during the last month (accidents |
| Section 4. Heath | 00410 | Did name receive medical care because of this illness or accident |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0411_1 | Where did receive medical care |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0411_2 | Establishment name |
| Section 4. Heath | 00412 | Where is this heatth facility? |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0413_1 | Transportation cost to the heath facilly (Money spent during past morth) |
| Section 4. Heatth | 00413_2 | Medicine's cost(Money spent during past month) |
| . Section 4. Heath | Q0413_3 | Medical fees(Money spent during past month) |
| Section 4. Heath | Q0413_4 | Total(Money spent during past morth) |
| Section 4. Heatth | 00414 | Why(name) didn't receive medical care for this illness or accident? |

Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 4. Heath
Section 4. Heatth
Section 5 . Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 5. Education
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section s. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section \& Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search
Section 8. Unemployment and job search

00415
00416
00417
00418
Q0419
00420_1
Q0420_2
00421
00422
00423
Q0424
Q0425
Q0426
00427
00428
Q0429
00430
00431
Q0432
00433
00434
00435
00501
00502
00503
00504
00505_C
00505_L
00506
00507
Q0508
00509
Q0510_C
00510_L
00511
00512
Q0513
00514
00515
00516
00517
00518
Q0519_1
Q0519_2
00519_3
Q0519_4
$00519-5$
Q0519_6
Q0519_7
00519_8
00801
00802
00803
00804
00805
00806_1
00806_2
00806_3
00806_4
00807
00808
00809
00810_M
00810_Y

Does name smoke?
Does name chew qat?
How many days does name chew
Has the name been exposed to chemical fertilizer or pesticides during the past
Has name ever suffered from a lesion/diseas due to job
type of diseases (job related)
type of injuries from accidents (job related)
With regard to the worst accidentdisease.injury, how severe was it?
Do you regulary see a heatth professional?
Recived any medical care during delivery(women 10-49 ever married)
Suffered from delivery complication(women 10-49 ever married)
Currently pregnant(women 10-49 ever married)
Depended totaly on breast feeding the first six months(child 5 years and less)
Has the child immunization(child 5 years and less)
Does (child) have immunization card(child 5 years and less)
Has the child taken $T B$ vaccination(child 5 years and less)
Has the child taken Polio vaccination(child 5 years and less)
Howi many times the child take vaccination against Polio(child 5 years and less)
Has the child taken DPT(child 5 years and less)
How many times the child take vaccination against DPT (child 5 years and less)
Has the child taken measle(child 5 years and less)
Has the child taken hapatiies(child 5 years and less)
Attended school
Reasons not attended school
ead and write
Ever attended literacy classes
Last class the name complete it succefuly
Educational level
Whats the highest grade (name) has completed?
Years the name spend in studying including the repaing
Currentily enrolled in school or other educational organization
Whas name enrolled in school in the past 12 months
Class is currently enrolled in school
Educational level
Write the school name which (name) currently enrolled
School Type
Old was (name) when entered first class
Is (name) still enrolled in school, unversity or droped out
The main reason for dropped out or not enrolled
Receive a scholarship andior financial assistance from out of the household
Receive in total value of all benefts from out of school in the past 12 months
Receive in total value of all benefits from school in the past 12 months
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(School fees)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(Uniform school)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(sport clothes)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(Books and school supplies)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(Food, board and lodging)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(Transport)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(others)
HH Spend on education in the past 12 months(Total)
Morking houres during the past seven days
Reasons for not working during the past seven days
don't want more work (exclude household work in won home)
Why dont you want want work(more work)
When did you last take any action to look for work of any type
Steps to find work or more work (first answer)
Steps to find work or more work (2nd answer)
Steps to find work or more work (3nd answer)
Steps to find work or more work (4nd answer)
Was this person a wage job during the past 12 months
Have you ever had a full-ime wage job
Type of anther work did the person do before the last 12 months
When (morth) did the person stop the last tull time job he had?
When (years) did the person stop the last tull ime job he had?

## 3. Data file: S02_Entreprises

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_S | Househoidioentification number |
|  | Weight | Sampöng weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IMFORMATION | Couster | Cluster number |
| KEY WFORMA TON | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Uboan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 2. Activities | ENT_HB | Entreprise Number |
| Section 2. Activities | 00205 | Activity Code |
| Section 2. Activities | 00206_1 | Person ID (Main responsible) |
| Section 2. Activities | 00206_2 | Person ID (2nd responsible) |
| Section 2. Activities | 00206_3 | Person ID (3rd responsible) |
| Section 2. Activities | 00206_4 | Person ID (4th responsible) |
| Section 2. Activities | 00206_5 | Person ID (5th responsible) |

4. Data file: S02_Jobs

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_S | Househoididentification number |
|  | Weight | Samping weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IWFORWATION | Couster | Ciuster number |
| KıY\% | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Undan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 2. Activities | IND_IN | Individual ID |
| Section 2. Activities | JSN | Job serial number |
| Section 2. Activities | 00201 | Occupation Code |
| Section 2. Activities | 00202 | Type of work |
| Section 2. Activities | 00202_C | Enterprise code |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_T | Number of working months(during the past 12 months) |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_01 | January |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_02 | February |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_03 | March |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_04 | April |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_05 | May |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_06 | June |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_07 | July |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_08 | August |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_09 | September |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_10 | October |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_11 | November |
| Section 2. Activities | 00203_12 | December |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_1 | litorking hours on Saturday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_2 | Whorking hours on Sunday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_3 | Molorking hours on Monday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_4 | lliorking hours on Tuesday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_5 | liforking hours on illednesday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_6 | lutorking hours on Thursday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_7 | llorking hours on Friday |
| Section 2. Activities | 00204_T | Total number of llorking hours during the past week |

## 5. Data file: S07_Wage

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_O | Household identification number |
|  | Weight | Samping weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY SWFORMATION | Couster | Cduster number |
| KEY \% ORM\% | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (U'Dan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | IND_ID | Individual ID |
| Section 7. Wlage earnings | JSN | Job serial number from field(q201) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | 50702 | Economic Activity |
| Section 7. Vlage earnings | 50703 | Economic Sector |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | 50704 | Temporary job funded by the one of the instituations of teh social security neton |
| Section 7. Vlage earnings | S0705 | Program funded temporary job |
| Section 7. llage earnings | S0706 | Hours of work (hrsimeek) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | S0707M | Since when have (name) worked for this employer (months) |
| Section 7. Vllage earnings | S0707Y | Since when have (name) worked for this employer(years) |
| Section 7. llage earnings | S0708 | How to get the job |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | S0709 | Period of geting salery |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | S0710_1 | Benetts getting from job(Health care coverage) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | S0710_2 | Benetts getting from job(Pension) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | 80710_3 | Benetts getting from job(Paid leave) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | 50711 | Last pay (Rjyals) |
| Section 7. Mlage earnings | 80712 | Usual amount (Rjyals) |
| Section 7. Miage earnings | 50713 | Total additional fees either in cash or in-kind(Ryyals) |

## 6. Data file: S09_Crops

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identification number |
|  | Weight | Samoling weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IWFORMATION | Couster | Cluster number |
| KеY\%\%尺Ma\% | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Undan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Section 9: Agricuture and fishing activities | S09_CC | Crop code |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 80911 | 1 Yes |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 80912_0. | How much did you produce (Quantity) |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | S0912_U | How much did you produced (Unit) |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 80913 | How much did you sell or expect to sell (Quantity)? |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 80914 | Price per units specilized in Q.(912) Rjyals/Unit |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 80915 | Total sales(Riyals) |

## 7. Data file: S09_Type Of Land

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KEY TWFORMATHON | HH_D | Household identifation number |
|  | Weight | Sampüng weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
|  | Couster | Cluster number |
|  | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Undan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 00904 | Type of ownership |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 00905 | Area of the plots |
| ${ }^{-}$Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 00906 | ID CODE of owner |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 00907 | Expected amount for similar land |
| Section 9: Agriculture and fishing activities | 00908 | Number of cultivated seasons during the past 12 months |

8. Data file: S11_0ther Income

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identification number |
|  | Weight | Samoing weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
|  | Couster | Ciuster number |
|  | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Undan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Sunvel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 10: Private Business Activities | ENT_NB | Enterprise Number |
| Section 10: Private Business Activities | S10_LN | Line number (Cost / Revenue / others) |
| Section 10: Private Business Activities | S10_AMNT | Cost/Number |

## 9. Data file: S11_Other Income

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Househoididentification number |
|  | Weight | Sampling weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IMFORMATION | Couster | Ciuster number |
| KıY\% | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (U'ban/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survely month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 11: Other income | IND_ID | Individual ID |
| Section 11: Other income | S11_SC | Source code |
| Section 11: Other income | S11_INCOME | Income during 12 months (Rjyals) |

10. Data file: S12_Durables

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identication number |
|  | Weight | Sampling weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
|  | Couster | Ciuster number |
|  | Govem | Govermorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Unan/Rural) |
|  | Month | Sunvel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |
| Section 12: Inventory of durable goods | Q1201C | Durable good |
| Section 12: Inventory of durable goods | 01201N | Number owned |
| Section 12: Inventory of durable goods | 01202 | The cost if presented (Rivals) |

11. Data file: S13_Credits

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Househoidioentification number |
|  | Weight | Samping weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IMFORMATION | Corster | Cluster number |
| KEY WFORMA TON | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Uban/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survel month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | LH | Loan number |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01302 | Source of the loan |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01303 | Main reason for borrowed the money |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01304_M | When you get the loan? (month) |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01304_Y | When you get the loan? (year) |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01305 | Period in months to setle the loan |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01306 | What was the total amount of the loan?(no interset( |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01307 | What's the type of loan? |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01308_C | How everage monthly/Y/ITOT interest? (code) |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01308_R | How everage monthly $M$ M $/$ TOT interest? (Average \%) |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01309 | How much estimited amount from loan payment (including interests)? |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | Q1310_1 | Person (1) ID of HH responsible for paying-back |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01310_2 | Person (2) ID of HH repbonsible for paying-back |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01310_3 | Person (3) ID of HH responsible for paying-back |
| Section 13: Loans and credits | 01311 | Could you borrow from the same source again |

12. Data file: S06_Anthropo

| Section | Variable |  | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identication number |  |
|  | Weight | Samoling weight |  |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |  |
| EY SHFORMATION | Couster | Cluster number |  |
| - | Govem | Governorate code |  |
|  | Area | Area (Undan/Rural) |  |
|  | Month | Survey month |  |
|  | HH_size | Size of the household |  |
| Section 6: Anthropometrics | IND_ID | Individual ID |  |
| Section 6: Anthropometrics | MEASURED | litas the (name) measured? |  |
| Section 6: Anthropometrics | REASON | Reason not measured |  |
| Section 1: | Gender | Gender |  |
| Calculated | AgeMonths | Age in months |  |
| Section 6: Anthropometrics | 00604_H | Height in CM from 56 |  |
| Section 6: Anthropometrics | 00606_10' | lueight in $K G$ from $S 6$ |  |
| Calculated | ZIUAA | ZScore lineight by Age |  |
| Calculated | 2SA | ZScore Stature by Age |  |
| Calculated | ZIWS | ZScore Illeight by Stature |  |
| Calculated | BMI | Body mass index |  |
| Section 1: | DoB_M | Birth date (Morths) |  |
| Section 1: | DoB_Y | Birth date (Year) |  |
| Calculated | ACTION | Action proposed' |  |
| Calculated | HEIGHT_CM | Height CM |  |
| Calculated | WUEIGHT_KG | lilueight KG |  |

## 13. Data file: S14_Diary

| Section | Variable | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH_D | Household identification number |
|  | Weight | Sampöng weight |
|  | Stratum | Stratum |
| KEY IHFORMATION | Couster | Cluster number |
| KEY WFORMA TON | Govem | Governorate code |
|  | Area | Area (Uban/Rural) |
|  | Month | Survey month |
|  | HH_size | Size of the househoid |
| Section 14: Mdeekly consumption of food | WEEK | luteek number |
| Section 14: Wheekly consumption of food | CODE | Hem code |
| Section 14: Mtjeekly consumption of food | P_AMNT | Purchases (amount in Ryals) |
| Section 14: Mteekly consumption of food | P_OTY | Purchases (quantity) |
| Section 14: Vieekly consumption of food | UNIT | Unit of measurement |
| Section 14: Inteekly consumption of food | C_MKT_O | Quantity consumed from the Market |
| Section 14: Wheekly consumption of food | C_SELF_0 | Quantity consumed from the Self production |
| Section 14: Mbeekly consumption of food | C_GIFT_0 | Quantity consumed from the Gifts |
| Section 14: Inteekly consumption of food | V_SELF_AM | Value of what was Selt-produced |
| Section 14: Wieekly consumption of food | V_GIFT_AMN | Value of what was received free |
| Section 14: Wieekly consumption of food | ACTION | ACTION |
| Calculated | Purch_V | Old or Adjusted value of purchases |
| Calculated | Purch_0 | Old or Adjusted quantity purchased |
| Calculated | Consu_0. | Old or Adjusted quantity consumed |

## 14. Data file: S151617_Non-food



## Appendix 2 Constructing The Household Budget Survey Database

1. The 2005-06 Household Budget Survey (HBS) is an important resource to estimate poverty, its proximate causes and effects of public action on poverty. The HBS provides the database for monitoring poverty as Yemen has just started implementing its second PRSP (2006-2010). This is the third HBS since the unification is 1990.
2. The main objectives of the HBS 2005/2006 are:
3. Producing aggregates of the statistical indicators at the level of the urban and rural communities of each governorate in order to serve the purposes of economic and social development-planning on the central and local levels.
4. Updating the National Accounts estimates in order to enable specialists and development planners to determine each governorate's share in the GDP, through the household's consumption structures.
5. Collecting information about the variation in living standards between the urban and rural communities of each governorate, and between those of different governorates.

## Sample Frame and Stratification

3. The sample frame for the HBS was the 2004 Population Census. Yemen consists of 21 governorates. The study population was sorted into 38 strata. 17 governorates were represented by two strata (urban and rural,) whereas Sana'a City and Aden are only urban and Raima and Sana'a Region are only rural. This resulted in 19 urban strata and 19 rural strata.
4. Within each stratum, the sample was selected in two stages. In the first stage, a certain number of Census Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected with probability proportional to size ( $p p s$, ) using as a measure of size the number of households according to the pre-census estimates available in January 2005. In the second stage, 12 households were picked from each EA by systematic equal probability sampling (seps). ${ }^{3}$
5. In order to produce estimates of consumption in all governorates of both rural and urban populations, the total sample of 1,200 EAs was distributed across strata by a combination of allocation proportional to size and equal allocation (see Box 2.) The final sample allocation is as show in Figure 3.
[^2]
## Box 2: Allocation of Sample across Strata

The results of the 1998 Household Budget Survey were used to assign the sample size that needed to obtain accurate data at governorate level. The procedure used in allocating the sample households for the HBS 2004/2005 had the following steps:

1. $50 \%$ of the total sample was distributed proportional to the household counts of the strata.
2. $50 \%$ of the total sample size was distributed uniformly amongst strata.
3. Since the larger variation of the living conditions in urban communities result in higher expected standard error for these communities (based on data from HBS 1998), the sample was redistributed between urban and rural strata to achieve uniform expected relative standard errors for overall urban and rural strata (RSE $1.1 \%$ ). The total sample allocation had total of 9,228 urban and 5,172 rural households.
4. The results were adjusted to make the number of households in each governorate a multiple of 144 (12 EAs of 12 households each,) to facilitate the random allocation of the sample into the 12 months of fieldwork.

Figure 4: Yemen Household Budget Survey 2004-2005 Sampling Strata
(Allocation of the Sample and Relative Standard Errors for Per Capita Consumption)

| Governorate | HH counts (2994) |  |  | PSUs |  |  | Nominal Sample (HHs) |  |  | R S E (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 11 lbb | 50,404 | 249,674 | 300,078 | 43 | 41 | 84 | 516 | 492 | 1,008 | 6.98 | 11.81 | 9.42 |
| 12 Abyan | 13,795 | 42,332 | 56,127 | 30 | 18 | 48 | 360 | 216 | 576 | 5.71 | 7.32 | 5.29 |
| 13 Sec . of the Capital | 247,668 |  | 247,668 | 156 |  | 156 | 1,872 |  | 1,872 | 4.44 |  | 4.44 |
| 14 Al Baydha | 13,424 | 53,004 | 66,428 | 29 | 19 | 48 | 348 | 228 | 576 | 7.70 | 10.98 | 7.95 |
| 15 Taiz | 79,029 | 283,521 | 362,550 | 56 | 40 | 96 | 672 | 480 | 1,152 | 6.14 | 10.13 | 7.51 |
| 16 Al Jawf | 7,682 | 47,940 | 55,622 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 7.51 | 13.52 | 11.33 |
| 17 Hajjah | 17,416 | 174,819 | 192,235 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 360 | 360 | 720 | 10.93 | 8.79 | 7.73 |
| 18 Al Hodiedah | 109,974 | 236,347 | 346,321 | 75 | 33 | 108 | 900 | 396 | 1,296 | 7.07 | 5.85 | 4.52 |
| 19 Hadramout | 56,084 | 63,137 | 119,221 | 41 | 19 | 60 | 492 | 228 | 720 | 17.92 | 24.68 | 15.67 |
| 20 Dhamar | 24,639 | 161,267 | 185,906 | 31 | 29 | 60 | 372 | 348 | 720 | 11.92 | 5.98 | 5.40 |
| 21 Shabwah | 8,657 | 41,101 | 49,758 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 252 | 180 | 432 | 7.83 | 13.94 | 11.75 |
| 22 Saadah | 13,620 | 70,513 | 84,133 | 28 | 20 | 48 | 336 | 240 | 576 | 5.41 | 5.65 | 4.77 |
| 23 Sanaa |  | 116,086 | 116,086 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 288 | 288 |  | 6.76 | 6.76 |
| 24 Aden | 89,605 |  | 89,605 | 72 |  | 72 | 864 |  | 864 | 4.61 |  | 4.61 |
| 25 Lahaj | 9,057 | 93,661 | 102,718 | 25 | 23 | 48 | 300 | 276 | 576 | 8.21 | 10.18 | 8.82 |
| 26 Marib | 3,728 | 23,653 | 27,381 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 9.21 | 13.34 | 10.79 |
| 27 Al Mahweet | 4,647 | 63,785 | 68,432 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 | 4.61 | 5.48 | 5.00 |
| 28 Al Mahrah | 5,459 | 5,705 | 11,164 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 144 | 144 | 288 | 14.90 | 12.38 | 9.63 |
| 29 Amran | 19,073 | 85,919 | 104,992 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 | 6.86 | 4.91 | 4.23 |
| 30 Al Dhalea | 8,094 | 51,010 | 59,104 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 | 11.21 | 6.54 | 5.84 |
| 31 Raimah |  | 55,086 | 55,086 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 288 | 288 |  | 6.40 | 6.40 |
| Total | 782,055 | 1,918,560 | 2,700,615 | 769 | 431 | 1,200 | 9,228 | 5,172 | 14,400 | 2.49 | 2.98 | 2.07 |

AnNEx 3 QUESTIONNAIRE
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Section one : Household roster
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Section one: Household Roster
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Section two : Activities
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Section Three: Household Roster

|  | Question | Answer |  |  | Question | Answer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 315 | What's the main source of lighting? | 1- Public network  <br> Write  <br> 2- Cooperative network  <br> No.  <br> and   <br> 3- pervatinu  <br>  network <br> 4- heuse generator  | Write answe <br> .No | 321 | how you remove the garbage disposal? | Thrown out in the - 1 (allocatad apotibarre) <br> Taken out to the -2 gurbage collection car Thrown out in the - 3 nearest sopt, stree | Write answe .No |
|  |  | 4- heuse generator |  | 322 | How many rooms in the house exclucle bathrooms and kitchens? | record rooms No. |  |
|  |  |  |  | 323 | How many bedrooms are in the house? | record bedrooms No. |  |
| 316 | How many dayz se eleotrioity available during she month? | record day No. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 317 | how many days the olectricity available in the day? | record houres No. | Houre |  |  |  |  |
| 318 | what's the Kitchen status? | 1- Indoors private <br> 2- Outdoors private <br> 3- indoors \& shared <br> 4- Outdoors \& shared <br> 5- there is no kitchen | Write answe .No $\square$ | 324 | What's the legal status of the dewlling? | 1-Owned Continue <br>  <br>  | Write answe r No. $\square$ |
| 319 | what are the main sources used for cooking? | 1-Wood <br> 2- Coal <br> 3- Gas <br> 4- Kerosene <br> 5- electricity <br> 6- Garbage <br> 7-Animals dung <br> 8- other specify | Write answe: No | 325 | Could you aell this dweilling if you wanted to? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 326 | IF you sold this dwelling today,how much you receive for it? | Rlyals |  |
|  |  |  |  | 327 | What's the monthly rent expected to recelve it in case you let this dwolling to another person? | Riyals |  |
| 320 | is the house or part of it, has any of the following enviorment? <br> (More answers) | 1-Cars odor and smoke |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3-Animals odor <br> 4- Bad smile from <br> Bathroom $\qquad$ <br> narhome <br> 6- smokes from tactories |  | $328$ | How much you will pay if you haired similer house? | Riyals | 111 |
|  |  | 7-smile, smokes from other <br> 8-bad airing <br> 9-Humidity <br> 10- The house near dull water <br> 11- nolse <br> 12-nothing from this <br> enviroment |  |  |  | + |  |

## Questionnaire Page 9

Section Four: A Health(Diseases and illnesses)
1 : J
401- Is there any of the household members suffer from a disability of choonic iliness 1-Yes Continue
2-No- Move to Que.(407)
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## Section Four:B Health(Accidents and Diseases)
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Section Four:B: Health (illness and accidents)

| for all household members |  |  |  |  |  |  | members 10 years and more |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 으늘 | where is this health facility | how much was spent in total for this accident/iliness during the past month? <br> write the cost and move to Q. 415 |  |  |  |  | Does <br> name <br> smok <br> e? <br> 1- res <br> $2-N o$2- | Does name chew qat? | how <br> many <br> days <br> does <br> name <br> chew <br> 1-Dally |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Transporitan } \\ \text { Son cost } \end{array}$ | medicineine <br> 8 cost | Medical fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to the } \\ & \text { heoter } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2-three <br> days a week |  |
|  | 2-same diastric district within | taneiny |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mo Gowe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { move } \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 4-ence in |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6-\mathrm{in}$ eve |  |
|  | Write the minwer Ne. | Riyals | Reyale | Ryyalo | Rlyals | mate the mewar Mo. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wrie the } \\ & \text { enswer } \end{aligned}$ | Whate the mamer No. |  |
|  | 412 |  | 41 |  |  | 414 | 415 | 416 | 417 | 418 |
| 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03 |  |  |  |  | $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04 |  | 111 | 1 | 11 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05 |  |  | 1 |  | IIL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 |  |  |  |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 |  |  |  |  | TT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | TI | 1. |  | 711 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 111 | IT: | III |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 1 | I | I | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 1. |  | , | ! |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  | Section Four:C Health (Work Accidents) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ars ${ }^{\text {rabed }}$ | mim |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { old members } \\ & \text { what type of dis } \end{aligned}$ | rs 6 Years and discases did y |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ment |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Are } \\ \text { you } \end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {reces sive any }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jinjury, how } \\ & \text { severe was it? } \end{aligned}$ | injuries from |  |  |  |
|  | she |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nety } \\ & \text { pege } \\ & \text { pen } \end{aligned}$ | Jomer | cara during |  |  |  | -2 Eye infecti |  |  |
|  |  | deliven |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \%stamem |  | matom s. | -5 requatory -6 Necck pains |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% |  |  | Ancmbib |  |  |
|  |  | -Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425 | 424 | ${ }_{\text {cortioas }}^{423}$ | ${ }^{422}$ | 421 |  | 420 |  |  |
|  |  | - | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | a | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , | $\square$ |  | - |  |  |  | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Section Four: D Health Preventive health care and Vaccinations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For all Children 5 Years and less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Has the baby depended totally on breast feeding during the first six months? <br> 1-Yes <br> 2-No | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { was the } \\ \text { child } \\ \text { immunizati } \\ \text { on? } \end{array}$ | Does(child)haveanimmunizationcard? | if the child has the immunization card, record the following information, if not ask the mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Has the child taken TB vaccin ation? | Has the child taken Polio vaccination ? | How many numbers the child take vaccinate d against Polio? | Has the child taken DPT? | How many numbers the child take vaccinated against DPT? | Has the child taken Measle s? | Has the child taken hapatiti $s ?$ |
|  |  | 1-Yes Continue <br> 2-No $\qquad$ <br> Move ta second child |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}$ | 1-Yes Continu <br> 2-No $\qquad$ move to Q . 432 | No. of doses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes Continue } \\ & \text { 2- No } \\ & \text { move to } Q \text {. } \\ & 434 \end{aligned}$ | No. of doses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2- No } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
|  | 426 | 427 | 428 | 429 | 430 | 431 | 432 | 433 | 434 | 435 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | - | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\square$ |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
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Section five: Education
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Section six : Anthropometrics

| For all children 6 years and less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | was [name] measured? <br> 1 Yes <br> write No. one and move to Q. 603 <br> 2 No <br> write No. (2) and continue | Why <br> [name]wa <br> s not <br> measured <br> $?$ <br>  <br>  <br> -1 not at home <br> sik <br> -3 other <br> move to <br> the second <br> child | Write date of measurment |  | write hight measured | was this hight measured standing up or lying down | weight measured |
|  | code | code | day | month year | Centimeters | code | Kilograms |
|  | 601 | 602 |  | 603 | 604 | 605 | 606 |
| 0 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 02 |  |  |  | $\square$ | . 4 |  |  |
| 03 |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 04 | ..... | $\cdots$ | 1 | , | ، | - | - |
| 05 | - | - |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| 06 | $\ldots$ | ..... |  | L | - | - | $\square$ |
| 07 |  | - |  |  | , |  |  |
| ${ }_{0}$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\square$ | , | $\square$ |  |
| 10 |  | - | 1 |  |  | - | ! |
| 11 | \% | , | $1$ | I. |  | $\cdots$ | - |
| 12 | +.... | \% |  | $\ldots$ | - | \% | - |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots \square$ |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  | ; |  |  |
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Section Seven: wage earnings
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## Section Nine: Agriculture and fishing activities


901 Does the houshold or any memebre own or manage plots of land
1-Write No.
202 - put circle around
the measuring units
used for measuring the
land in place you work
on.

| 1 -Lebna | 6 -habl | 11 - Madrh | 16 - A step | 21 - Donam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-A cane | 7 -Mad | 12 - Salog | 17 - Sahb | 22 - Ba square |
| 3 -Hablh | 8 -Madirh | 13 - Worked day | 18 -An arm | 23 - Alsth |
| 4-Shaklh | 9 -An acre | 14 - Plowod day | $19-$ M2 | 24 - Aldih |
| 5 -Damd | 10 -Ahectare | 15 - blames day | 20 - Hosal | 25 - Darbh |

903 -!Write the total area of the plot


Questionnaire Page 22

## Section Nine:Agriculture and Fishing Activities

## A- Agriclture Activities



## Section Nine: Agriculture and fishing activities

B - Animals Activities


921 How much did your HH receive from sales of animals during the past 12 months?
Interviwer:Look to $Q$. 901,918 if you finf the answer in one of the Q.or both of them (Yes) continue otherwise move to Q.924
922 Did any agricultural extension officers provide you with technical advice during the past 12 months?
1-Yes
2 - No
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## Section Nine: Agriculture and Fishing Activities

C - Fishing Activities
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| Section ten: Private Business Activities <br> do not include agriculture and Fishing activities already recorded in section 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | (empus | Emme | (enco |  |
| Raw maseras |  |  |  |  |
| Commidy bought tor sate |  |  |  |  |
| water |  |  |  |  |
| Petaticiy |  |  |  |  |
| , |  |  |  |  |
| , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - Penotracitles |  |  |  |  |
| 10 transporation |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{14}$ empioveses inkindeay | , | , |  |  |
| 15 direct tex |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | , | , |  |
| 1002 rrouction revennue during the | ast 12 monts |  |  |  |
| No. tem | emmam Amil | hammav aym | Emy Cl apra | ammy |
| ${ }^{17}$ | $\cdots$ | - |  |  |
|  |  | - | - |  |
| 19, |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Tork orce during the past 12 months | 1003 |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{22}$ 2, umbereot oronead $=$ | - | $\underline{+}$ | - | - |
| mmorees |  | - |  | $\square$ |
| 23. seasona employes | , |  |  |  |
| 24-What's \% of this property is owned | 11 | III | 11 | 11 |



* Interviwer : complet this section to all household members .
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## Section 12: Inventory of durable goods
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| 'Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth week (days 22-28) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Item | Bought from the market | Measuring | Consumer | Sell-consumption | Gif |
|  |  |  |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0100 | Grains \& Derivatives : |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0101 | Wheat(local) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0102 | Wheat(imported) |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0103 | Barly |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0104 | Corn (Roman) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0105 | Corn(thin) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0106 | Millet |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0107 | Sorghum |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0108 | other grains |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0109 | Basmati Rice |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0110 | American Rice |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0111 | other varieties of rice |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0112 | Flour |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0113 | Bread |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0114 | Grind charges |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0115 | Macaron |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0116 | Vermicalli |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0117 | Biscuits |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0118 | Other |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0199 | Total |  |  | 1 | . |  |
| 0200 | Dried\& Preserved legume: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0201 | Fenugreek |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0202 | dired Beans |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0203 | dired Beans(local) |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0204 | Dired Beans(imported) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0205 | Lentils/crushed Lentils |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0206 | Beans(cans) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0207 | European Beans(cans) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0208 | Pees(cans) |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0209 | other Legume(Dired\& canned) |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0299 | Total | - 1 |  | 1 | . |  |
| 0300 | Fresh and preserved vegtab | bles: |  |  |  |  |
| 0301 | Tomatoes |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0302 | Potatoes |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0303 | Onions |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0304 | green onions |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0305 | Dried Garlic |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0306 | Green Garlic |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0307 | Okra |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0308 | Green Beans |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0309 | Squash/pumbkin |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0310 | Eggplant |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0311 | Mallow/spinach |  | No. |  |  |  |
| 0312 | leek/salad/watercress |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0313 | Cabbage/cauliflower |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0314 | Carrot |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0315 | cucumber | 1 1 | Kg. |  | ! |  |
| 0316 | papper |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0317 | Hot green papper |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0318 | Mint/Coriander/parsley |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0319 | Tomato Paste |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0320 |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0399 | Total |  |  |  | 1. |  |
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|  | Item | Bought from the market |  | Measuring Unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | sougntwom tit | self-consumption | Gift |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mmount } \\ & \text { Qivale } \end{aligned}$ | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0400 | Fresh and preserved Fruits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0401 | Bananas |  |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0402 | grapes |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0403 | Oranges |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0404 | Tangerines |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0405 | Lemons |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0406 | other specify |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0407 | apples |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0408 | watermelons |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0409 | Melons |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0410 | Unripe dates |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0411 | dates |  |  | Kg. |  | . $\quad 1$ |  |
| 0412 | papaya |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0413 | Pomegranate |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0414 | Apricot |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0415 | Fig |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0416 | Quince |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0417 | Peach |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0418 | Pears |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0419 | mangos |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0420 | Guava |  | , | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0421 | Raisin |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0422 | Pineapple |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0423 | Canned peach |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0424 | other Preserved \& canned or fresh fid |  |  | $\mathbf{K g}$. |  |  |  |
| 0425 | Almonds and Nuts (pistachio,Almone |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0499 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 0500 | Meat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0501 | fresh Lamb/Goat meat |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0502 | Frozen Lamb/goat meat (imported) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0503 | Fresh Veal |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0504 | Fresh beef |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0505 | Frozen Beef(imported) |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0506 | Camel meat |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0507 | Ground beef rosen |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0508 | Fresh poultry |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0509 | Frozen Poultry(imported) |  |  | No. |  | . 1 |  |
| 0510 | Other fresh/Frozen meat(Duck, Geep-1 |  |  | Kg. |  | - |  |
| 0511 | Preserved and canned meat |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0512 | preparation expenses meat |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0599 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 0600 | Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0601 | Fresh or frozen king fish |  | 1 | Kg. |  | , $\quad 1$ |  |
| 0602 | other types of fresh or frozen fish |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0603 | Dired/smoked fish |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0604 | Canned (tuna) |  | , | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0699 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
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|  | Item | Bought from the market |  | Measuring Unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boughtivom ure | self-consumption | Gift |
|  |  | Amount Riyals | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0700 | Dairy products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0701 | frash milk |  |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0702 | canned mailk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0703 | condensed milk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0704 | dry milk |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0705 | Yogurt |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |
| 0706 | Cheese |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0707 | Eggs |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0708 | Ice cream |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0709. | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0799 | Total |  |  |  |  | L |  |
| 0800 | Shortenings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0801 | local ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0802 | commercial ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0803 | sesame oil |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0804 | vegtable oils |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0805 | Butter |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0806 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0899 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 0900 | Suger and Suger products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0901 | All types of suger |  |  | $\mathbf{K g .}$ |  |  |  |
| 0902 | Natural honey |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0903 | Commercial Honey |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0904 | Jam |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0905. | Sesame sweets |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0906 | other sweets |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0907 | other suger products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0999 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1000 | Spices\&Other food products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1001 | Table salt |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 1002 | Cardamom |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1003 | Cumon,Pepper,Cinomon, Black |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1004 | Red Hot dry papper |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 1005 | Vinegar |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |
| 1006 | snacks |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1007 | Spices and other food products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1093 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1100 | Tea and Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1101 | Tea |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1102 | Coffee |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1103 | Coffee Flakes |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1104 | Niscafe |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1105 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1199 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities
Third week (Days 15-21)

|  | Item | Bought from the market |  | Measuring Unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | sell-consumption | Gift |
|  |  | Remour | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0100 | Grains \& Derivatives : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0101 | Wheat(local) |  |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0102 | Wheat(imported) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0103 | Barly |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0104 | Corn (Roman) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0105 | Corn(thin) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0106 | Millet |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0107 | Sorghum |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0108 | other grains |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0109 | Basmati Rice |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0110 | American Rice |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0111 | other varieties of rice |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0112 | Flour |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0113 | Bread |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0114 | Grind charges |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0115 | Macaron |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0116 | Vermicalli |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0117 | Biscuits |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0118 | Other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0199 | Total |  | - |  | T | 1. |  |
| 0200 | Dried\& Preserved legume: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0201 | Fenugreek |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0202 | dired Beans |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0203 | dired Beans(local) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0204 | Dired Beans(imported) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0205 | Lentils/crushed Lentils |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0206 | Beans(cans) |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0207 | European Beans(cans) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0208 | Pees(cans) |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0209 | other Legume(Dired\& canned) |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0299 | Total |  | - |  | , | 1. |  |
| 0300 | Fresh and preserved vegtables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0301 | Tomatoes |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0302 | Potatoes |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0303 | Onions |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0304 | green onions |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0305 | Dried Garlic |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0306 | Green Garlic |  | , | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0307 | Okra |  | ! | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0308 | Green Beans |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0309 | Squash/pumbkin |  | , | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0310 | Eggplant |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0311 | Mallow/spinach |  |  | No. |  |  |  |
| 0312 | leek/salad/watercress |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0313 | Cabbage/cauliflower |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0314 | Carrot |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0315 | cucumber |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0316 | papper |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0317 | Hot green papper |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0318 | Mint/Coriander/parsley |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0319 | Tomato Paste |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |
| 0320 |  |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0399 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities

|  | Item | Bought from the market |  | Measuring <br> Unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Bougntrom the | self-consumption | Gift |
|  |  | Amount <br> Qinale | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0400 | Fresh and preserved Fruits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0401 | Bananas |  |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0402 | grapes |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0403 | Oranges |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0404 | Tangerines |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0405 | Lemons |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0406 | other specify |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0407 | apples |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0408 | watermelons |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0409 | Melons |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0410 | Unripe dates |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0411 | dates |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0412 | papaya |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0413 | Pomegranate |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0414 | Apricot |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0415 | Fig |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0416 | Quince |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0417 | Peach |  | . | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0418 | Pears |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0419 | mangos |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0420 | Guava |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0421 | Raisin |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0422 | Pineapple |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0423 | Canned peach |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0424 | other Preserved \& canned or fresh ff |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0425 | Almonds and Nuts (pistachio,Almonc |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0499 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 0500 | Meat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0501 | fresh Lamb/Goat meat |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0502 | Frozen Lamb/goat meat (imported) |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0503 | Fresh Veal |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0504 | Fresh beef |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0505 | Frozen Beef(imported) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0506 | Camel meat |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0507 | Ground beef rosen |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |
| 0508 | Fresh poultry |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0509 | Frozen Poultry(imported) |  |  | No. |  | . |  |
| 0510 | Other fresh /Frozen meat(Duck, Geern) |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0511 | Preserved and canned meat |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0512 | preparation expenses meat |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0599 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 0600 | Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0601 | Fresh or frozen king fish |  |  | $\mathbf{K g .}$ |  | . |  |
| 0602 | other types of fresh or frozen fish |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0603 | Dired/smoked fish |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0604 | Canned (tuna) |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0699 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
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| Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item | Bought | om the market | MeasuringUnit | إلمستّ |  |  |
|  |  | Amount Riyals | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0700 | Dairy products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0701 | frash milk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0702 | canned mailk |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0703 | condensed milk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0704 | dry milk |  |  | Kg . |  | . +1 |  |
| 0705 | Yogurt |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0706 | Cheese |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0707 | Eggs |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0708 | Ice cream |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0709. | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0799 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 0800 | Shortenings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0801 | local ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | . 1 |  |
| 0802 | commercial ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0803 | sesame oil |  |  | Kg. |  | - |  |
| 0804 | vegtable oils |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0805 | Butter |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0806 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0899 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 0900 | Suger and Suger products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0901 | All types of suger |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0902 | Natural honey |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0903 | Commercial Honey |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0904 | Jam |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0905 | Sesame sweets |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0906 | other sweets |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0907 | other suger products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0999 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1000 | Spices\&Other food products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1001 | Table salt |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 1002 | Cardamom |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 1003 | Cumon, Pepper,Cinomon,Black |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1004 | Red Hot dry papper |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1005 | Vinegar |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 1006 | snacks |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1007 | Spices and other food products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1099 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1100 | Tea and Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1101 | Tea |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1102 | Coffee |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1103 | Coffee Flakes |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1104 | Niscafe |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1105 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1199 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities


| Code | Item | Amount Paid from the market <br> (Riyal) | Selfconsumpation <br> (Riyal) | Recived as a gift , payment in-kind <br> (Riyal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1301 | Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| 1302 | Tobacco |  |  |  |
| 1303 | other Tobacco products |  |  |  |
| 1304 | Qat |  |  |  |
| 1835 | Jasmine, flowers, etc |  |  |  |
| 1901 | Private car (Gas,oil) |  |  |  |
| 1904 | Transportiation Expenses within Yemen(to place of residence or between governorates) |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Medicine |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Medical expenses(Doctor fee) |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Mediacl investigations expenses |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Mediacl Appliances |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Prescription drugs |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Medical paraphemalia(cotton,syringes) |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Medical services(injections,nurse aid,circumcision,etc...) |  |  |  |
| 2314 | News papers \& magazines |  |  |  |
| 2315 | buying or Renting Video or CD |  |  |  |
| 2316 | Tickets for movie Theater, plays and festivals |  |  |  |
| 2403 | ready made food(outside house) |  |  |  |
| 2404 | Drinky juices tea outside house |  |  |  |
| 2499 | Total |  |  |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities

|  | trem | Bought form the market |  | Measuring unt |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Self-consumpation } \\ \hline \text { Quantity } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Gift } \\ \hline \text { Quantity } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pminum | Quantity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0100 | Grains \& Derivatives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0101 | Wheat(local) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0102 | Wheat(imported) |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0103 | Barly |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0104 | Corn (Roman) |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |  |
| 0105 | Corn(thin) |  |  | Kg. |  | . -1 |  |  |
| 0106 | Millet |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0107 | Sorghum |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0108 | other grains |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0109 | Basmati Rice |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0110 | American Rice |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0111 | other varieties of rice |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0112 | Flour |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0113 | Bread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0114 | Grind charges |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0115 | Macaronl |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0116 | Vermicalli |  |  | Kg . |  |  |  |  |
| 0117 | Biscuits |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0118 | Other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0199 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |  |
| 0200 | Dried\& Preserved legume: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0201 | Fenugreek |  |  | Kg. |  |  |  |  |
| 0202 | dired Beans |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0203 | dired Beans(local) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0204 | Dired Beans(imported) |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0205 | Lentils/crushed Lentils |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0206 | Beans(cans) |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0207 | European Beans(cans) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0208 | Pees(cans) |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0209 | other Legume(Direds canned) |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0299 | Total |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| 0300 | Fresh and preserved vegtables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0301 | Tomatoes |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0302 | Potatoes |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0303 | Onions |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |  |
| 0304 | green onions |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0305 | Dried Garlic |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0306 | Green Garlic |  |  | Kg. |  | . 1 |  |  |
| 0307 | Okra |  |  | Kg . |  | . 1 |  |  |
| 0308 | Green Beans |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |  |
| 0309 | Squash/pumbkin |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |  |
| 0310 | Eggplant |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0311 | Mallow/spinach |  |  | No. |  | , |  |  |
| 0312 | leek/salad/watercress |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0313 | Cabbage/cauliflowor |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0314 | Carrot |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0315 | cucumber |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0316 | papper |  |  | Kg. |  | . 1 |  |  |
| 0317 | Hot green papper |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |  |
| 0318 | Mint/Coriander/parsley |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0319 | Tomato Paste |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |  |
| 0320 | -ther troen, dirse or canned vestubles exeluding pogmens) |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0399 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
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| Second week (Days 8 - 14) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | frem | Bought form the market |  | Measuring <br> unit | Consumer |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bovaght form tre | Self-consumpation | Git |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { Riyal } \end{aligned}$ | Quantity |  | Quantiy | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0700 | Dairy products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0701 | frash milk |  |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0702 | canned mailk |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0703 | condensed milk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 0704 | dry milk |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0705 | Yogurt |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0706 | Cheese |  |  | $\mathbf{K g}$. |  | , |  |
| 0707 | Eggs |  |  | No. |  | , |  |
| 0708 | Ice cream |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0709. | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0799 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 0800 | Shortenings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0801 | local ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0802 | commercial ghee |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0803 | sesame oil |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0804 | vegtable oils |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |
| 0805 | Butter |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0806. | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0899 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 0900 | Suger and Suger products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0901 | All types of suger |  |  | $\mathbf{K g .}$ |  | , |  |
| 0902 | Natural honey |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 0903 | Commercial Honey |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0904 | Jam |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 0905. | Sesame sweets |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 0906 | other sweets |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 0907 | other suger products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0999 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1000 | Spices\&Other food products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1001 | Table salt |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1002 | Cardamom |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 1003 | Cumon,Pepper,Cinomon, Black |  |  | Kg. |  | , |  |
| 1004 | Red Hot dry papper |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 1005 | Vinegar |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1006 | snacks |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1007 | Spices and other food products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1099 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
| 1100 | Tea \& coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1101 | Tea |  |  | Kg . |  | . $\quad 1$ |  |
| 1102 | Coffee |  |  | Kg . |  | . 1 |  |
| 1103 | Coffee Flakes |  |  | Kg . |  | , |  |
| 1104. | Niscafe |  |  | Kg . |  | . |  |
| 1105 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 1199 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities

|  | tem | Bought form the market |  | Measuring unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Bouginitumt | Sell consumpation | Sin |
|  |  | ठur |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spring Water\& Soda: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1201 | Spring Water |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1202 | Carbonated Drinks |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1203 | canned juices |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1204 | Fruits Syrups |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1205 | Ice |  |  | Kg. |  | . |  |
| 1206 | Other drinks |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |
| 1293 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |


| Code | Item | Amount Paid from the market (Riyal) | Selfconsumpation <br> (Riyal) | Recived as a gift ,payment in-kind <br> (Riyal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1301 | Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| 1302 | Tobacco |  |  |  |
| 1303 | other Tobacco products |  | $\square$ |  |
| 1304 | Qat |  |  |  |
| 1835 | Jasmine, flowers, etc |  |  |  |
| 1901 | Private car (Gas,oil) |  |  |  |
| 1904 | Transportiation Expenses within Yemento place of residence or between governorates) |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Medicine |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Medical expenses(Doctor fee) |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Mediacl investigations expenses |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Mediacl Appliances |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Prescription drugs |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Medical paraphemalia(cotton,syringes) |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Medical services(injections,nurse aid,circumcision,etc...) |  |  |  |
| 2314 | News papers \& magazines |  |  |  |
| 2315 | buying or Renting Video or CD |  |  |  |
| 2316 | Tickets for movie Theater, plays and festivals |  |  |  |
| 2403 | ready made food(outside house) |  |  |  |
| 2404 | Drinky juices tea outside house |  |  | ! |
| 2499 | Total |  |  |  |
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|  | Item |  |  | Measuring <br> Unit | Consumer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bought | m the market |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3ought from the } \\ & \text { market } \end{aligned}$ |  | Gift |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Amount Riyals | Quantity |  | Quantity | Quantity | Quantity |
| 0100 | Grains \& Derivatives : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0101 | Wheat(local) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0102 | Wheat(imported) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0103 | Barly |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0104 | Corn (Roman) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0105 | Corn(thin) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0106 | Millet |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 00107 | Sorghum |  | ; | KG |  |  |  |
| 0108 | other grains |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0109 | Basmati Rice |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0110 | American Rice |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0111 | other varieties of rice |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0112 | Flour |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0113 | Bread |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0114 | Grind charges |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0115 | Macaronl |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0116 | Vermicalli |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0117 | Biscuits |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0118 | Other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0199 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 0200 | Dried\& Preserved legume: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0201 | Fenugreek |  |  | KG |  | , |  |
| 0202 | dired Beans |  |  | KG |  | , |  |
| 0203 | dired Beans(local) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0204 | Dired Beans(imported) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0205 | Lentils/crushed Lentils |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0206 | Beans(cans) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0207 | European Beans(cans) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0208 | Pees(cans) |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0209 | other Legume(Dired\& canned) |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0299 | Total |  | 1 |  |  | . 1 | T |
| 0300 | Fresh and preserved vegtab | les: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0301 | Tomatoes |  |  | KG |  | , |  |
| 0302 | Potatoes |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0303 | Onions |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0304 | green onions |  | 1 | KG |  |  |  |
| 0305 | Dried Garlic |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0306 | Green Garlic |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0307 | Okra |  |  | KG |  | , |  |
| 0308 | Green Beans |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0309 | Squash/pumbkin |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0310 | Eggplant |  |  | KG |  |  |  |
| 0311 | Mallow/spinach |  |  | No. |  |  |  |
| 0312 | leek/salad/watercress |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0313 | Cabbage/cauliflower |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0314 | Carrot |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0315 | cucumber |  |  | KG |  | , |  |
| 0316 | papper |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0317 <br> 031 | Hot green papper |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0318 | Mint/Coriander/parsley |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0319 | Tomato Paste |  |  | KG |  | . |  |
| 0320 |  |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |
| 0399 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |
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|  | item | bought from the market |  | Measuring Unt | Consumer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Foramitor | Sell-consumption | Gift |  |
|  |  | Amiunt Riyal | Quantity |  | Quantiy | Quartity | Quantiy |  |
| 0700 | Dairy products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0701 | frash milk |  |  |  | Liter |  | . |  |  |
| 0702 | canned mailk |  |  | Liter |  | , |  |  |
| 0703 | condensed milk |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |  |
| 0704 | dry milk |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0705 | Yogurt |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |  |
| 0706 | Cheese |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0707 | Eggs |  |  | No. |  | . |  |  |
| 0708 | Ice cream |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 0709 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0799 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 0800 | Shortenings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0801 | local ghee |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 0802 | commercial ghee |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 0803 | sesame oil |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0804 | vegtable oils |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |  |
| 0805 | Butter |  |  | KG |  | . |  |  |
| 0806 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0899 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 0900 | Suger and Suger products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0901 | All types of suger |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0902 | Natural honey |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0903 | Commercial Honey |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0904 | Jam |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0905. | Sesame sweets |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 0906 | other sweets |  |  | KG |  | . |  |  |
| 0907 | other suger products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 0999 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 1000 | Spices\&Other food products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1001 | Table salt |  |  | KG |  | . |  |  |
| 1002 | Cardamom |  |  | KG |  | . |  |  |
| 1003 | Cumon,Pepper,Cinomon,Black |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 1004 | Red Hot dry papper |  |  | KG |  |  |  |  |
| 1005 | Vinegar |  |  | Liter |  |  |  |  |
| 1006 | snacks |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 1007 | Spices and other food products |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 1099 | Total |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 1100 | Tea \& coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1101 | Tea |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 1102 | Coffee |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 1103 | Coffee Flakes |  |  | KG |  | , |  |  |
| 1104 | Niscafe |  |  | KG |  | . |  |  |
| 1105 | other |  |  | Riyal |  |  |  |  |
| 1199 | Total |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
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Weekly consumption of food and other freuenty purchased commodities First Week (Days1-7


| Code | Item | Amount Paid from the market (Riyal) | Self- <br> consumpation <br> (Riyal) | Recived as a gift, payment in-kind <br> (Riyal) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1301 | Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| 1302 | Tobacco |  | -1...-1. |  |
| 1303 | other Tobacco products |  | +.-. |  |
| 1304 | Qat |  |  |  |
| 1835 | Jasmine, flowers, etc |  |  |  |
| 1901 | Private car (Gas,oil) |  |  |  |
| 1904 | Transportiation Expenses within Yemen(to place of residence or between governorates) |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Medicine |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Medical expenses(Doctor fee) |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Mediacl investigations expenses |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Mediacl Appliances |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Prescription drugs |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Medical paraphernalia(cotton,syringes) |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Medical services(injections,nurse aid,circumcision,etc...) |  |  |  |
| 2314 | Newspapers \& magazines |  |  |  |
| 2315 | buying or Renting Video or CD |  |  |  |
| 2316 | Tickets for movie Theater, plays and festivals |  |  |  |
| 2403 | ready made food(outside house) |  |  |  |
| 2404 | Drinky juices tea outside house |  |  |  |
| 2499 | Total |  |  |  |

Questionnaire Page 45

| Section 15: Expenditures on non-food services and commodities during the past month |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 11 | كاريخ إبشَّباء الفّهل : |
| Past Month |  |  |  |  |
| code | Service/ Commodity | Bought form the market <br> Amount Paid Riyals |  | Recived as a Gift <br> Imputed value Riyals |
| 4400 | Fouse Expenses: . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |
| 4401 | Monthly paid rent |  |  |  |
| 4402 | Expenses on Domestic water supply (not mineral water) |  |  |  |
| 1403 | House Maintenance and Repairs expenses(house on-going repairs, water and sewage system maintenance and electricity repairs) | $\square I$ | $\pi$ |  |
|  |  |  | T |  |
| 1404 | Lodging expenses (exclude the hotels) |  |  |  |
| 1405 | Servants and cook fees |  |  |  |
| 1406 | other house expenses |  |  |  |
| 1407 | Electricity Expenses |  |  |  |
| 3403 | Dry\& Liquide Batteries(including Batteries recharging fees) |  |  |  |
| 1409 | Refill propane gas cylinders |  |  |  |
| 1410 | Wood |  | $\square \square$ |  |
| 1411 | Charcoal |  |  |  |
| 1412 | Kerosene |  |  |  |
| 2443 | expenses on other means of fuel \& lighting |  |  |  |
| 00 | Trotal |  |  |  |
|  | Other <br> Clothes detergent |  |  |  |
| 1701 |  |  |  |  |
| 1702 | HH Cleaners(clorox/flash) and disinfectants(Detol) |  |  |  |
| 1703 | Pestisides |  | $\square$ |  |
| 1704 | Metal wool cleaning sponge |  |  |  |
| 1705 | Paper tissue |  | $\square$ |  |
| 1708 | Mobs, sweepers, ash-trays | L | - |  |
| 1707 | other cleaner |  | $\square$ |  |
| 1708 | candles, matches sigrarette uguters |  | $\square$ |  |
| 1801 | wair- dressing charge for men |  |  |  |
| 1502 | Dressing charges for women |  |  |  |
| 1503 | Dry clean charges |  |  |  |
| 1304 | Maintenance of personal belonging(l.e. watches, cameras) |  |  |  |
| 1805 | charges for other personal services |  | $\pm$ |  |
|  | Total |  | $\square$ |  |



## Questionnaire Page 47

Section 16 : Expenditures on non-food services and commodities during the past 3 months

| code | Service/ Commodity | Unit | Total Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | bought form the markot |  | Gitt |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1700 | Furniture \& Domestic supplies |  |  |  |  | $5$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { hay } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1709 | Bedroom | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1710 | Wooden furniture(beds, cupbords...etc) | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1711 | Metal Furniture(beds, cupbords...etc) | No. |  | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1712 | Suitcases | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1713 | Silverware/metal utensils(plates,cups,gla | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1714 | Plastic utensils(plates, cups,glasses) | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1715 | Glass Utensils (plates , cups, jugs, etc) | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1716 | Porcelain China ware (plates, cups, eto | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1717 | Tea Flasks | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1718 | Light bulbs Gas and kerosene lamps | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1719 | Carpets and Rugs | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1720 | mats and rioors covers (prasuc material. | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1721 | Blankets. Comforters and Bed sheets | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1722 | Mattresses (sponge and cotton) | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1723 | Curtains \& Drapers | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1724 | Cushions \& Pillows | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1725 | Art works, Painatings, Clocks, Table L: | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1726 | Hookah. Tubes , Tweezers \& Coal Hear | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1727 | Furniture Repairs \& Maintenance Fees | Riyal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1728 | other expenses | Riyal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Section 16 ：Expenditures on non－food services and commodities during the past $\mathbf{3}$ months

| عد الإسطر المستوفاه |  |  | （المّبة بالديل） |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| code | Service／Commodity | Unit | Total Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | bought form the markot |  | Gith |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1600 | Shoes： |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |
| 1601 | Men Shoes | No． |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 1602 | Men Sandals | No． |  | $1!$ |  | ! |  | T |
| 1603 | Women shoes | No． |  |  |  | － |  |  |
| 1604 | Women Sandals | No． |  | $1:=$ |  | $\square 11$ |  |  |
| 1605 | Girls Shoes | No． |  | 111 |  | 1－1 |  |  |
| 1606 | Girls Sandals | No． |  | $1+1$ |  | 1－1 |  | － 1 |
| 1607 | Boys shoes | No． |  |  |  | ＋1： |  |  |
| 1608 | Boys Sandals | No． |  | ［1！ |  |  |  |  |
| 1609 | Slippers | No． |  | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1610 | Shoos Menditing \＆Repair Exponsos | Riyal |  |  |  | －11 |  |  |
| 1611 | Other（ Shoe Polish，Laces） | Riyal | 除相 |  |  |  | $1$ |  |
| 00 | Total |  |  | $11$ |  |  | $5$ |  |
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Section 16 : Expenditures on non-food services and commodities during the past 3 months
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Section 16 : Expenditures on non-food services and commodities during the past 3 months
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Section 17: Expenditureson Non-food services and commodities during last Year(past 12 Months)


| 00 | other long life commodities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1729 | Refrigerator | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1730 | washing machine | No. |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1731 | Cookstove | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1732 | Ovan Kirosin | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1733 | Warmer | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1734 | electrical fan | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1735 | electrical warm | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1736 | alrconditioner | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1737 | Sewing machine | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1738 | Iron | No. |  |  |  | ! |  |  |
| 1739 | Mixer | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1740 | Spotlight | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1741 | Vacuum cleaner/sweeper | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1742 | Gas Cylinder | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1743 | Cookstove | No. |  | + |  | ! |  |  |
| 1744 | Oven | No. |  |  |  | ! ; |  |  |
| 1745 | Telephone ( Mobile) | No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1746 | Repair and maintinance of long life commodities | Riyal | Exhation | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | including burches metrials amount | Riyal |  | + |  | 17 |  | 1 |
| 1747 | other long life commoditios | Riyal |  | $\square$ | 9, | $\square$ |  | ! |
| 00 | Total |  |  | $1+1$ |  | $1+1$ |  | 1 |
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Section 17: Expenditureson Non-food services and commodities during last Year(past 12 Months)
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Section 17: Expenditureson Non-food services and commodities during last Year(past 12 Months)


## Annex 4: Poverty Line Methodology

## I MEASURING POVERTY

1. Poverty analysis and assessment in Yemen has been driven by the concern to design appropriate poverty reduction strategies. However, debates about methods of poverty measurement are common because poverty is an elusive concept and no single measure can properly or adequately reflect its magnitude and features. Views differ on how individual welfare should be measured, how poverty lines should be set, and what poverty measures should be used.
2. The household raw data, for 1997 and $2005 / 06$, provide a unique opportunity to evaluate the evolution of living standards over the period under consideration.
3. In what follows is a brief discussion of some of the conceptual issues underlying the practice of poverty measurements and comparisons, which will form the basis for our subsequent analysis on the size, evolution and profile of poverty in Yemen.
4. Poverty has traditionally been defined as a discrete characteristic- either one is poor or one is not. Given a particular indicator of welfare, a certain line or standard is drawn, and an individual or household falls on one side or the other. Analysis of poverty takes place at two different levels. Defining poverty consists of classifying the population into poor and nonpoor. Measuring poverty seeks to aggregate the "amount" of poverty into a single statistic.
5. Constructing a poverty profile to show how poverty varies across sub-groups of a population is typically the first step in designing an anti-poverty policy. So how should a poverty profile be constructed? One appealing guiding principle is that within a given standard of living, poverty should not depend on which sub-group in the poverty profile the person with that standard of living happens to belong. Following Ravallion 1991, a poverty profile would be "consistent" if it respects this principle. Consistency requires that the poverty line is fixed in terms of the indicator of living standards used. Consistent poverty comparisons imply that two persons at the same real consumption level are deemed to be either "poor" or "not poor" irrespective of the time or place under consideration, or the presence or absence of policy change within the relevant domain.

## 1 Measuring Welfare

### 1.1 Welfare Indicator

6. There are different approaches to measuring welfare or well-being (Ravallion, 1994). For a given society, poverty exists if an individual (or household) is unable to attain a certain standard of living, or well-being, at the minimum levels accepted by the standards of that society. The issue is which factors or indicators constitute wellbeing or welfare? The approach we adopt is to measure welfare in terms of a money metric indicator, defined as the amount of money required - given a set of prices and the assumption of utility maximization - to attain a particular level of utility. This allows us to compare household's welfare levels, which cannot be observed, by comparing their observable consumption levels. Thus, consumption bases approach becomes particularly suited for measuring poverty in developing countries, since it
bases poverty comparisons in terms of deprivation from certain commodities and resources (both food and non-food) considered essential for a minimum level of wellbeing within a given society. However, there are other factors determining the standard of living and affecting welfare that cannot be readily reduced to a single monetary measure. Examples of such factors are access to education, access to basic health services, and access to safe potable water and basic housing amenities. Strictly interpreted, poverty means the inability of individuals to attain adequate or minimum nutrition, clothing, or shelter. More broadly, it encompasses those factors that enable the Individuals' command over resources, such as being healthy and literate. Poverty in this latter sense would constitute deprivation in capabilities, as measured by the UNDP Human Poverty Index. To measure poverty in this sense, the money metric welfare indicator should therefore be supplemented by other social indicators of wellbeing, such as infant mortality, school enrolment, life expectancy at birth, etc.

## 1. 2 Income versus expenditure

7. There are several conceptual and empirical considerations favoring the use of expenditure/ consumption, as opposed to income, as the basis for the welfare indicator in developing countries (Hentshcel and Lanjouw, 1996). One consideration is that since all income is not consumed, nor is all consumption financed out of income, consumption is arguably a more appropriate indicator if we are concerned with realized welfare. Expenditures/ consumption better reflect what households can command in terms of current income. They also reflect their access to credit markets or past savings when incomes are low or negative. A second consideration relates to the consumption options and income sources of the poor. Whereas poor households are likely to be purchasing and consuming only a narrow range of goods and services, their incomes may well derive from a variety of sources, many of which can be seasonal in nature. Expenditures/ consumption, are therefore a better indicator of longer run living standards than current income, since consumption tends to smooth variability and fluctuations in income streams. Thirdly, the practical problem of using income to indicate welfare lies in the measurement of incomes of individuals who operate their own business, where records of family businesses are often not kept. Lastly, survey respondents may be more willing to reveal their consumption patterns rather than their income.

### 1.3 Units of Measurement

8. Household budget surveys provide the most important source of data for poverty comparisons. These surveys record information on household income and consumption expenditures on various goods and services, and they are considered, therefore a good source of information on the distribution of welfare within society. In measuring poverty, a few issues must be considered when deploying household budget surveys.
9. After a comprehensive measure of household consumption is constructed, the critical issue of adjustment of household welfare for differences in household composition must be discussed.
10. Household surveys typically record aggregate outlays made by the household on various commodities. Poverty comparisons have thus tended to use the household - as
opposed to the individual as a unit of measurement. Total household consumption is likely to overstate the welfare level of persons in large households, since the goods and services consumed must be divided among more people. The most common adjustment made is to use per capita consumption. This may under-estimate welfare levels because households have very different compositions, and small children have smaller needs for food and some other items relative to adults. Further, there may be economies to scale in consumption for certain commodities. To correct for this, one can estimate household equivalence scales. Adult equivalence scales are therefore used to adjust the welfare measure for individuals to take into account differences in the age and gender structure of the household. Applying an adult equivalence scale means that household members are assigned a weight between zero and unity, depending on their age and gender. Adult equivalence scales typically assign a value of one to adult males and less than one to adult females and children (Ravallion, 1992).
11. However, calculating such scales is controversial. In this report, this controversy is overcome by controlling for difference in household composition and estimating household specific poverty line, as will be discussed in the following section.
12. Through out our analysis we used actual household consumption ${ }^{4}$ as welfare measures where actual consumption is the sum of values of market purchased goods, own produced goods and freely received goods.

### 1.4 Poverty Lines

13. Poverty lines can be absolute, relative or subjective. Much of the literature on poverty has been concerned with the respective merits of absolute and relative measures of poverty.
14. The choice of poverty lines is very critical as different methods can produce different rates of poverty and can sometimes cause a reverse in ranking, either between sub-groups or between different dates. When the purpose is to monitor progress in reducing absolute consumption poverty- defined in terms of command over basic consumption needs- one should not consider a person who chooses to buy fewer and more expensive calories poorer than another person who lives, for example, in a village, if both can afford exactly the same standard of living. (Ravallion, 1996).
15. One of the most common approaches is the Basic Needs Approach. By this approach, the poverty line is set as the cost in each sector and at each date of a normative "basic needs" bundle of goods. The difficulty is in identifying what constitutes "basic needs". For developing countries, the most important component of a basic needs poverty line is generally the food expenditure necessary to attain some recommended food energy intake. Thus, the food bundle is typically chosen to be sufficient to reach the predetermined calorie requirement, with a composition that is consistent with the consumption behavior of the poor. This bundle is then evaluated using prices prevailing in each sub-group (region) and at each date. Poverty lines can be then interpreted as Laspeyres cost-of-living numbers. Ravallion (1996) explained that the most compelling argument in favor of CBN method for making poverty comparisons is that it explicitly aims to control for differences in purchasing power over basic consumption needs. The CBN method can at least claim to provide a first order approximation of what we are trying to measure. The cost of bundle is known as the food poverty line.

[^3]16. One could argue that sufficient calories intake does not ensure that basic food needs are met. However, Lipton (1986) argued that shortfalls in nutrients other than calories are almost always due to inadequate caloric intake or are not related to income increases. Protein deficiency is almost always cured once caloric needs are met. Deficiencies of vitamin, iron, magnesium iodine and other micronutrients occur on a large scale even without caloric shortage. However, cost-effective cures are likely to be achieved not by measures to raise income, intake or unit requirements of some or all foods, but by public action.
17. Another alternative is to set an ideal cheap diet to attain basic nutrition requirements and find its cost. However, attaining adequate nutrition is not the sole motive for human behavior (not even for most of the poor), nor is it the sole motive of food consumption.
18. Food poverty line is augmented by an allowance for expenditure on essential nonfood goods. Following Engel's law, the non-food allowance can be estimated in two ways; (i) regressing the food share against total expenditures and identifying the non-food share in the expenditure distribution of households in which expenditure on food is equivalent to the food poverty line; or (ii) identifying the share of non-food expenditure for households in which total expenditure is equivalent to the food poverty line. The former approach yields an "upper" bound of the poverty line, while the latter yields a "lower" bound or the "ultra" poverty line, since it defines the total poverty line in terms of those households which had to displace food consumption to allow for non-food expenditures, is considered to be the minimum indispensable level of non-food requirements.
19. An alternative to this method is to estimate non food poverty line using non parametric approach, see Kakwani (2007). As explained by Kakwani 2007; select the households whose food expenditure lies between 90 percent and 110 percent of food poverty line. And then calculate the average non-food poverty line for the individuals belonging to these households. Adjustment to take account of economies of scale are also taken into account. Let $\theta_{j}$ is the economies of scale parameter for the jth component of the non-food poverty line, which takes value 1 if the jth component is a purely private good and takes value 0 if the jth component is a purely public good. Suppose $\bar{x}_{j}$ is the per capita mean poverty line for the $j$ th non-food component and $n_{i}$ is the size of the ith household, then the economies of scale adjusted consumption of the jth non-food component by the ith household will be given by
20. $x_{i j}=k \bar{x}_{j} n_{i}^{\left(\theta_{j}-1\right)}$
21. Absolute poverty lines have been widely used in developing countries since poverty research is dominated by the concern for the attainment of basic needs and the achievement of well-being in absolute terms.
22. Relative poverty lines have been more widely used in developed countries. These define poverty in terms of a proportion of the national mean. For instance, the poverty line can be set at 50 percent of the national mean. The poverty line in this sense would be sensitive solely to changes in the relative distribution of welfare i.e. on the parameters of the Lorenz curve (Ravallion, 1994).
23. Subjective poverty lines on the other hand define poverty in terms of individual judgments about what constitutes a socially acceptable minimum standard of living in a
given society. This approach is usually based on survey responses to a typical question such as: "What income level do you personally consider to be absolutely minimal?" (paraphrased from Kapten et al 1988 in Ravallion, 1992). Poverty measures based on the subjective approach tend to be an increasing function of income. That is, the higher the income of the individual surveyed, the higher the standard of living he or she considers as minimum.

### 1.5 Poverty Measurements

24. It has become standard practice in poverty comparisons to use the Foster-GreerThorbecke class of decomposable poverty measurements. These include three indices: the head count, the poverty gap and the poverty severity indices.
25. The head count index (P0) is a measure of the prevalence of poverty. It denotes the percentage of households that are poor - as defined by the poverty line - as a proportion of total population. This measure however, is insensitive to the distribution of the poor below the poverty line. This is captured by the following two indices, P1 and P2. The poverty gap index ( P 1 ) is a measure of the depth of poverty and denotes the gap between the observed expenditure levels of poor households and the poverty line. Assuming perfect targeting, the poverty gap index indicates the amount of resources (transfers) needed to bring all poor households up to the poverty line. The poverty severity index (P2) measures the degree of inequality in distribution below the poverty line and gives greater weight to households at the bottom of the income (or expenditure) distribution.
26. To illustrate, we suppose that as a result of a policy change, 10 percent of income is redistributed from a poor household whose income level places it at 30 percent below the poverty line to another household placed at 50 percent below the poverty line. The head count index in this case would not change, since the size of the redistribution does not enable either household to move up to the poverty line. The poverty gap index would not change either, since the redistribution occurred at levels below the poverty line. The effect of this redistribution policy will be captured by the P 2 index, as the position of the lower level household in the distribution would improve.

## 2. Estimation of poverty lines in Yemen

27. The choice of the welfare indicator used in the estimation of the poverty line is a critical factor in making poverty assessments. Adjustments to spatial and time differentials can significantly influence the conclusions derived. Given the importance of correctly targeting poverty alleviation interventions at the regional level, this study has adopted a strong regional focus. Yemen is divided 20 governorates each is subdivided into urban and rural areas except Sanaa region and Aden. The estimated poverty lines ensure that regional differences in factors such as relative prices, activity levels, as well as size and age composition of poor households. This results in a rank distribution that is consistent with the chosen indicator of household welfare. Several poverty lines have been estimated to obtain a wide range of poverty comparisons among regions between 1997 and 2005/06. We present below methodologies used to estimate these poverty lines.

## II HOUSEHOLD SPECIFIC POVERTY LINES

28. The report follows the cost of basic needs methodology to construct household region-specific poverty lines. The food poverty line varies for each household and for each region. Differences in poverty lines reflect variations in the food and non-food prices across regions. They also incorporate household differences in the size and age composition, and their food and non-food consumption preferences.

### 2.1 Caloric Requirements

29. The FAO has been concerned with the issue of determining the nutritional norms of individuals in different age and sex groups. These norms vary from country to country (and even different groups within a country) depending on factors such as race, climatic conditions, etc.
30. The nutritional needs of individuals are the starting point to construct food poverty line. It must be emphasized that these needs of individuals depend on several factors such as age, sex, location conditions and activity levels.
31. We adopted norms appropriate for Yemen. First we obtained the average weight and height of the Yemeni population 18 years old and over. Weights and heights data were collected for all household members surveyed during the last month of HBS.

Table 1: Weight and Height by age, sex and location


1. BMR is calculated for each individual 18 years and above, using equations in table 3, provided in "Energy and Protein Requirements; Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation", see table 2.

Table 2: Equations to Calculate BMR by Sex and Age

|  | Age range (years) | BMR |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Men | $10-18$ | $(16.6 \mathrm{~W}+77 \mathrm{H}+572)$ |
|  | $18-30$ | $(15.4 \mathrm{~W}-27 \mathrm{H}+717)$ |
|  | $30-60$ | $(11.3 \mathrm{~W}+16 \mathrm{H}+901)$ |
|  | $>60$ | $(8.8 \mathrm{~W}+1128 \mathrm{H}-1071)$ |
| Women | $10-18$ | $(7.4 \mathrm{~W}+482 \mathrm{H}+217)$ |
|  | $18-30$ | $(13.3 \mathrm{~W}+334 \mathrm{H}+35)$ |
|  | $30-60$ | $(8.7 \mathrm{~W}-25 \mathrm{H}+865)$ |

2. Individual caloric requirements are calculated by multiplying BMR by a factor to reflect an individual's activity level. Following WHO, we assumed that the activity levels for both males and females are moderate in urban areas and heavy in rural areas. Thus caloric requirements for individuals of age 18 years and above are obtained. For younger individuals, caloric requirements were obtained directly from WHO report, see Table 3.

Table 3: Average Daily Energy Requirement of Adults
Whose Occupational Work is Classified as Light, Moderate, or Heavy, Expressed as a Multiple of BMR

|  | Light | Moderate | Heavy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men | 1.55 | 1.78 | 2.10 |
| Women | 1.56 | 1.64 | 1.82 |

3. Thus, for each household its own caloric requirements can be calculated, depending on its location, age of its members and their gender composition.

Table 4: Calculations for Caloric Requirements

| العمر | ذكور | اناث | ريف العمر | ذكور |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | 335 | 335 | <1 | 335 |
| 1 | 950 | 850 | 1 | 950 |
| 2 | 1125 | 1050 | 2 | 1125 |
| 3 | 1250 | 1150 | 3 | 1250 |
| 4 | 1350 | 1250 | 4 | 1350 |
| 5 | 1474 | 1325 | 5 | 1474 |
| 6 | 1575 | 1425 | 6 | 1575 |
| 7 | 1700 | 1550 | 7 | 1700 |
| 8 | 1825 | 1700 | 8 | 1825 |
| 9 | 1975 | 1850 | 9 | 1975 |
| 10 | 2200 | 1950 | 10 | 2200 |
| 11_ | 2200 | 1950 | 11_ | 2200 |
| 12 | 2400 | 2100 | 12 | 2400 |
| 13 | 2400 | 2100 | 13 | 2400 |
| 14 | 2650 | 2150 | 14 | 2650 |
| 15 | 2650 | 2150 | 15 | 2650 |
| 16 | 2650 | 2150 | 16 | 2650 |
| 17 | 2750 | 2150 | 17 | 2750 |
| 18_<30 | 2796 | 2180 | 18_<30 | 2796 |
| 30_60 | 2979 | 2237 | 30_60 | 2979 |
| $>60$ | 2291 | 1841 | >60 | 2291 |

### 2.2 Food Poverty Line:

4. Once the minimum caloric needs have been estimated, the next step is to determine the cost of obtaining the minimum level of calories. Cost is determined by how the calories are obtained on average by the first two quintiles, rather than by pricing out the cheapest way of obtaining the calories or following a recommended diet. For the first two quintile of households ranked by nominal per capita consumption, average quantities of all food items is constructed. Total calories generated by this bundle are calculated using calories contents in every food item. These quantities represent the bundle used to estimate the food poverty lines, which reflect consumption preferences of the poor. The bundle was priced using median market prices prevailing in each region, When market price of certain item in specific region is not available, we used median unit prices ${ }^{5}$ derived from household questionnaire. Dividing cost of the chosen bundle by calories generated by it, the costs per calorie in each region were obtained. Household specific food poverty line is derived by multiplying calorie requirements for all household members by relevant cost of calories. Food poverty line takes into account household gender and age composition as well as its residential region. Food poverty line is used define extreme poverty, where households whose total actual consumption are below their food poverty lines, are considered ultra poor.

[^4]5. This stage can be explained mathematically as follows: let $Z$ denote the actual food consumption vector of the reference group of households initially considered poor; first two quintiles. The corresponding caloric values are represented by the vector k , and the food energy intake of the reference group is then $\boldsymbol{k}_{z}=\mathrm{k} . Z^{\prime}$. Let cost of this bundle for region r is $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$ and caloric requirements of household $\boldsymbol{h}$ is $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$. Food poverty line for household h is then given by $\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{z} / \mathrm{Pr}\right)^{*} \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$, thus the relative quantities in the diet of the poor are preserved in setting the poverty line.

Table 5: Cost of 1000 Calories by Region

|  | Urban | Rurak |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| lbb | 49.497 | 49.43 |
| Abyan | 52.586 | 53.57 |
| Sana'a City | 53.357 | 0.00 |
| Al-Baida | 54.704 | 51.47 |
| Taiz | 55.387 | 52.96 |
| Al-Jawf | 51.67 | 49.52 |
| Hajja | 55.72 | 57.95 |
| Al-Hodeida | 49.854 | 51.84 |
| Hadramout | 54.965 | 57.50 |
| Dhamar | 51.884 | 58.51 |
| Shabwah | 53.244 | 59.98 |
| Sa'adah | 54.319 | 50.90 |
| Sana'a Region | 52.522 | 0.00 |
| Aden | 53.324 | 0.00 |
| Laheg | 49.083 | 49.89 |
| Mareb | 52.199 | 54.61 |
| Al-Mahweet | 51.11 | 51.41 |
| Al-Maharh | 51.753 | 56.41 |
| Amran | 55.614 | 64.70 |
| Al-Dhale | 52.462 | 50.00 |
| Remah | 53.824 | 0.00 |

### 2.3 Non food Poverty Line:

6. While the cost of the minimum food bundle is derived from estimated physiological needs, there is no equivalent methodology for determining the minimum non-food bundle. Following Engel's law, food shares are regressed against logarithm of total household expenditure relative to food poverty line and its square, logarithm of household size and its square, share of small and older children, share of adult males and females, and share of elderly.
7. That is
$s_{i}=\alpha+\beta \log \left(x_{i} / z^{f}\right)+\gamma\left(\log \left(x_{i} / z^{f}\right)\right)^{2}+\delta h_{i}$,
8. Where $s_{i}$ denotes food share of household i, $x_{i}$ is its actual consumption, $z^{f}$ if the food poverty line and $h_{i}$ is vector of household demographic characteristics.
9. The non-food allowance for each household can be estimated in two ways: (i) regressing the food share against total expenditures and identifying the non-food share in the expenditure distribution of households in which expenditure on food is
equivalent to the food poverty line; or (ii) by identifying the share of non-food expenditure for households in which total expenditure is equivalent to the food poverty line. The former approach yields an "upper" bound of the poverty line, while the latter yields a "lower" bound, since it defines the total poverty line in terms of those households which had to displace food consumption to allow for non-food expenditures, considered to be a minimum indispensable level of non-food requirements.

Thus lower poverty line $=\left(2-s_{i}\right) *_{z}^{f} \quad$ (2).
Upper poverty line is obtained by solving equation (1) iteratively.
3. By this approach household regional specific poverty lines are estimated (households with the same gender and age composition in each region have the same poverty lines). Obviously this approach takes into account location, age and gender composition as well as economies of scale, as food shares and hence non food estimates vary according to household size, age and gender composition. Hence differences in food shares result from the addition of members of specific age and gender. The sharing behaviors among household members are also reflected.

# Poverty Mapping in Yemen ${ }^{6}$ 

## I Introduction

1. This report describes how the poverty mapping method developed in Elbers, Lanjouw and Lanjouw (2002a), abbreviated with ELL, is implemented using data from Yemen. The idea is to measure consumption-based poverty at the disaggregated regional level by combing the information from the General Population, Housing, and Establishment Census in 2004 and the Household Budget Survey (HBS) in 2005-06 from Yemen.
2. Yemen has 21 governorates and 313 districts. The aim of this mission is to produce a poverty map at district level using the ELL method. The following tasks have been done to achieve this aim:

- Select a set of variables that are common to the Census and the HBS,
- Estimate models of household consumption per capita using HBS data for all the urban and rural areas,
- Predict household consumption per capita using the Census data for all the urban and rural areas and estimate poverty indicators at district level.

3. The third reason is that solving the remaining inconsistencies may occasionally imply making imputations, which at this point - many miles and months away from the place and time where the data were collected - can only be made by guesswork.
4. In this report, section 2 provides a brief summary of the ELL method. Section 3 describes the data used. Section 4 describes the three tasks which have been implemented on the data and presents the results of the poverty estimates. Section 5 lists the remaining issues with the results. Poverty indicators are also estimated based on food consumption. The details of food poverty estimates are listed in Appendix A.

## II Methodology

5. The idea of the ELL method ${ }^{7}$ is to first estimate the joint distribution of $y_{h}$, a variable on which the indicators of poverty are based, and a vector of variables $x_{h}$ using a smaller and richer sample (e.g. data from a survey). By restricting $x_{h}$ to be the variables on which a larger sample (e.g. data from a census) also provides

[^5]information, the distribution of $y_{h}$ for any sub-sample of the large sample can be generated by using the estimated distribution and the observed $x_{h}$ in the larger sample. This generated distribution of $y_{h}$ can then be used to generate the poverty indicators. The following is a brief summary of the method.

## A Consumption Model

6. Consumption per capita is often used to measure poverty. An estimated joint distribution of consumption per capita $y_{h}$ and a vector of observed variables $x_{h}$ is obtained using the ELL method by developing a linear model of $y_{h}$ on $x_{h}$ :

$$
\ln y_{c h}=x_{c h}^{\prime} \beta+u_{c h},
$$

where $y_{c h}$ is the household consumption per capita for household $h$ in location $c, x_{c h}$ is the vector of explanatory variables, and $u_{c h}$ is an error term. It should be noted here that this model is only used for predicting $y_{c h}$ but not to measure the direct effect of $x_{c h}$ on $y_{c h}$, so the endogeneity of the explanatory variables is not of concern here. As the results of this model are going to be used to predict $y_{c h}$ in the census, it is preferred that the model fits most closely to the observations that represent a large part of the census population. Therefore population expansion factors are used as weights in this regression.

The residual term $u_{c h}$ is defined as:

$$
u_{c h}=\eta_{c}+\varepsilon_{c h},
$$

where $\eta_{c}$ is a location component, and $\varepsilon_{c h}$ is a household component of the residual. The location component $\eta_{c}$ is used to capture the part of the error term which is due to the location characteristics common to all households in that location. The household component of the residual $\varepsilon_{c h}$ reflects unobserved household characteristics which are not correlated with the location effect.
7. The variances of these two components of the error term reflect how much the household's predicted consumption deviates from its actual consumption. This deviation is one of the sources of the prediction error of the poverty indicators. The
idiosyncratic component $\mathcal{E}_{\text {ch }}$ falls approximately proportionately in sample size (Elbers et. al. 2002a), so for a large enough sample the idiosyncratic component of the error term does not cause serious problems to the precision of the estimates of poverty indicators. The location component $\eta_{c}$ does not fall in sample size, so it is important to capture as much of the location effect in the consumption model as possible. One way to do this is to calculate the means of the observed variables (e.g. average level of education) at certain location level (e.g. enumeration area) using the census data, insert these variables into the survey data and use them as regressors in the consumption model. These variables of census means can often do a good job in capturing the location effect.
8. This consumption model is estimated using Generalized least squares (GLS). An Ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation is first performed to obtain the variancecovariance matrix of the error term. The residuals ${ }^{\hat{u}_{c h}}$ from the OLS estimation can be decomposed into two parts:

$$
\hat{u}_{c h}=\hat{u}_{c .}+\left(\hat{u}_{c h}-\hat{u}_{c .}\right)=\hat{\eta}_{c}+e_{c h},
$$

where a subscript "." indicates an average over that index.
The variance of the location component $\hat{\sigma}_{\eta}^{2}$ can be estimated non-parametrically using $\hat{\eta}_{c}$.

The component $e_{c h}$ can be used to estimate the variances of $\varepsilon_{c h}$. A logistic form is used in this estimation:

$$
\ln \left[\frac{e_{c h}^{2}}{A-e_{c h}^{2}}\right]=z_{c h}^{T} \hat{\alpha}+r_{c h},
$$

where $\mathbf{z}_{c h}$ are the variables which best explain variation in $e_{c h}^{2}$. In this way the prediction is bounded between zero and a maximum $A$. If A is set equal to $(1.05) * \max \left\{e_{c h}^{2}\right\}$ and $B=\exp \left\{z_{c h}^{T} \hat{\alpha}\right\}$, using the delta method the variance of $\varepsilon_{c h}$ is estimated as:

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon, c h}^{2}=\left[\frac{A B}{1+B}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var}(r)\left[\frac{A B(1-B)}{(1+B)^{3}}\right] .
$$

9. Once these two variances are calculated, they can be plugged into the variancecovariance matrix of the error term and the model can be estimated by GLS.

## B Poverty Indicators

10. The second set of tasks in the ELL method is to apply the estimates from the regression of the consumption model to the census data, predict the consumption from the census data and calculate the poverty indicators.
11. This task is done by simulation. For each simulation a vector of the parameters $\widetilde{\beta}$ is drawn from the multivariate normal distribution described by the GLS estimates of the consumption model and the associated variance-covariance matrix. The location component of the error term $\widetilde{\eta}_{c}$ is drawn randomly with replacement from the set of $\hat{\eta}_{c}$. To draw the household component $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{c h}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{c h}^{*}$ is first drawn for each household with replacement from the set of all standardized residuals ${ }^{8}$, or from the standard residuals that correspond to the cluster from which the household's location effect is derived. The household component is then set to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{c h}^{*} \times \hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon, c h}$. For each simulation, with the drawn values of $\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\eta}_{c}$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{c h}$, the value of per capita consumption $\hat{y}_{c h}$ is estimated as:

$$
\hat{y}_{c h}=\exp \left(x_{c h}^{\prime} \widetilde{\beta}+\widetilde{\eta}_{c}+\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{c h}\right) .
$$

12. Finally, the full vector of simulated consumption per capita $\hat{y}_{\text {ch }}$ is used to calculate the mean and standard deviation of each poverty indicator.

## III DATA

## C Census data

13. The General Population, Housing, and Establishment Census was conducted by the Central Statistical Organization, Ministry of Planning \& International Cooperation, Republic of Yemen in December 2004. The total number of households

[^6]covered in the census is $2,831,929^{9}$. For urban households, the administration contains six levels: governorate, district, sub-district, city, zone and neighborhood. The administration for rural households contains five levels: governorate, district, subdistrict, village and sub-village. Table 1 lists the number of administrative levels in each governorate. All the administrative areas are then divided into 21,582 enumeration areas (EAs). Table 1 also lists the number of EAs in each governorate. We can see from Table 1 that for urban areas, the number of EAs is in between of the number of zones and neighborhoods for some governorates and smaller than the number of neighborhoods for other governorates. For rural areas the number of EAs is between the number of subdistricts and the number of villages.
14. Two kind of questionnaires are used in the census: the short questionnaire and the long questionnaire. The short questionnaire has seven components: housing unit properties, transport vehicles and durable goods, general \& social data, data of disabled household members, married status and educational data. The long questionnaire is used for $10 \%$ of the households and it contains all the sections in the short one plus three sections: economic data, fertility data and mortality data. The long questionnaire provides richer information, but since it is only used by $10 \%$ of the households using the household level data from the long questionnaire often increases the standard errors of the estimates of the poverty indicators. In the case of Yemen the three extra sections covered in the long questionnaire provide little common information compared to the survey data. Therefore they are not used in generating variables at the household level. However, the economic data provided by the long questionnaire can be useful in predicting consumption and they can be used in generating variables of census means about average economic status at a certain location.

## D Survey Data ${ }^{10}$

15. The Household Budget Survey 2005-06 was also conducted by the Central Statistical Organization of Yemen. The sample frame for the HBS was the 2004 General Population, Housing, and Establishment Census. Yemen consists of 21 governorates. The study population was sorted into 38 strata. The 17 governorates were represented by two strata (urban and rural), whereas Sana'a City and Aden are only urban and Remah and Sana'a Region are only rural. This resulted in 19 urban strata and 19 rural strata.
16. Within each stratum, the sample was selected in two stages. In the first stage, a certain number of Census Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected with probability proportional to size (using as a measure of size the number of households according to the pre-census estimates available in January 2005). In the second stage, 12 households were picked from each EA by systematic equal probability sampling.
17. In order to produce estimates of consumption in all governorates of both rural and urban populations, the total sample of 1,200 EAs was distributed across strata by

[^7]a combination of allocation proportional to size and equal allocation. The final sample allocation is as shown in Table 2.
18. The HBS data contain information on household roster, activities, dwelling conditions, health, education, anthropometrics, income, durable goods and consumption. Among these, information on household roster, dwelling conditions, education and durable goods is also available in the census.

## IV IMPLEMENTATION

## E Select a Set of Variables that are Common to the Census and the HBS

19. All the common information in the census and the survey are listed in Table 3. Table 4 lists all the variables generated using the information listed in Table 3. The variables are in four categories: dwelling, durables, demography and education. Variables from these four categories are all very likely to be correlated to household consumption and can be good predictors of it. The high degree of comparability of selected variables is crucial for getting accurate estimates of poverty indicators. Two things need to be checked before a variable can be used as a candidate of the regressors in the consumption model. First, the questions in the questionnaires, on which a certain variable is based, must be truly the same in the census and the survey. This requires investigating the wording of questions in the questionnaires carefully. For example, in the Yemen case about the main source used for cooking, the survey questionnaire lists all the choices separately (i.e. 1 wood, 2 coal, 3 gas etc.) while the census questionnaire combines choices (e.g. $3 \mathrm{wood} / \mathrm{coal} / \mathrm{both}, 4 \mathrm{wood} / \mathrm{gas}$ ). One may want to generate a variable such as "the main source of cooking is coal", which will be equal to 1 if the answer is 2 in the survey and 3 in the census. Variables like this are problematic and should not be used in the analysis. Sometimes it is not very clear if the questions in the census and the survey are indeed the same, especially for the names of durable goods. Thus after being generated, the variables of durables were checked again to make sure that the names of the durables are the same in the census and the survey. Three variables were excluded (durable7, durable13 and durable14).
20. Second, the variables must have similar distributions in the census and the survey, such that the survey is representative. It is sometimes hard to judge if the distributions are similar. Experience from cases of other countries shows that the means of variables are the most important. In the analysis of the case of Yemen, means are also used as the most important property to judge if the distributions are the same. Whether the variables can have similar distributions depends mainly on the original design of the survey and the survey data. However, sometimes the way of generating the variables is also important. For example, for the durable goods one can generate integer variables "the number of a certain durable good owned by the household" or dummy variables "whether the household owns a certain durable good". It turns out that the later is a better idea. First, for most of the durables few households own more than one, so using the number of durables doesn't bring much more information than the dummy variables. Second the number of durables in general has a much wider range in the census than that in the survey; these outliers can change the mean and other properties of the distribution dramatically. Dummy variables only have values 0 and 1 , so they do not have this problem. The distributions
of all the variables generated are compared in each stratum to make sure that a variable has similar distributions (especially means) in the census and the survey. The variables which pass this check are set as candidates which can be used as regressors in the consumption model.
21. As mentioned in section 2 , unlike the idiosyncratic component the variance of the location component in the error term does not fall with the sample size. Thus it is essential to capture the location effect as much as possible in the consumption model. Variables of census means for each location are often used to achieve this.
22. Since households in the survey are drawn from the households in the EA of the census, it is natural to calculate the means at the EA level. In order to do this, it is required to be able to map each household in the survey and the census to the EAs. It is possible that the data do not provide enough information to do so. In such cases, the variables of census means can also be generated at other levels. For example, in the case of Yemen it is also reasonable to calculate the census means at the subdistrict level for the rural areas and at the zone level for the urban areas. In this case, one should be able to know which subdistricts/zones the households in the survey and the census belong to. Both EA level and subdistrict/zone level have been experimented with. It turns out that the former is better. First, for the urban areas variables of means calculated at EA level are much better in capturing the location effect. Second, for the rural areas the number of districts is not much more than the number of subdistricts for some governotes. Thus the variables of census means do not have much variation in some of the districts and these census means are often found to be able to change the estimates of poverty indicators dramatically.
23. Table 5 lists all the census means generated. It should be noted that these variables of census means can be generated not only for the variables which are common to both census and survey but also for the variables which only appear in the census but not in the survey. These variables of census means are then inserted into the survey. These variables in general have similar distributions in the census and in the survey but it is possible that for a certain variable the survey only covers the high/low range of the value of the variable. Thus it is also checked if the census means have similar means in the census and in the survey. Variables that pass this check are set to be the candidates of the regressors of the consumption model.

## F Estimate models of household consumption per capita using HBS data

Consumption models are estimated for each stratum. Two criteria are used to evaluate the consumption models: the R square of the model and the ratio of the variance of the location effect to the variance of the error term. Table 6.1 to Table 6.38 show all the results of the regressions of the consumption models and the means and standard deviations of all the variables used in the models. For the 38 strata, the R squares vary from 0.38 to 0.70 . The variables of census means calculated at EA level seem to capture the location effect well. The ratios of the variance of the location effect to the variance of the error term are below 0.078 for all strata except for rural Abyan. For some strata, the variables of census means can fully capture the location effect so it does not appear in the error term anymore. One principle of building these models is keeping the models simple. If a model can be built with reasonable R square and location effect without including interaction terms, interaction terms are not included. For strata with R squares lower than 0.40 , interaction terms are included to get a better
fit. It is also noted that variables related to the size of households (e.g. hh_size, namales) sometimes contain outliers and bring high leverage to the model. If the variable ( x ) is not highly significant, it is deleted from the model. If it is highly significant, a variable equal to $1 /(1+\mathrm{x})$ is generated to replace it.

## G Predict household consumption per capita using the census

24. The results of the consumption models shown in Table 6 are applied to predict household consumption per capita using the census data. The location effect $\eta$ is drawn semi-parametrically and the idiosyncratic component is drawn hierarchical semi-parametrically. If the simulated consumption per capita is higher than the highest consumption per capita or lower than the lowest one the survey, this draw is counted as missing and is not included in calculating the poverty indicators. The poverty line used is household specific.
25. I first produce the poverty indicators at the stratum level. Remember from the design of the survey that the survey is representative at this level, so the estimates calculated using the ELL method should be comparable to the estimates calculated directly from the survey data. Table 7 lists the estimates of headcount for each stratum using different data sources. For most of the strata, the estimates are close using the two data sources. Exceptions are estimates of rural Al-Jawf, rural Al-Maharh and urban Amran. The estimates of poverty indicators FGT0, FGT1 and FGT2 based on 100 simulations are listed in Table 8 and Table 9. The mean and plus/minus 2 standard errors of the estimates of headcount index are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 for rural and urban areas respectively.

## V CONCERNS ON CURRENT RESULTS

26. There is one main point of concerns.
27. For a large portion of the urban districts the number of households in each district is small. This causes high standard errors in the estimates of the poverty indicators. It should be borne in mind when using these estimates at district level that these estimates are noisy. Thus one should not make pairwise comparisons of poverty across districts without taking into account the statistical imprecision.
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Table 1: Number of households, administrative areas and EAs in each governorate in census data

| Governorate | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | households | districts | subdistricts | cities | zones | neighb. | EAs | households | districts | subdistricts | villages | subvillages | EAs |
| 11 lbb | 54,126 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 356 | 371 | 259,492 | 20 | 251 | 2,717 | 17,208 | 2,054 |
| 12 Abyan | 15,524 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 27 | 89 | 106 | 43,446 | 11 | 11 | 2,978 | 2,979 | 356 |
| 13 Sana'a City | 260,825 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 89 | 791 | 1,637 | 4,971 | 1 | 3 | 52 | 172 | 41 |
| 14 Al-Baida | 14,023 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 105 | 91 | 55,774 | 19 | 109 | 1,478 | 3,171 | 441 |
| 15 Taiz | 88,474 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 317 | 621 | 304,262 | 20 | 233 | 1,983 | 16,407 | 2,286 |
| 16 Al-Jawf | 7,236 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 121 | 44 | 49,230 | 12 | 47 | 481 | 2,466 | 293 |
| 17 Hajja | 17,275 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 216 | 135 | 169,586 | 31 | 161 | 3,780 | 13,830 | 1,503 |
| 18 Al-Hodeida | 120,603 | 24 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 283 | 803 | 246,919 | 24 | 135 | 2,298 | 5,796 | 1,878 |
| 19 Hadramout | 66,375 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 82 | 149 | 428 | 75,605 | 30 | 37 | 3,837 | 3,847 | 550 |
| 20 Dhamar | 25,879 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 134 | 183 | 173,069 | 12 | 312 | 3,373 | 13,419 | 1,416 |
| 21 Shabwah | 9,637 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 43 | 74 | 43,412 | 17 | 24 | 3,337 | 3,540 | 398 |
| 22 Sa'adah | 12,924 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 157 | 91 | 68,529 | 15 | 121 | 1,194 | 6,438 | 606 |
| 23 Sana'a Reg. | 3,653 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 67 | 29 | 112,119 | 16 | 145 | 2,156 | 7,218 | 913 |
| 24 Aden | 97,289 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 44 | 242 | 633 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 Laheg | 9,720 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 110 | 65 | 104,882 | 14 | 40 | 4,124 | 5,840 | 757 |
| 26 Mareb | 3,962 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 29 | 24,029 | 14 | 59 | 467 | 2,162 | 208 |
| 27 Al-Mahweet | 4,674 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 71 | 35 | 60,849 | 9 | 114 | 1,213 | 4,647 | 531 |
| 28 Al-Maharh | 5,220 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 51 | 40 | 7,636 | 9 | 12 | 367 | 369 | 75 |
| 29 Amran | 18,728 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 179 | 152 | 80,408 | 20 | 125 | 1,629 | 5,707 | 753 |
| 30 Al -Dhale | 8,445 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 140 | 62 | 52,640 | 9 | 41 | 1,688 | 2,900 | 409 |
| 31 Remah | 617 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 5 | 49,862 | 6 | 89 | 737 | 6,679 | 480 |

Table 2: HBS final sample allocation

|  | No. of clusters |  |  | No. of households |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Governorate | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 11 Ibb | 43 | 41 | 84 | 516 | 492 | 1,008 |
| 12 Abyan | 30 | 18 | 48 | 360 | 216 | 576 |
| 13 Sana'a City | 156 | 0 | 156 | 1,872 | 0 | 1,872 |
| 14 Al-Baida | 29 | 19 | 48 | 348 | 228 | 576 |
| 15 Taiz | 56 | 40 | 96 | 672 | 480 | 1152 |
| 16 Al-Jawf | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 |
| 17 Hajja | 30 | 30 | 60 | 360 | 360 | 720 |
| 18 Al-Hodeida | 75 | 33 | 108 | 900 | 396 | 1,296 |
| 19 Hadramout | 41 | 19 | 60 | 492 | 228 | 720 |
| 20 Dhamar | 31 | 29 | 60 | 372 | 348 | 720 |
| 21 Shabwah | 21 | 15 | 36 | 252 | 180 | 432 |
| 22 Sa'adah | 28 | 20 | 48 | 336 | 240 | 576 |
| 23 Sana'a Region | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 288 | 288 |
| 24 Aden | 72 | 0 | 72 | 864 | 0 | 864 |
| 25 Laheg | 25 | 23 | 48 | 300 | 276 | 576 |
| 26 Mareb | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 |
| 27 Al-Mahweet | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 |
| 28 Al-Maharh | 12 | 12 | 24 | 144 | 144 | 288 |
| 29 Amran | 27 | 21 | 48 | 324 | 252 | 576 |
| 30 Al-Dhale | 22 | 14 | 36 | 264 | 168 | 432 |
| 31 Remah | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 288 | 288 |
| Total | 769 | 431 | 1,200 | 9,228 | 5,172 | 14,400 |

Source: Figure 3, Godoy and Muñoz (2006)

Table 3: Common information in the census and the survey

|  | Census (question No. \& code) | Survey (question No. \& code) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling |  |  |
| Type of house house/villa apartment hut tent establishment | Section 2, 201 <br> 1: house <br> 2: apartment <br> 6: hut; 7: tin hut <br> 8: tent <br> 3: establishment for accommodation | Section 3, 301 <br> 1: house; 3: villa <br> 2: apartment <br> 6: hut <br> 7: tent <br> 4: habitable establishment |
| Main method of water supply <br> public network private network cooperative network | Section 2, 206 <br> 1: public network <br> 2: private network <br> 3: cooperative network | Section 3, 305 <br> 1: public network <br> 3: private network <br> 2: cooperative network |
| Main source of water supply well rain collection | Section 2, 205 <br> 1: deep well; 2: well; 4: covered well <br> 7: rooftop water harvest | Section 3, 306 <br> 1: erteslan well; 2: normal well <br> 7: traditional way in collecting rain |
| Type of sewage system public network close pot open pot | Section 2, 207 <br> 1: public network <br> 2: covered pit <br> 3: open pit | Section 3, 312 <br> 1: public network <br> 2: close pot <br> 3: open pot |
| Main source of lighting public network cooperative network private network private generator kerosene gas | Section 2, 208 <br> 1: public network <br> 3: cooperative network <br> 2: private network <br> 4: private generator <br> 5: kerosene <br> 6: gas | Section 3, 315 <br> 1: public network <br> 2: cooperative network <br> 3: private network <br> 4: house generator <br> 5: kerosene lantern <br> 6: gas lamp |
| Main source for cooking electricity kerosene | Section 2, 209 <br> 5: electricity <br> 2: kerosene | Section 3, 319 <br> 5: electricity <br> 4: kerosene |
| Legal status of the dwelling own rent | Section 2, 203 <br> 1: own <br> 2: rented | Section 3, 324 <br> 2: own <br> 1: rented |
| Durables |  |  |
| Durable goods private cars taxi's buses small trucks large trucks motor bikes mixers phones mobiles refrigerators | Section 3, 301, 302 <br> 301, 1: private car <br> 301, 2: taxi <br> 301, 3: bus <br> 301, 4: small truck <br> 301, 5: large truck <br> 301, 6: motorbike <br> 301, 8: concrete mixer <br> 302, 1: house phone <br> 302, 2: mobile <br> 302, 3: refrigerator | Section 12, 1201 <br> 1: private car <br> 2: taxi <br> 3: autobus <br> 5: small truck <br> 6: truck <br> 8: motor bike <br> 10: mixer <br> 21: telephone <br> 22: mobile telephone <br> 11: refrigerator |

Table 3: Common information in the census and the survey (Continued)

|  | Census (question No. \& code) | Survey (question No. \& code) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| washing machines | 302, 4: washing machine | 12: washing machine |
| TVs | 302, 7: TV | 17: color TV; 18: black TV |
| radios | 302, 10: radio | 16: radio/cassette recorder |
| water heaters | 302, 11: water heater | 14: electrical water worm; 15: sun water worm |
| sewing machines | 302, 12: sewing machine | 23: sewing machine |
| PCs | 302, 6: PC | 27: PC |
| satellite dishes | 302, 8: satellite dish | 20: satellite dish |
| air conditioners | 302, 9: air conditioner | 26: air conditioner |
| Demography |  |  |
| Age of household members | Section 4, 408 | Section 1, 103 |
| Relation of household members to head | Section 4, 406 | Section 1, 105 |
| head | 1: HH head | 0 : head |
| spouse | 2: HH head spouse | 1: spouse |
| Sex of household members | Section 4, 407 | Section 1, 102 |
| male | 1: male | 1: male |
| female | 2: female | 2: female |
| Marital status of | Section 6, 601 | Section 1, 109 |
| married | 1: single | 1: single |
| single | 2: married | 2: married |
| divorced | 3: divorced | 3: divorced |
| widowed | 4: widowed | 4: widowed |
| Education |  |  |
| Education level of household members | Section 7, 704 | Section 5, 506 |
| illiterate | 1: illiterate | 1: never read and write |
| read/write | 2: read/write | 2: read and write |
| primary | 3: primary | 3: primary |
| university | 8: university | 10: university degree |

Table 4: Household level variables generated using information common in both the census and the survey

| Variable name | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| housetype1 | The type of the house of the household is house/villa |
| housetype2 | The type of the house of the household is apartment |
| housetype3 | The type of the house of the household is hut |
| housetype4 | The type of the house of the household is tent |
| housetype5 | The type of the house of the household is habitable establishment |
| water1 | The main source water supply is public network |
| water2 | The main source water supply is private network |
| water3 | The main source water supply is cooperative network |
| sewage1 | The type of sewage disposal system is public network |
| sewage2 | The type of sewage disposal system is close pot |
| sewage3 | The type of sewage disposal system is open pot |
| light1 | The main source of lighting is public network |
| light2 | The main source of lighting is cooperative network |
| light3 | The main source of lighting is private network |
| light4 | The main source of lighting is private generator |
| light5 | The main source of lighting is kerosene |
| light6 | The main source of lighting is gas |
| cook1 | The main source used for cooking is electricity |
| cook2 | The main source used for cooking is kerosene |
| ownhouse1 | The household owns the house |
| ownhouse2 | The household rents the house |
| dum_durable1 | The household owns private car(s) |
| dum_durable2 | The household owns taxi('s) |
| dum_durable3 | The household owns bus(es) |
| dum_durable4 | The household owns small truck(s) |
| dum_durable5 | The household owns large truck(s) |
| dum_durable6 | The household owns motor bike(s) |
| dum_durable7* | The household owns mixer(s) |
| dum_durable8 | The household owns phone(s) |
| dum_durable9 | The household owns mobile(s) |
| dum_durable10 | The household owns refrigerator(s) |
| dum_durable11 | The household owns washing machine(s) |
| dum_durable12 | The household owns TV(s) |
| dum_durable13* | The household owns radio(s) |
| dum_durable14* | The household owns water heater(s) |
| dum_durable15 | The household owns sewing machine(s) |
| dum_durable16 | The household owns PC(s) |
| dum_durable17 | The household owns satellite dish(es) |
| dum_durable18 | The household owns air conditioner(s) |
| headage | Age of the head |
| spouseage | Mean age of spouses of the head |
| spouseno | No. of spouses of the head |
| hh_size | Size of the household |
| namales | No. of adult males in the household (15sage<60) |
| nafemales | No. of adult females in the household (15<age<60) |
| nkids | No. of kids in the household (age<15) |
| nelderly | No. of elderlys in the household (age $\geq 60$ ) |
| malep | Percentage of males in the household |
| femalep | Percentage of females in the household |
| amalep | Percentage of adult males in the household |
| afemalep | Percentage of adult females in the household |

Table 4: Household level variables generated using information common in both the census and the survey (continued)

| Variable name | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| kidp | Percentage of kids in the household |
| elderlyp | Percentage of elderlys in the household |
| marriedp | Percentage of married people in the household |
| singlep | Percentage of single people in the household |
| divorcedp | Percentage of divorced people in the household |
| widowp | Percentage of widows in the household |
| illiterp | Percentage of illiterate members in the household |
| primaryp | Percentage of members who finish primary school in the household |
| universityp | Percentage of members with university diploma in the household |
| headilliter | The head is illiterate |
| headread | The head can only read and write |
| headprim | The head's highest education level is primary school |
| headsecond | The head's highest education level is higher than primary school and |
|  | lower than university |
| headuniv | The head's highest education level is university or higher |
| highilliter | The person with highest level of education in the household is illiterate |
| highread | The person with highest level of education in the household can only <br> read and write |
| highprim | The highest education level of the most well-educated person in the <br> household is primary school |
| highsecond | The highest education level of the most well-educated person in the <br> household is higher than primary school and lower than university |
| highuniv | The highest education level of the most well-educated person in the <br>  <br> household is university |

* The variable is dropped from later analysis.


## Table 5: Variables of Census means

| Variable name | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| housetype1_ea | The percentage of households whose type of the houses are house/villa |
| housetype2_ea | The percentage of households whose type of the houses are apartment |
| housetype3_ea | The percentage of households whose type of the houses are hut <br> housetype4_ea <br> housetype5_ea |
| The percentage of households whose type of the houses are tent |  |
| water1_ea | The percentage of households whose type of the houses are habitable <br> establishment <br> The percentage of households whose main source of water supply is public <br> network |
| water2_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of water supply is private <br> network |
| The percentage of households whose main source of water supply is |  |
| cooperative network |  |

Table 5: Variables of Census means (Continued)

| Variable name | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| light2_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of lighting is cooperative network |
| light3_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of lighting is private network |
| light4_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of lighting is private generator |
| light5_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of lighting is kerosene |
| light6_ea | The percentage of households whose main source of lighting is gas |
| cook1_ea | The percentage of households whose main source used for cooking is electricity |
| cook2_ea | The percentage of households whose main source used for cooking is kerosene |
| ownhouse1_ea | The percentage of households who own their houses |
| ownhouse2_ea | The percentage of households who rent their houses |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | Percentage of households which own private car(s) |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | Percentage of households which own taxi('s) |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | Percentage of households which own bus(es) |
| dum_eaurable4_ea | Percentage of households which own small truck(s) |
| dum_eaurable5_ea | Percentage of households which own large truck(s) |
| dum_eaurable6_ea | Percentage of households which own motor bike(s) |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | Percentage of households which own phone(s) |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | Percentage of households which own mobile(s) |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | Percentage of households which own refrigerator(s) |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | Percentage of households which own washing machine(s) |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | Percentage of households which own TV(s) |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | Percentage of households which own sewing machine(s) |
| dum_eaurable16_ea | Percentage of households which own PC(s) |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | Percentage of households which own satellite dish(es) |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | Percentage of households which own air conditioner(s) |
| headilliter_ea | Percentage of households whose heads are illiterate |
| headread_ea | Percentage of households whose heads can only read and write |
| headprim_ea | Percentage of households whose heads only finish primary school |
| headsecond_ea | Percentage of households whose heads' highest education level is higher than primary school and lower than university |
| headuniv_ea | Percentage of households whose heads' highest education level is university or higher |
| Illiter_ea | Percentage of people who are illietrate |
| primary_ea | Percentage of people whose education level is primary school |
| university_ea | Percentage of people who finish university |
| employed1_ea* | Percentage of people who worked in the month before the census |
| employed2_ea* | Percentage of people who have worked before |
| employ_nonself_ea* | Percentage of prople who were emplyed by somebody else |
| employ_self_ea* | Percentage of prople who were self-emplyed |
| work1_ea* | Percentage of people who worked for gov. est./co. |
| work2_ea* | Percentage of people who worked for mixed est./co. |
| work3_ea* | Percentage of people who worked for private est./co. |
| work4_ea* | Percentage of people who worked for store/workshop/office |
| work5_ea* | Percentage of people who worked at home |
| work6_ea* | Percentage of people who worked as sidewalk salesman |
| work7_ea* | Percentage of people who worked as roaming salesman |
| work8_ea* | Percentage of people who worked as private construction site |
| work9_ea* | Percentage of people who worked as private farm |
| work10_ea* | Percentage of people who worked as private transport vehicle |

* The variable is generated using section 8 (economic data) of the long questionnaire.


## Table 6: Regression results of consumption models

Table 6.1: Rural Ibb

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_durable1 | 0.583 | 0.105 | 0.043 | 0.202 | 0.044 | 0.042 |
| dum_durable1_ea | 2.674 | 0.519 | 0.043 | 0.051 | 0.046 | 0.002 |
| employed1 | -0.846 | 0.242 | 0.220 | 0.094 | 0.223 | 0.010 |
| employ_nonself | 0.384 | 0.114 | 0.295 | 0.236 | 0.332 | 0.054 |
| headread_d | 1.433 | 0.285 | 0.156 | 0.097 | 0.157 | 0.008 |
| headsecond_ea | -1.131 | 0.344 | 0.117 | 0.068 | 0.138 | 0.007 |
| highsecond | 0.129 | 0.050 | 0.352 | 0.478 | 0.363 | 0.232 |
| housetype2 | -0.308 | 0.172 | 0.019 | 0.137 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| housetype3_ea | 1.681 | 0.517 | 0.013 | 0.047 | 0.014 | 0.002 |
| light5 | -0.294 | 0.049 | 0.447 | 0.497 | 0.420 | 0.244 |
| nelderly | -0.104 | 0.035 | 0.398 | 0.660 | 0.370 | 0.404 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 0.712 | 0.164 | 0.839 | 0.135 | 0.851 | 0.017 |
| primaryp | 0.485 | 0.166 | 0.110 | 0.160 | 0.119 | 0.022 |
| singlep | -0.886 | 0.109 | 0.588 | 0.231 | 0.595 | 0.044 |
| intercept_ | 11.110 | 0.177 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.083 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.2: Rural Abyan

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.323 | 0.121 | 0.080 | 0.272 | 0.062 | 0.058 |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.217 | 0.101 | 0.222 | 0.415 | 0.252 | 0.189 |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.115 | 0.074 | 0.396 | 0.489 | 0.418 | 0.244 |
| dum_eaurable17 | 0.181 | 0.116 | 0.088 | 0.283 | 0.090 | 0.082 |
| housetype1 | -0.160 | 0.084 | 0.857 | 0.350 | 0.862 | 0.120 |
| kidp | -0.585 | 0.158 | 0.383 | 0.230 | 0.376 | 0.055 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 1.384 | 0.716 | 0.932 | 0.107 | 0.931 | 0.003 |
| primaryp | 0.543 | 0.246 | 0.133 | 0.181 | 0.115 | 0.019 |
| singlep | -0.654 | 0.168 | 0.587 | 0.213 | 0.576 | 0.048 |
| water1 | -0.311 | 0.090 | 0.140 | 0.347 | 0.150 | 0.128 |
| intercept_ | 10.497 | 0.713 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.148 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.3: Rural Al-Baida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 0.594 | 0.201 | 0.238 | 0.157 | 0.226 | 0.020 |
| dum_eaurable11 | 0.328 | 0.101 | 0.110 | 0.313 | 0.101 | 0.091 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 3.854 | 0.670 | 0.110 | 0.166 | 0.097 | 0.024 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -4.819 | 0.734 | 0.055 | 0.097 | 0.052 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -20.899 | 4.069 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| employed1 | -9.877 | 1.380 | 0.245 | 0.094 | 0.263 | 0.010 |
| employed2 | 13.265 | 1.734 | 0.261 | 0.092 | 0.281 | 0.009 |
| headread_ea | 1.209 | 0.268 | 0.226 | 0.127 | 0.202 | 0.014 |
| headsecond_ea | 5.487 | 0.755 | 0.099 | 0.068 | 0.097 | 0.006 |
| headsingle | 0.265 | 0.143 | 0.046 | 0.209 | 0.046 | 0.044 |
| housetype1_ea | 1.290 | 0.355 | 0.938 | 0.101 | 0.902 | 0.024 |
| light5 | -0.417 | 0.085 | 0.178 | 0.383 | 0.175 | 0.145 |
| nafemales | -0.038 | 0.020 | 2.193 | 1.541 | 2.207 | 1.931 |
| nelderly | -0.099 | 0.043 | 0.439 | 0.696 | 0.454 | 0.485 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -1.589 | 0.438 | 0.906 | 0.080 | 0.895 | 0.020 |
| singlep | -1.096 | 0.154 | 0.616 | 0.193 | 0.615 | 0.037 |
| water4_ea | -0.387 | 0.145 | 0.913 | 0.180 | 0.901 | 0.047 |
| work7 | -1.466 | 0.325 | 0.069 | 0.132 | 0.075 | 0.012 |
| _intercept_ | 10.546 | 0.477 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | -* |  |  |  |  |  |

*No location effect.
Table 6.4: Rural Taiz

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.176 | 0.049 | 0.332 | 0.471 | 0.346 | 0.227 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | -5.475 | 1.104 | 0.026 | 0.029 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.243 | 0.131 | 0.018 | 0.133 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| femalep | -0.278 | 0.122 | 0.541 | 0.213 | 0.546 | 0.040 |
| headiliter | -0.156 | 0.046 | 0.667 | 0.471 | 0.694 | 0.213 |
| kidp | -0.898 | 0.082 | 0.409 | 0.255 | 0.414 | 0.064 |
| light1 | -0.348 | 0.079 | 0.194 | 0.395 | 0.151 | 0.128 |
| light5 | -0.333 | 0.063 | 0.654 | 0.476 | 0.698 | 0.211 |
| nafemales | -0.063 | 0.019 | 1.835 | 1.325 | 2.022 | 1.984 |
| namales | -0.089 | 0.020 | 1.387 | 1.321 | 1.405 | 1.791 |
| sewage2 | 0.146 | 0.046 | 0.315 | 0.464 | 0.340 | 0.225 |
| water2 | 0.336 | 0.079 | 0.060 | 0.237 | 0.070 | 0.065 |
| work1 | 1.024 | 0.156 | 0.185 | 0.205 | 0.187 | 0.039 |
| work10 | 2.326 | 0.455 | 0.038 | 0.079 | 0.030 | 0.003 |
| work4 | 1.367 | 0.174 | 0.138 | 0.187 | 0.138 | 0.031 |
| work5 | -0.805 | 0.348 | 0.019 | 0.059 | 0.021 | 0.004 |
| work6 | 0.905 | 0.279 | 0.035 | 0.084 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| work8 | 0.496 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.173 | 0.179 | 0.044 |
| work9 | 1.037 | 0.155 | 0.209 | 0.234 | 0.202 | 0.040 |
| intercept_ | 11.646 | 0.150 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.067 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.5: Rural Al-Jawf

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable18 | 1.386 | 0.371 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -1.690 | 0.996 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.013 | 0.001 |
| headsecond_ea | 0.989 | 0.349 | 0.138 | 0.136 | 0.124 | 0.009 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.444 | 0.109 | 0.733 | 0.292 | 0.698 | 0.080 |
| light5 | -0.181 | 0.076 | 0.732 | 0.443 | 0.717 | 0.204 |
| marriedp | 1.019 | 0.158 | 0.299 | 0.125 | 0.320 | 0.039 |
| nafemales | -0.056 | 0.027 | 1.766 | 1.220 | 1.787 | 1.287 |
| intercept_ | 10.610 | 0.125 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 | $-*$ |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*No location effect.

Table 6.6: Rural Hajja

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) $s d$ (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cook2_ea | -0.772 | 0.190 | 0.054 | 0.181 | 0.038 | 0.018 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | 2.463 | 0.511 | 0.030 | 0.079 | 0.035 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.334 | 0.093 | 0.043 | 0.203 | 0.060 | 0.056 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.560 | 0.261 | 0.011 | 0.105 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.325 | 0.152 | 0.014 | 0.116 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | -2.330 | 0.542 | 0.093 | 0.106 | 0.087 | 0.011 |
| employed1 | -0.707 | 0.331 | 0.258 | 0.112 | 0.277 | 0.007 |
| employ_nonself | 1.075 | 0.310 | 0.123 | 0.165 | 0.143 | 0.040 |
| employ_self | 1.698 | 0.350 | 0.829 | 0.193 | 0.794 | 0.049 |
| headage | -0.006 | 0.002 | 41.659 | 14.961 | 42.337 | 228.559 |
| headiliter_ea | 0.535 | 0.315 | 0.709 | 0.158 | 0.697 | 0.026 |
| headprim_ea | 6.559 | 1.019 | 0.049 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.002 |
| headread_ea | -2.035 | 0.577 | 0.121 | 0.092 | 0.128 | 0.006 |
| headsecond_ea | 1.570 | 0.534 | 0.138 | 0.112 | 0.153 | 0.008 |
| highprim | -0.138 | 0.075 | 0.068 | 0.252 | 0.095 | 0.086 |
| kidp | -0.873 | 0.143 | 0.458 | 0.242 | 0.452 | 0.053 |
| light1 | 0.410 | 0.126 | 0.078 | 0.268 | 0.089 | 0.081 |
| light1_ea | -0.924 | 0.207 | 0.076 | 0.237 | 0.072 | 0.050 |
| light4_ea | 1.113 | 0.546 | 0.032 | 0.081 | 0.034 | 0.006 |
| nafemales | -0.099 | 0.021 | 1.844 | 1.554 | 1.709 | 1.352 |
| namales | -0.068 | 0.019 | 1.788 | 1.428 | 1.809 | 1.782 |
| sewage2 | 0.544 | 0.075 | 0.080 | 0.271 | 0.110 | 0.098 |
| singlep | -0.572 | 0.154 | 0.598 | 0.225 | 0.604 | 0.049 |
| work5 | 3.420 | 0.680 | 0.016 | 0.050 | 0.021 | 0.002 |
| work7 | -0.888 | 0.334 | 0.052 | 0.105 | 0.049 | 0.013 |
| work9 | -0.656 | 0.187 | 0.533 | 0.278 | 0.542 | 0.089 |
| intercept_ | 10.949 | 0.476 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.055 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.7: Rural Al-Hodeida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 0.370 | 0.172 | 0.256 | 0.187 | 0.264 | 0.020 |
| amalep | 0.473 | 0.157 | 0.261 | 0.171 | 0.257 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.246 | 0.144 | 0.021 | 0.144 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.461 | 0.192 | 0.006 | 0.075 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.240 | 0.070 | 0.063 | 0.243 | 0.095 | 0.086 |
| employed1 | -7.410 | 1.490 | 0.328 | 0.115 | 0.346 | 0.016 |
| employed2 | 8.002 | 1.430 | 0.342 | 0.113 | 0.356 | 0.017 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.923 | 0.312 | 0.786 | 0.123 | 0.773 | 0.020 |
| headread_ea | -1.392 | 0.407 | 0.106 | 0.069 | 0.132 | 0.015 |
| headsingle | 0.507 | 0.130 | 0.034 | 0.181 | 0.027 | 0.026 |
| light1 | 0.646 | 0.188 | 0.035 | 0.184 | 0.033 | 0.032 |
| light3_ea | 1.965 | 0.286 | 0.022 | 0.102 | 0.030 | 0.020 |
| light5_ea | 1.237 | 0.200 | 0.862 | 0.243 | 0.890 | 0.043 |
| marriedp | 0.874 | 0.118 | 0.404 | 0.269 | 0.402 | 0.049 |
| ownhouse2 | 0.712 | 0.280 | 0.008 | 0.091 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| water3 | 0.219 | 0.065 | 0.187 | 0.390 | 0.142 | 0.122 |
| water3_ea | 0.171 | 0.081 | 0.185 | 0.339 | 0.152 | 0.086 |
| water__ea | -0.323 | 0.146 | 0.911 | 0.206 | 0.929 | 0.037 |
| work7 | -0.595 | 0.224 | 0.076 | 0.118 | 0.072 | 0.012 |
| intercept_ | 10.372 | 0.281 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 3777 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.035 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.8: Rural Hadramout

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) $s d$ (census) |  |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11 | 0.152 | 0.047 | 0.223 | 0.416 | 0.298 | 0.210 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -1.733 | 0.266 | 0.113 | 0.182 | 0.131 | 0.027 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.186 | 0.049 | 0.236 | 0.424 | 0.259 | 0.193 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | -5.818 | 1.852 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.476 | 0.101 | 0.056 | 0.231 | 0.052 | 0.049 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 0.861 | 0.258 | 0.197 | 0.183 | 0.202 | 0.025 |
| headdivorced | -0.337 | 0.177 | 0.017 | 0.129 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| kidp | -0.646 | 0.180 | 0.398 | 0.220 | 0.397 | 0.046 |
| light1_ea | 0.456 | 0.110 | 0.414 | 0.451 | 0.478 | 0.195 |
| light4 | 0.243 | 0.092 | 0.057 | 0.233 | 0.048 | 0.046 |
| namales | 0.094 | 0.026 | 2.349 | 2.129 | 2.422 | 2.726 |
| nkids | 0.125 | 0.025 | 4.014 | 3.289 | 3.945 | 12.955 |
| singlep | -0.454 | 0.129 | 0.568 | 0.208 | 0.580 | 0.037 |
| hh_size | -0.118 | 0.017 | 9.199 | 5.868 | 9.574 | 34.513 |
| water1 | -0.507 | 0.084 | 0.307 | 0.461 | 0.292 | 0.208 |
| water3 | 0.189 | 0.076 | 0.088 | 0.283 | 0.064 | 0.060 |
| work1 | -0.264 | 0.100 | 0.129 | 0.145 | 0.166 | 0.058 |
| intercept_ | 12.218 | 0.099 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |

location effect -*
*No location effect.

Table 6.9: Rural Dhamar

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) $s d$ (census) |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | -0.504 | 0.217 | 0.213 | 0.167 | 0.232 | 0.023 |
| dum_eaurable11 | 0.406 | 0.142 | 0.025 | 0.155 | 0.040 | 0.038 |
| dum_eaurable3 | -0.506 | 0.278 | 0.002 | 0.048 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.265 | 0.119 | 0.026 | 0.160 | 0.038 | 0.037 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.191 | 0.079 | 0.083 | 0.275 | 0.134 | 0.116 |
| employed1 | 6.683 | 2.156 | 0.282 | 0.124 | 0.272 | 0.010 |
| employed2 | -6.017 | 2.280 | 0.290 | 0.123 | 0.279 | 0.009 |
| employ_nonself | -0.926 | 0.223 | 0.263 | 0.242 | 0.297 | 0.068 |
| employ_self | -0.758 | 0.244 | 0.700 | 0.249 | 0.663 | 0.061 |
| headprim_ea | 2.978 | 0.958 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.001 |
| headread_ea | 0.474 | 0.219 | 0.150 | 0.108 | 0.171 | 0.017 |
| kidp | -0.902 | 0.139 | 0.439 | 0.239 | 0.440 | 0.053 |
| light4 | 0.416 | 0.201 | 0.023 | 0.151 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| marriedp | 0.343 | 0.135 | 0.371 | 0.229 | 0.366 | 0.041 |
| nelderly | -0.141 | 0.039 | 0.436 | 0.699 | 0.462 | 0.498 |
| primaryp | 0.465 | 0.221 | 0.092 | 0.147 | 0.104 | 0.016 |
| work3 | -2.454 | 0.758 | 0.010 | 0.045 | 0.010 | 0.001 |
| intercept_ | 12.337 | 0.247 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.10: Rural Shabwah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.411 | 0.089 | 0.256 | 0.437 | 0.245 | 0.186 |
| light5_ea | -0.652 | 0.116 | 0.265 | 0.361 | 0.261 | 0.125 |
| light6 | -0.315 | 0.124 | 0.096 | 0.295 | 0.117 | 0.104 |
| ownhouse2_ea | 8.078 | 2.105 | 0.021 | 0.061 | 0.018 | 0.000 |
| singlep | -0.705 | 0.226 | 0.615 | 0.184 | 0.616 | 0.026 |
| hh_size | -0.024 | 0.007 | 10.481 | 6.339 | 10.435 | 32.939 |
| water1_ea | -0.795 | 0.283 | 0.047 | 0.173 | 0.040 | 0.017 |
| intercept_ | 11.927 | 0.155 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 151 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.11: Rural Sa'adah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 1.113 | 0.269 | 0.250 | 0.172 | 0.238 | 0.025 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 1.477 | 0.783 | 0.020 | 0.045 | 0.023 | 0.003 |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.163 | 0.058 | 0.306 | 0.461 | 0.352 | 0.229 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.476 | 0.185 | 0.306 | 0.265 | 0.323 | 0.054 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.228 | 0.076 | 0.197 | 0.398 | 0.166 | 0.139 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.268 | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.279 | 0.101 | 0.091 |
| employed1 | -3.431 | 1.029 | 0.309 | 0.118 | 0.330 | 0.018 |
| employed2 | 3.454 | 1.046 | 0.320 | 0.117 | 0.342 | 0.017 |
| femalehead | 0.470 | 0.178 | 0.054 | 0.225 | 0.026 | 0.025 |
| headiliter_ea | -1.242 | 0.327 | 0.734 | 0.184 | 0.748 | 0.022 |
| headprim_ea | -3.218 | 1.210 | 0.048 | 0.043 | 0.049 | 0.002 |
| kidp | -0.658 | 0.214 | 0.452 | 0.222 | 0.450 | 0.048 |
| marriedp | 0.371 | 0.160 | 0.347 | 0.199 | 0.363 | 0.037 |
| namales | -0.094 | 0.032 | 2.153 | 1.784 | 2.050 | 2.286 |
| nkids | 0.043 | 0.019 | 4.522 | 3.544 | 4.309 | 8.128 |
| work10 | -3.715 | 0.767 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.026 | 0.002 |
| work9 | 0.444 | 0.162 | 0.612 | 0.267 | 0.605 | 0.061 |
| intercept_ | 12.181 | 0.321 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 218 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.068 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.12: Rural Sana'a Region

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.238 | 0.058 | 0.141 | 0.348 | 0.162 | 0.136 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 0.367 | 0.124 | 0.141 | 0.161 | 0.157 | 0.032 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.382 | 0.117 | 0.026 | 0.160 | 0.033 | 0.032 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.255 | 0.105 | 0.027 | 0.163 | 0.041 | 0.039 |
| dum_eaurable9 | 0.201 | 0.050 | 0.176 | 0.381 | 0.207 | 0.165 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.739 | 0.139 | 0.610 | 0.180 | 0.646 | 0.032 |
| kidp | -0.831 | 0.100 | 0.423 | 0.223 | 0.420 | 0.044 |
| light1 | 0.230 | 0.046 | 0.497 | 0.500 | 0.478 | 0.251 |
| nafemales | -0.082 | 0.016 | 2.078 | 1.607 | 2.151 | 2.140 |
| namales | -0.059 | 0.016 | 2.091 | 1.863 | 2.052 | 2.195 |
| primaryp | 0.675 | 0.163 | 0.127 | 0.163 | 0.106 | 0.017 |
| intercept_ | 12.189 | 0.130 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 256 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.049 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.13: Rural Laheg

| Variable | Coefficien | td. Err. | Mean (cen | (census) | an (surv | d (surve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.159 | 0.092 | 0.364 | 0.481 | 0.397 | 0.240 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.901 | 0.187 | 0.364 | 0.337 | 0.388 | 0.124 |
| dum_eaurable14 | -0.937 | 0.279 | 0.026 | 0.159 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -2.644 | 0.939 | 0.026 | 0.095 | 0.018 | 0.004 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.488 | 0.166 | 0.063 | 0.242 | 0.043 | 0.042 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 3.506 | 0.572 | 0.063 | 0.091 | 0.057 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | 2.164 | 0.517 | 0.099 | 0.198 | 0.081 | 0.028 |
| elderlyp | 1.160 | 0.217 | 0.091 | 0.204 | 0.094 | 0.039 |
| employed1 | 5.318 | 1.253 | 0.207 | 0.095 | 0.214 | 0.011 |
| employed2 | -6.212 | 1.337 | 0.217 | 0.096 | 0.227 | 0.011 |
| headread_ea | 1.114 | 0.282 | 0.232 | 0.121 | 0.205 | 0.016 |
| headuniv_ea | 3.406 | 0.957 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 0.031 | 0.002 |
| kidp | -0.309 | 0.148 | 0.380 | 0.246 | 0.371 | 0.057 |
| light5 | -0.379 | 0.128 | 0.464 | 0.499 | 0.455 | 0.249 |
| light6 | -0.541 | 0.175 | 0.089 | 0.285 | 0.070 | 0.066 |
| marriedp | 0.427 | 0.143 | 0.358 | 0.238 | 0.358 | 0.046 |
| nelderly | -0.193 | 0.059 | 0.431 | 0.702 | 0.424 | 0.412 |
| water3_ea | 0.807 | 0.259 | 0.032 | 0.138 | 0.033 | 0.021 |
| work1 | -0.792 | 0.174 | 0.387 | 0.296 | 0.384 | 0.071 |
| work4 | -2.711 | 0.414 | 0.120 | 0.186 | 0.103 | 0.028 |
| _intercept | 11.736 | 0.223 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 246.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | -* |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.14: Rural Mareb

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | -1.455 | 0.777 | 0.091 | 0.138 | 0.077 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | 0.678 | 0.132 | 0.509 | 0.295 | 0.463 | 0.081 |
| dum_eaurable17 | 0.425 | 0.156 | 0.061 | 0.239 | 0.053 | 0.051 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.289 | 0.093 | 0.189 | 0.392 | 0.208 | 0.166 |
| employed2 | -1.061 | 0.265 | 0.207 | 0.110 | 0.200 | 0.018 |
| headage | -0.009 | 0.003 | 43.282 | 14.265 | 41.665 | 148.410 |
| headiliter_ea | -3.714 | 0.794 | 0.635 | 0.174 | 0.646 | 0.044 |
| headread_ea | -6.078 | 1.147 | 0.112 | 0.089 | 0.109 | 0.008 |
| headsecond_ea | -3.330 | 1.026 | 0.204 | 0.127 | 0.193 | 0.011 |
| headuniv | 0.472 | 0.236 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.019 |
| marriedp | 0.544 | 0.216 | 0.310 | 0.177 | 0.337 | 0.040 |
| nkids | -0.046 | 0.017 | 4.436 | 3.386 | 4.334 | 6.300 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -2.788 | 0.706 | 0.881 | 0.144 | 0.895 | 0.016 |
| primaryp | 0.846 | 0.214 | 0.134 | 0.175 | 0.132 | 0.036 |
| work7 | 2.985 | 0.786 | 0.024 | 0.071 | 0.022 | 0.003 |
| intercept_ | 17.631 | 1.207 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 158 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R$ square | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.15: Rural Al-Mahweet

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.355 | 0.108 | 0.038 | 0.190 | 0.056 | 0.053 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | 0.187 | 0.096 | 0.658 | 0.241 | 0.620 | 0.060 |
| dum_eaurable15 | 0.259 | 0.109 | 0.037 | 0.189 | 0.050 | 0.048 |
| dum_eaurable2 | -0.636 | 0.353 | 0.007 | 0.083 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.635 | 0.340 | 0.003 | 0.056 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.558 | 0.236 | 0.715 | 0.136 | 0.725 | 0.013 |
| headmarried | -0.687 | 0.096 | 0.893 | 0.309 | 0.914 | 0.079 |
| headuniv | 0.336 | 0.154 | 0.032 | 0.176 | 0.026 | 0.025 |
| light6_ea | -0.178 | 0.080 | 0.113 | 0.230 | 0.113 | 0.077 |
| marriedp | 0.978 | 0.116 | 0.360 | 0.221 | 0.380 | 0.051 |
| primaryp | 0.692 | 0.200 | 0.091 | 0.147 | 0.080 | 0.014 |
| water3_ea | -0.243 | 0.121 | 0.060 | 0.207 | 0.041 | 0.034 |
| work8 | 0.315 | 0.155 | 0.139 | 0.168 | 0.131 | 0.025 |
| intercept_ | 11.755 | 0.203 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 249 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.16: Rural Al-Maharh

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | ) sd (census) | Mean (sur | sd (survey) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1×nelderlyinv* | 0.424 | 0.111 | 0.141 | 0.107 | 0.180 | 0.127 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.834 | 0.173 | 0.332 | 0.066 | 0.392 | 0.054 |
| dum_eaurable4_0 ${ }^{\dagger} \times$ nelderlyinv | -0.544 | 0.164 | 0.770 | 0.103 | 0.832 | 0.086 |
| employed1 | 16.003 | 5.451 | 0.309 | 0.026 | 0.282 | 0.018 |
| employed2 | -14.516 | 5.455 | 0.313 | 0.026 | 0.286 | 0.019 |
| light4_eaxnafemalesinv * | -1.152 | 0.373 | 0.029 | 0.007 | 0.046 | 0.013 |
| nafemalesinvxnelderlyinv | 0.729 | 0.323 | 0.379 | 0.050 | 0.359 | 0.025 |
| nkids | -0.086 | 0.017 | 3.145 | 7.531 | 3.104 | 5.712 |
| intercept | 12.356 | 0.178 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.17: Rural Amran

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. |  | Mean (census) |  |  | sd (census) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Mean (survey) sd (survey)

Table 6.18: Rural Al-Dhale

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1×sizeinv* | 3.271 | 1.156 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable10×sizeinv | 2.528 | 1.019 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | -0.725 | 0.281 | 0.093 | 0.020 | 0.106 | 0.030 |
| dum_eaurable17 | 0.217 | 0.095 | 0.151 | 0.358 | 0.179 | 0.148 |
| headsingle | 0.427 | 0.173 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.031 | 0.030 |
| headuniv | 0.413 | 0.144 | 0.031 | 0.173 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| highsecond×sizeinv | 1.514 | 0.507 | 0.057 | 0.006 | 0.056 | 0.005 |
| housetype1×sizeinv | 1.370 | 0.912 | 0.134 | 0.028 | 0.130 | 0.005 |
| light1_ea | -0.196 | 0.118 | 0.331 | 0.189 | 0.311 | 0.193 |
| light5 | -0.231 | 0.084 | 0.418 | 0.493 | 0.412 | 0.244 |
| marriedp×sizeinv | 1.957 | 0.900 | 0.054 | 0.005 | 0.055 | 0.006 |
| sewage3_ea | 0.301 | 0.100 | 0.250 | 0.433 | 0.277 | 0.117 |
| water2 | -0.615 | 0.197 | 0.045 | 0.208 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| intercept_ | 10.974 | 0.114 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 156 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |
| R square |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Table 6.19: Rural Remah

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) |  |  | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.177 | 0.087 | 0.096 | 0.294 | 0.121 | 0.106 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | 3.433 | 1.463 | 0.015 | 0.051 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable17 | -0.612 | 0.254 | 0.010 | 0.099 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.758 | 0.181 | 0.007 | 0.085 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -5.708 | 1.331 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.016 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | -1.363 | 0.433 | 0.069 | 0.086 | 0.067 | 0.005 |
| headdivorced | 0.519 | 0.221 | 0.011 | 0.104 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.699 | 0.229 | 0.703 | 0.155 | 0.722 | 0.022 |
| headread_ea | -0.486 | 0.272 | 0.183 | 0.116 | 0.192 | 0.018 |
| headsingle | 0.418 | 0.150 | 0.029 | 0.169 | 0.028 | 0.027 |
| light4 | 0.591 | 0.178 | 0.026 | 0.160 | 0.026 | 0.025 |
| light5_ea | -0.591 | 0.123 | 0.806 | 0.268 | 0.817 | 0.069 |
| light6 | 0.245 | 0.107 | 0.078 | 0.269 | 0.052 | 0.049 |
| ownhouse2 | 0.341 | 0.177 | 0.039 | 0.195 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| singlep | -1.047 | 0.106 | 0.579 | 0.231 | 0.556 | 0.053 |
| work4 | 0.645 | 0.281 | 0.068 | 0.117 | 0.068 | 0.012 |
| intercept_ | 13.015 | 0.256 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.061 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.20: Urban Ibb

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. |  | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 0.606 | 0.229 | 0.357 | 0.145 | 0.356 | 0.019 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.473 | 0.073 | 0.116 | 0.320 | 0.111 | 0.099 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.886 | 0.210 | 0.011 | 0.104 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.201 | 0.050 | 0.400 | 0.490 | 0.398 | 0.240 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 1.192 | 0.183 | 0.320 | 0.148 | 0.311 | 0.023 |
| employed1 | 0.901 | 0.283 | 0.272 | 0.081 | 0.256 | 0.007 |
| employ_nonself | -0.418 | 0.126 | 0.519 | 0.206 | 0.469 | 0.043 |
| headread_ea | 0.753 | 0.304 | 0.179 | 0.080 | 0.173 | 0.006 |
| headsecond | 0.188 | 0.054 | 0.240 | 0.427 | 0.209 | 0.165 |
| headuniv | 0.345 | 0.075 | 0.099 | 0.299 | 0.101 | 0.091 |
| light1_ea | -0.541 | 0.139 | 0.893 | 0.213 | 0.890 | 0.049 |
| light5 | -0.353 | 0.161 | 0.018 | 0.134 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| nafemales | -0.043 | 0.016 | 1.931 | 1.494 | 2.094 | 2.200 |
| nkids | -0.072 | 0.013 | 3.130 | 2.391 | 3.174 | 4.140 |
| singlep | -0.740 | 0.142 | 0.593 | 0.227 | 0.611 | 0.034 |
| work9 | -1.173 | 0.406 | 0.038 | 0.065 | 0.038 | 0.004 |
| intercept_ | 12.036 | 0.161 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 470 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*No location effect

Table 6.21: Urban Abyan

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (cen | (cens | an (sur | (surv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.555 | 0.241 | 0.677 | 0.467 | 0.647 | 0.052 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 0.815 | 0.299 | 0.386 | 0.487 | 0.375 | 0.040 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -1.389 | 0.288 | 0.789 | 0.408 | 0.772 | 0.027 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -1.004 | 0.390 | 0.157 | 0.364 | 0.165 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable16_ea | -5.152 | 1.991 | 0.014 | 0.119 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 1.243 | 0.237 | 0.513 | 0.500 | 0.494 | 0.041 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.638 | 0.092 | 0.073 | 0.260 | 0.084 | 0.077 |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.586 | 0.181 | 0.014 | 0.118 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable4_ea | 11.976 | 2.624 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.716 | 0.353 | 0.332 | 0.107 | 0.323 | 0.009 |
| headuniv | 0.314 | 0.106 | 0.071 | 0.257 | 0.062 | 0.058 |
| nafemalesinv* | 0.898 | 0.165 | 0.404 | 0.045 | 0.363 | 0.025 |
| nkids | -0.071 | 0.010 | 2.793 | 2.553 | 2.969 | 6.723 |
| sewage2 | 0.190 | 0.061 | 0.256 | 0.436 | 0.266 | 0.196 |
| _intercept | 11.368 | 0.186 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | - $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |

*nafemalesinv=1/(1+nafemales) ${ }^{\dagger}$ No location effect

Table 6.22: Urban Sana'a City

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -0.703 | 0.196 | 0.200 | 0.088 | 0.210 | 0.009 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.247 | 0.044 | 0.120 | 0.325 | 0.138 | 0.119 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 0.388 | 0.139 | 0.429 | 0.162 | 0.437 | 0.029 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 1.460 | 0.250 | 0.012 | 0.111 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 0.887 | 0.172 | 0.199 | 0.110 | 0.199 | 0.014 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | 2.642 | 0.531 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.046 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.363 | 0.094 | 0.028 | 0.165 | 0.023 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.265 | 0.134 | 0.008 | 0.092 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.227 | 0.033 | 0.470 | 0.499 | 0.446 | 0.247 |
| employ_self | -0.179 | 0.100 | 0.305 | 0.034 | 0.296 | 0.031 |
| headprim | 0.102 | 0.045 | 0.095 | 0.293 | 0.108 | 0.096 |
| headuniv | 0.138 | 0.048 | 0.195 | 0.396 | 0.225 | 0.175 |
| housetype1_ea | -0.764 | 0.244 | 0.557 | 0.235 | 0.576 | 0.061 |
| housetype2_ea | -0.658 | 0.247 | 0.352 | 0.215 | 0.353 | 0.054 |
| nelderly | -0.113 | 0.027 | 0.238 | 0.609 | 0.254 | 0.273 |
| nkids | -0.100 | 0.007 | 2.601 | 2.373 | 2.809 | 4.779 |
| ownhouse1 | 0.062 | 0.033 | 0.468 | 0.499 | 0.453 | 0.248 |
| sewage2 | -0.101 | 0.040 | 0.377 | 0.485 | 0.392 | 0.239 |
| sewage3_ea | 0.649 | 0.213 | 0.012 | 0.064 | 0.012 | 0.004 |
| singlep | -0.532 | 0.076 | 0.565 | 0.245 | 0.576 | 0.048 |
| universityp | 0.536 | 0.129 | 0.073 | 0.161 | 0.081 | 0.027 |
| water1 | 0.118 | 0.041 | 0.604 | 0.489 | 0.584 | 0.243 |
| water2 | 0.296 | 0.078 | 0.042 | 0.201 | 0.036 | 0.035 |
| water4_ea | -0.208 | 0.071 | 0.887 | 0.213 | 0.895 | 0.040 |
| work4 | 0.300 | 0.107 | 0.185 | 0.157 | 0.174 | 0.022 |
| intercept_ | 12.828 | 0.253 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 1639 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.049 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.23: Urban Al-Baida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.828 | 0.173 | 0.449 | 0.151 | 0.427 | 0.028 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -1.177 | 0.191 | 0.641 | 0.143 | 0.613 | 0.016 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.244 | 0.071 | 0.159 | 0.366 | 0.134 | 0.117 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.092 | 0.051 | 0.455 | 0.498 | 0.444 | 0.248 |
| headdivorced | 0.648 | 0.187 | 0.013 | 0.112 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| headsecond | 0.152 | 0.060 | 0.208 | 0.406 | 0.223 | 0.174 |
| headuniv | 0.284 | 0.095 | 0.062 | 0.240 | 0.078 | 0.072 |
| kidp | -0.800 | 0.126 | 0.388 | 0.224 | 0.392 | 0.047 |
| light1_ea | -0.834 | 0.174 | 0.929 | 0.123 | 0.907 | 0.033 |
| marriedp | 0.704 | 0.129 | 0.363 | 0.214 | 0.380 | 0.047 |
| nelderly | -0.135 | 0.043 | 0.322 | 0.598 | 0.320 | 0.331 |
| water1 | 0.230 | 0.049 | 0.524 | 0.499 | 0.549 | 0.248 |
| intercept_ | 12.650 | 0.209 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 327 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.062 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.24: Urban Taiz

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 1.801 | 0.481 | 0.581 | 0.200 | 0.594 | 0.041 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | -1.253 | 0.542 | 0.481 | 0.195 | 0.498 | 0.043 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -1.353 | 0.310 | 0.798 | 0.119 | 0.799 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -0.648 | 0.366 | 0.134 | 0.101 | 0.141 | 0.010 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.409 | 0.083 | 0.067 | 0.250 | 0.079 | 0.073 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | -1.026 | 0.337 | 0.435 | 0.159 | 0.451 | 0.029 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.535 | 0.194 | 0.030 | 0.171 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | -1.311 | 0.601 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.028 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.446 | 0.074 | 0.101 | 0.301 | 0.095 | 0.086 |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.320 | 0.133 | 0.020 | 0.138 | 0.022 | 0.021 |
| dum_eaurable5_ea | 5.330 | 2.606 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.211 | 0.045 | 0.396 | 0.489 | 0.399 | 0.240 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | 2.023 | 0.416 | 0.396 | 0.145 | 0.390 | 0.019 |
| headage | -0.008 | 0.002 | 41.556 | 14.214 | 44.127 | 185.790 |
| headprim_ea | -1.391 | 0.511 | 0.099 | 0.041 | 0.105 | 0.002 |
| light5 | -0.258 | 0.128 | 0.038 | 0.191 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| nelderly | -0.096 | 0.050 | 0.279 | 0.572 | 0.262 | 0.281 |
| nkids | -0.110 | 0.012 | 2.500 | 2.249 | 2.447 | 4.089 |
| sewage2_ea | -0.559 | 0.104 | 0.227 | 0.315 | 0.225 | 0.103 |
| singlep | -0.831 | 0.105 | 0.576 | 0.251 | 0.586 | 0.051 |
| water2_ea | 1.343 | 0.356 | 0.021 | 0.083 | 0.023 | 0.005 |
| intercept_ | 13.328 | 0.187 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 582.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.057 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.25: Urban Al-Jawf

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 1.674 | 0.316 | 0.261 | 0.155 | 0.254 | 0.014 |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.273 | 0.096 | 0.072 | 0.259 | 0.103 | 0.093 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.671 | 0.150 | 0.028 | 0.164 | 0.040 | 0.038 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 0.482 | 0.189 | 0.156 | 0.132 | 0.141 | 0.020 |
| headuniv_ea | 3.752 | 1.347 | 0.024 | 0.028 | 0.022 | 0.000 |
| highiliter | -0.248 | 0.072 | 0.197 | 0.398 | 0.183 | 0.150 |
| highprim | -0.362 | 0.102 | 0.053 | 0.224 | 0.072 | 0.067 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.349 | 0.201 | 0.921 | 0.104 | 0.913 | 0.017 |
| marriedp | 0.472 | 0.156 | 0.311 | 0.145 | 0.303 | 0.033 |
| nafemalesinv | 2.249 | 0.272 | 0.391 | 0.026 | 0.364 | 0.018 |
| intercept_ | 9.516 | 0.265 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 226.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.089 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.26: Urban Hajja

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.489 | 0.082 | 0.090 | 0.287 | 0.113 | 0.101 |
| elderlyp | 0.454 | 0.224 | 0.063 | 0.164 | 0.067 | 0.031 |
| headiliter | -0.245 | 0.069 | 0.523 | 0.499 | 0.497 | 0.251 |
| headmarried | -0.476 | 0.133 | 0.879 | 0.326 | 0.896 | 0.094 |
| headprim | -0.342 | 0.123 | 0.076 | 0.265 | 0.082 | 0.076 |
| headsingle | -0.343 | 0.167 | 0.060 | 0.237 | 0.048 | 0.046 |
| highprim | 0.235 | 0.121 | 0.075 | 0.263 | 0.078 | 0.072 |
| housetype1_ea | -0.483 | 0.130 | 0.689 | 0.247 | 0.711 | 0.056 |
| housetype4 | -0.930 | 0.344 | 0.004 | 0.060 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| housetype5_ea | 3.085 | 0.838 | 0.020 | 0.042 | 0.019 | 0.001 |
| kidp | -0.762 | 0.141 | 0.377 | 0.248 | 0.380 | 0.063 |
| marriedp | 0.549 | 0.148 | 0.352 | 0.229 | 0.346 | 0.053 |
| nelderly | -0.211 | 0.063 | 0.346 | 0.630 | 0.322 | 0.339 |
| primaryp | 0.423 | 0.153 | 0.218 | 0.247 | 0.199 | 0.047 |
| sewage2_ea | 0.551 | 0.097 | 0.350 | 0.322 | 0.360 | 0.113 |
| work1 | 0.596 | 0.155 | 0.306 | 0.257 | 0.324 | 0.058 |
| work9 | 0.908 | 0.158 | 0.102 | 0.158 | 0.135 | 0.049 |
| intercept_ | 12.050 | 0.170 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 339.000 | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.034 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.27: Urban Al-Hodeida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cook2_1 | -0.204 | 0.041 | 0.253 | 0.435 | 0.269 | 0.197 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.534 | 0.086 | 0.049 | 0.216 | 0.044 | 0.042 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | 7.390 | 2.179 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -2.300 | 0.891 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.225 | 0.041 | 0.255 | 0.436 | 0.281 | 0.202 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 0.360 | 0.215 | 0.216 | 0.158 | 0.237 | 0.033 |
| employed2 | 0.417 | 0.218 | 0.350 | 0.085 | 0.353 | 0.006 |
| employ_nonself | 0.265 | 0.101 | 0.450 | 0.240 | 0.441 | 0.065 |
| headiliter | -0.171 | 0.035 | 0.485 | 0.500 | 0.473 | 0.250 |
| headiliter_ea | 0.590 | 0.202 | 0.485 | 0.151 | 0.488 | 0.032 |
| headmarried | -0.093 | 0.051 | 0.837 | 0.370 | 0.844 | 0.132 |
| housetype2 | 0.171 | 0.054 | 0.094 | 0.291 | 0.130 | 0.113 |
| kidp | -0.692 | 0.086 | 0.326 | 0.250 | 0.325 | 0.063 |
| light1 | 0.225 | 0.055 | 0.727 | 0.445 | 0.732 | 0.197 |
| light1_ea | -0.260 | 0.077 | 0.716 | 0.330 | 0.729 | 0.116 |
| nafemales | -0.133 | 0.014 | 1.871 | 1.494 | 1.914 | 2.045 |
| singlep | -0.528 | 0.092 | 0.567 | 0.253 | 0.584 | 0.055 |
| universityp | 0.916 | 0.178 | 0.030 | 0.106 | 0.029 | 0.010 |
| university_ea | 5.696 | 1.590 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.001 |
| work9 | -0.404 | 0.197 | 0.034 | 0.086 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| intercept_ | 11.741 | 0.187 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 841 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.28: Urban Hadramout

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 1.099 | 0.299 | 0.559 | 0.211 | 0.544 | 0.039 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -1.502 | 0.219 | 0.170 | 0.267 | 0.176 | 0.075 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | 0.911 | 0.246 | 0.328 | 0.195 | 0.315 | 0.038 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.366 | 0.093 | 0.060 | 0.237 | 0.046 | 0.044 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | 2.307 | 0.300 | 0.297 | 0.223 | 0.297 | 0.049 |
| dum_eaurable2 | -0.236 | 0.108 | 0.019 | 0.138 | 0.024 | 0.023 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -4.124 | 1.860 | 0.016 | 0.029 | 0.013 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -2.581 | 0.338 | 0.602 | 0.203 | 0.611 | 0.044 |
| dum_eaurable9 | 0.130 | 0.040 | 0.375 | 0.484 | 0.413 | 0.243 |
| employed1 | -5.526 | 1.763 | 0.313 | 0.079 | 0.320 | 0.005 |
| employed2 | 7.625 | 1.727 | 0.325 | 0.080 | 0.328 | 0.005 |
| employ_self | 1.393 | 0.212 | 0.434 | 0.220 | 0.449 | 0.039 |
| headiliter | -0.167 | 0.044 | 0.279 | 0.448 | 0.314 | 0.216 |
| headuniv_ea | 4.546 | 0.874 | 0.067 | 0.056 | 0.064 | 0.004 |
| housetype1 | 0.306 | 0.110 | 0.836 | 0.371 | 0.850 | 0.128 |
| housetype1_ea | 1.504 | 0.229 | 0.836 | 0.199 | 0.833 | 0.039 |
| housetype2 | 0.436 | 0.129 | 0.124 | 0.329 | 0.116 | 0.103 |
| kidp | -0.516 | 0.099 | 0.345 | 0.225 | 0.329 | 0.054 |
| light1_ea | 0.659 | 0.183 | 0.905 | 0.201 | 0.898 | 0.048 |
| light4 | -0.484 | 0.245 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| ownhouse2_ea | 0.696 | 0.220 | 0.211 | 0.163 | 0.205 | 0.023 |
| singlep | -0.490 | 0.100 | 0.557 | 0.214 | 0.539 | 0.049 |
| universityp | 0.659 | 0.240 | 0.028 | 0.092 | 0.027 | 0.007 |
| university_ea | -11.177 | 2.756 | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.000 |
| water1_ea | -0.863 | 0.218 | 0.879 | 0.256 | 0.875 | 0.065 |
| intercept_ | 9.348 | 0.337 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 463 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.088 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.29: Urban Dhamar

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) |  |  | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | 0.736 | 0.211 | 0.277 | 0.169 | 0.267 | 0.026 |
| dum_eaurable15 | 0.136 | 0.075 | 0.216 | 0.411 | 0.218 | 0.171 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.736 | 0.193 | 0.035 | 0.184 | 0.023 | 0.023 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.547 | 0.109 | 0.115 | 0.319 | 0.082 | 0.076 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.611 | 0.258 | 0.015 | 0.123 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.448 | 0.215 | 0.011 | 0.104 | 0.019 | 0.018 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.243 | 0.070 | 0.338 | 0.473 | 0.338 | 0.224 |
| employed1 | 1.451 | 0.542 | 0.292 | 0.068 | 0.278 | 0.005 |
| headsingle | 0.292 | 0.144 | 0.054 | 0.226 | 0.047 | 0.045 |
| nkids | -0.044 | 0.020 | 3.285 | 2.498 | 3.227 | 5.206 |
| singlep | -0.576 | 0.176 | 0.589 | 0.223 | 0.579 | 0.048 |
| hh_size | -0.045 | 0.012 | 7.454 | 4.323 | 7.557 | 12.963 |
| work1 | 0.589 | 0.195 | 0.320 | 0.180 | 0.313 | 0.031 |
| work9 | 0.961 | 0.303 | 0.071 | 0.109 | 0.077 | 0.018 |
| intercept_ | 11.345 | 0.217 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 342 |  |  |  |  |  |


| R square | 0.42 |
| :--- | ---: |
| location effect | 0.045 |

Table 6.30: Urban Shabwah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) |  | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.324 | 0.143 | 0.040 | 0.196 | 0.037 | 0.036 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | -0.672 | 0.160 | 0.561 | 0.216 | 0.572 | 0.028 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.246 | 0.091 | 0.094 | 0.292 | 0.105 | 0.094 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.353 | 0.072 | 0.218 | 0.413 | 0.202 | 0.162 |
| headiliter | -0.242 | 0.063 | 0.293 | 0.455 | 0.297 | 0.210 |
| highread | 0.104 | 0.062 | 0.307 | 0.461 | 0.276 | 0.201 |
| housetype1_ea | -0.954 | 0.092 | 0.701 | 0.323 | 0.679 | 0.112 |
| marriedp | 0.527 | 0.153 | 0.378 | 0.222 | 0.372 | 0.035 |
| nkids | -0.046 | 0.011 | 4.011 | 3.554 | 4.106 | 7.311 |
| sewage1_ea | 0.448 | 0.091 | 0.231 | 0.334 | 0.246 | 0.117 |
| intercept_ | 12.304 | 0.144 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.59 | $-*$ |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.31: Urban Sa'adah


Table 6.32: Urban Aden

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.554 | 0.225 | 0.841 | 0.126 | 0.845 | 0.015 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.314 | 0.092 | 0.517 | 0.210 | 0.503 | 0.046 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.169 | 0.066 | 0.080 | 0.272 | 0.083 | 0.076 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | 0.425 | 0.138 | 0.428 | 0.243 | 0.452 | 0.058 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.306 | 0.051 | 0.165 | 0.371 | 0.149 | 0.127 |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.281 | 0.110 | 0.014 | 0.116 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| dum_eaurable9 | 0.239 | 0.036 | 0.412 | 0.492 | 0.417 | 0.244 |
| headmarried | -0.213 | 0.042 | 0.803 | 0.398 | 0.785 | 0.169 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.186 | 0.071 | 0.726 | 0.281 | 0.729 | 0.076 |
| light1_ea | 0.403 | 0.241 | 0.938 | 0.115 | 0.952 | 0.008 |
| nafemales | -0.093 | 0.013 | 1.927 | 1.548 | 1.958 | 1.758 |
| singlep | -1.013 | 0.076 | 0.548 | 0.238 | 0.555 | 0.051 |
| universityp | 0.576 | 0.119 | 0.069 | 0.154 | 0.083 | 0.025 |
| university_ea | 2.123 | 0.695 | 0.057 | 0.037 | 0.061 | 0.002 |
| water2 | 0.689 | 0.199 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| work10 | -0.889 | 0.313 | 0.035 | 0.058 | 0.037 | 0.004 |
| work3 | 0.492 | 0.187 | 0.087 | 0.091 | 0.098 | 0.009 |
| intercept_ | 12.363 | 0.199 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 716 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.33: Urban Laheg

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | -21.974 | 3.829 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.0001 |
| headage | 0.006 | 0.003 | 44.828 | 13.877 | 45.183 | 160.152 |
| headprim_ea | 5.295 | 1.170 | 0.062 | 0.046 | 0.065 | 0.001 |
| light1 | 0.433 | 0.136 | 0.824 | 0.381 | 0.853 | 0.126 |
| light2_ea | 1.032 | 0.181 | 0.064 | 0.225 | 0.052 | 0.043 |
| nelderly | -0.120 | 0.053 | 0.368 | 0.666 | 0.409 | 0.496 |
| ownhouse1 | 0.178 | 0.078 | 0.833 | 0.373 | 0.774 | 0.175 |
| primaryp | 1.092 | 0.143 | 0.331 | 0.274 | 0.292 | 0.065 |
| singlep | -0.790 | 0.158 | 0.567 | 0.236 | 0.533 | 0.058 |
| university_ea | 5.359 | 1.420 | 0.039 | 0.024 | 0.036 | 0.001 |
| water3_ea | -0.418 | 0.204 | 0.035 | 0.176 | 0.031 | 0.028 |
| intercept_ | 10.656 | 0.222 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 273.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.038 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.34: Urban Mareb

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (cens | (cens | Mean (su | (survey) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.659 | 0.264 | 0.577 | 0.179 | 0.600 | 0.037 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.512 | 0.214 | 0.037 | 0.188 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.334 | 0.105 | 0.141 | 0.348 | 0.155 | 0.132 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | -3.348 | 1.140 | 0.141 | 0.069 | 0.131 | 0.005 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea×sizeinv* | 16.452 | 6.687 | 0.022 | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.408 | 0.164 | 0.027 | 0.162 | 0.051 | 0.049 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.731 | 0.298 | 0.389 | 0.142 | 0.432 | 0.026 |
| headsecond $\times$ sizeinv | 1.194 | 0.504 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.044 | 0.007 |
| light1_ea | 2.557 | 0.776 | 0.895 | 0.062 | 0.896 | 0.004 |
| light4 | 0.785 | 0.414 | 0.006 | 0.075 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| ownhouse1 | 0.383 | 0.081 | 0.482 | 0.500 | 0.520 | 0.251 |
| sizeinv | 1.732 | 0.947 | 0.158 | 0.011 | 0.136 | 0.006 |
| water4_ea | -0.771 | 0.238 | 0.858 | 0.192 | 0.872 | 0.032 |
| intercept | 10.432 | 0.598 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | - ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

*sizeinv=1/(1+hh_size); ${ }^{\dagger}$ no location effect.

Table 6.35: Urban Al-Mahweet

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | 1.782 | 0.396 | 0.175 | 0.108 | 0.190 | 0.013 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | -4.391 | 1.453 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.854 | 0.419 | 0.003 | 0.052 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -21.371 | 8.416 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable5_ea | 7.384 | 2.691 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.189 | 0.050 | 0.482 | 0.500 | 0.464 | 0.250 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -0.817 | 0.360 | 0.482 | 0.104 | 0.489 | 0.012 |
| employ_nonself | 0.729 | 0.201 | 0.677 | 0.204 | 0.656 | 0.054 |
| headage | -0.005 | 0.002 | 42.882 | 15.373 | 45.828 | 245.501 |
| headdivorced | 0.629 | 0.313 | 0.013 | 0.113 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| headread_ea | 0.949 | 0.300 | 0.168 | 0.086 | 0.168 | 0.009 |
| headuniv | 0.159 | 0.079 | 0.128 | 0.335 | 0.117 | 0.104 |
| highread | -0.145 | 0.068 | 0.177 | 0.382 | 0.165 | 0.138 |
| marriedp | 1.043 | 0.288 | 0.349 | 0.228 | 0.346 | 0.039 |
| marriedpxnkidsinv* | -0.824 | 0.362 | 0.152 | 0.056 | 0.142 | 0.044 |
| nkidsinv | 1.033 | 0.155 | 0.387 | 0.102 | 0.352 | 0.078 |
| nkidsinvxwater3_ea | 3.302 | 1.834 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| water1_ea | -0.228 | 0.111 | 0.697 | 0.353 | 0.679 | 0.131 |
| work1 | -1.017 | 0.204 | 0.518 | 0.241 | 0.508 | 0.062 |
| intercept_ | 11.162 | 0.248 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Table 6.36: Urban Al-Maharh

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.483 | 0.204 | 0.525 | 0.254 | 0.561 | 0.073 |
| dum_eaurable16_ea | -14.151 | 6.538 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.0003 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.639 | 0.192 | 0.049 | 0.216 | 0.031 | 0.030 |
| employed1 | 1.042 | 0.439 | 0.344 | 0.142 | 0.347 | 0.021 |
| headage | -0.006 | 0.002 | 43.065 | 13.993 | 47.476 | 217.166 |
| headuniv_ea | 9.756 | 2.554 | 0.056 | 0.064 | 0.057 | 0.003 |
| marriedp | 0.719 | 0.191 | 0.387 | 0.242 | 0.386 | 0.039 |
| nkids | -0.091 | 0.017 | 3.292 | 3.025 | 3.372 | 4.982 |
| university_ea | -37.644 | 4.958 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 0.0002 |
| water1_ea | -0.527 | 0.194 | 0.301 | 0.386 | 0.288 | 0.158 |
| water4_ea | 1.007 | 0.177 | 0.793 | 0.240 | 0.794 | 0.072 |
| intercept_ | 11.189 | 0.269 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 137 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |
| R square |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.37: Urban Amran

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.459 | 0.171 | 0.734 | 0.169 | 0.733 | 0.027 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | 1.098 | 0.202 | 0.193 | 0.134 | 0.197 | 0.020 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.989 | 0.310 | 0.018 | 0.132 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.473 | 0.073 | 0.156 | 0.363 | 0.169 | 0.141 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.254 | 0.055 | 0.377 | 0.485 | 0.403 | 0.241 |
| headuniv_ea | -1.609 | 0.615 | 0.098 | 0.048 | 0.096 | 0.003 |
| nkidsinv×singlep | 1.306 | 0.339 | 0.169 | 0.028 | 0.139 | 0.006 |
| nkidsinv×work10 | 3.381 | 1.329 | 0.019 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -0.680 | 0.223 | 0.659 | 0.142 | 0.667 | 0.017 |
| singlep | -0.863 | 0.151 | 0.587 | 0.214 | 0.597 | 0.035 |
| work1 | 0.479 | 0.166 | 0.286 | 0.161 | 0.273 | 0.033 |
| intercept_ | 12.042 | 0.222 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 302 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.071 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.38: Urban Al-Dhale

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) $s d$ (census) |  |  | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | 0.322 | 0.147 | 0.578 | 0.234 | 0.577 | 0.078 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | -2.335 | 0.558 | 0.130 | 0.091 | 0.148 | 0.013 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.485 | 0.193 | 0.016 | 0.126 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.663 | 0.195 | 0.015 | 0.123 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 1.818 | 0.372 | 0.298 | 0.147 | 0.317 | 0.026 |
| headdivorced | -0.613 | 0.229 | 0.010 | 0.099 | 0.017 | 0.016 |
| headiliter_ea | 1.776 | 0.452 | 0.310 | 0.121 | 0.309 | 0.010 |
| headprim_ea | -1.112 | 0.687 | 0.045 | 0.065 | 0.046 | 0.003 |
| headsecond_ea | 0.781 | 0.410 | 0.324 | 0.118 | 0.325 | 0.010 |
| headwidow | -0.702 | 0.143 | 0.046 | 0.210 | 0.051 | 0.048 |
| light4 | 0.454 | 0.247 | 0.020 | 0.140 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| nafemales | -0.057 | 0.032 | 1.727 | 1.531 | 1.874 | 1.732 |
| singlep | -0.870 | 0.159 | 0.583 | 0.244 | 0.600 | 0.055 |
| hh_size | -0.035 | 0.013 | 7.598 | 4.709 | 7.765 | 14.183 |
| water2 | 0.538 | 0.144 | 0.050 | 0.218 | 0.081 | 0.075 |
| intercept_ | 11.298 | 0.276 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 233 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |
| R square |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Compare estimates of headcount using different data sources

| Governorate | \#hhno* | avg_FGT0 se_FGT0 | FGT0 (survey) |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Ibb | 233,491 | 0.304 | 0.023 | 0.328 |
| 12 Abyan | 38,120 | 0.525 | 0.046 | 0.504 |
| 14 Al-Baida | 49,667 | 0.583 | 0.015 | 0.598 |
| 15 Taiz | 266,914 | 0.429 | 0.021 | 0.415 |
| 16 Al-Jawf | 45,325 | 0.555 | 0.025 | 0.526 |
| 17 Hajja | 154,183 | 0.488 | 0.017 | 0.500 |
| 18 Al-Hodeida | 224,491 | 0.350 | 0.019 | 0.364 |
| 19 Hadramout | 58,818 | 0.350 | 0.012 | 0.404 |
| 20 Dhamar | 150,379 | 0.227 | 0.021 | 0.253 |
| 21 Shabwah | 34,657 | 0.522 | 0.028 | 0.568 |
| 22 Sa'adah | 61,965 | 0.147 | 0.029 | 0.162 |
| 23 Sana'a Region | 99,572 | 0.277 | 0.021 | 0.281 |
| 25 Laheg | 87,266 | 0.463 | 0.018 | 0.495 |
| 26 Mareb | 21,181 | 0.493 | 0.018 | 0.501 |
| 27 Al-Mahweet | 55,251 | 0.304 | 0.024 | 0.315 |
| 28 Al-Maharh | 5,849 | 0.090 | 0.015 | 0.063 |
| 29 Amran | 73,020 | 0.650 | 0.029 | 0.706 |
| 30 Al-Dhale | 48,051 | 0.456 | 0.024 | 0.464 |
| 31 Remah | 45,963 | 0.326 | 0.025 | 0.341 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Ibb | 49,143 | 0.145 | 0.013 | 0.164 |
| 12 Abyan | 13,473 | 0.348 | 0.017 | 0.314 |
| 13 Sana'a City | 236,666 | 0.131 | 0.008 | 0.149 |
| 14 Al-Baida | 12,786 | 0.157 | 0.023 | 0.167 |
| 15 Taiz | 78,245 | 0.227 | 0.014 | 0.237 |
| 16 Al-Jawf | 6,269 | 0.318 | 0.029 | 0.326 |
| 17 Hajja | 16,137 | 0.221 | 0.022 | 0.209 |
| 18 Al-Hodeida | 104,909 | 0.230 | 0.011 | 0.216 |
| 19 Hadramout | 53,868 | 0.247 | 0.014 | 0.294 |
| 20 Dhamar | 24,312 | 0.273 | 0.021 | 0.297 |
| 21 Shabwah | 7,551 | 0.367 | 0.025 | 0.394 |
| 22 Sa'adah | 11,549 | 0.195 | 0.013 | 0.182 |
| 24 Aden | 82,967 | 0.159 | 0.012 | 0.169 |
| 25 Laheg | 8,495 | 0.191 | 0.026 | 0.229 |
| 26 Mareb | 3,325 | 0.184 | 0.021 | 0.180 |
| 27 Al-Mahweet | 4,169 | 0.196 | 0.027 | 0.219 |
| 28 Al-Maharh | 4,074 | 0.100 | 0.013 | 0.114 |
| 30 Al-Dhran | 17,135 | 0.371 | 0.031 | 0.339 |
| 2 | 7,717 | 0.293 | 0.021 | 0.282 |

*The number of households in this table is different from the number listed in Table 1 because of two reasons: 1. The houses which are not occupied and/or do not have a household head are dropped (see note 3 ); 2. The households which have missing values in the variables used in the consumption model are dropped.

Table 8: Estimates of poverty indicators (rural areas)

| Governorate | District | \#hhno | avg_ $\hat{y}$ | avg_FGT0 | se_FGT0 | avg_FGT1 | se_FGT1 | avg_FGT2 | se_FGT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 14,541 | 72,875 | 0.371 | 0.040 | 0.092 | 0.013 | 0.0333 | 0.0054 |
| 11 | 2 | 15,868 | 88,021 | 0.253 | 0.026 | 0.056 | 0.008 | 0.0186 | 0.0034 |
| 11 | 3 | 8,163 | 81,666 | 0.298 | 0.038 | 0.069 | 0.013 | 0.0237 | 0.0055 |
| 11 | 4 | 7,522 | 104,515 | 0.183 | 0.024 | 0.036 | 0.007 | 0.0110 | 0.0027 |
| 11 | 5 | 4,061 | 157,866 | 0.095 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 0.005 | 0.0055 | 0.0020 |
| 11 | 6 | 9,971 | 81,745 | 0.272 | 0.028 | 0.058 | 0.008 | 0.0188 | 0.0034 |
| 11 | 7 | 14,423 | 79,836 | 0.333 | 0.029 | 0.090 | 0.012 | 0.0343 | 0.0055 |
| 11 | 8 | 13,985 | 72,499 | 0.338 | 0.033 | 0.080 | 0.011 | 0.0280 | 0.0045 |
| 11 | 9 | 12,050 | 86,754 | 0.261 | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.008 | 0.0210 | 0.0032 |
| 11 | 10 | 14,598 | 71,842 | 0.365 | 0.040 | 0.083 | 0.013 | 0.0274 | 0.0054 |
| 11 | 11 | 19,768 | 69,875 | 0.389 | 0.034 | 0.097 | 0.012 | 0.0350 | 0.0051 |
| 11 | 12 | 12,551 | 85,870 | 0.264 | 0.026 | 0.061 | 0.008 | 0.0209 | 0.0035 |
| 11 | 13 | 13,153 | 109,372 | 0.192 | 0.022 | 0.043 | 0.007 | 0.0144 | 0.0027 |
| 11 | 14 | 8,929 | 89,138 | 0.313 | 0.027 | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.0308 | 0.0048 |
| 11 | 15 | 13,775 | 84,457 | 0.299 | 0.027 | 0.069 | 0.009 | 0.0235 | 0.0036 |
| 11 | 16 | 15,231 | 75,501 | 0.372 | 0.030 | 0.094 | 0.011 | 0.0341 | 0.0051 |
| 11 | 17 | 10,972 | 78,069 | 0.320 | 0.028 | 0.084 | 0.011 | 0.0315 | 0.0051 |
| 11 | 18 | 1,878 | 82,728 | 0.266 | 0.044 | 0.057 | 0.014 | 0.0188 | 0.0055 |
| 11 | 19 | 3,820 | 84,555 | 0.287 | 0.035 | 0.071 | 0.012 | 0.0258 | 0.0055 |
| 11 | 20 | 18,232 | 75,108 | 0.349 | 0.032 | 0.088 | 0.012 | 0.0319 | 0.0055 |
| 12 | 1 | 2,649 | 71,801 | 0.542 | 0.069 | 0.133 | 0.028 | 0.0459 | 0.0127 |
| 12 | 2 | 2,986 | 78,890 | 0.468 | 0.048 | 0.133 | 0.020 | 0.0527 | 0.0100 |
| 12 | 3 | 1,351 | 51,284 | 0.793 | 0.053 | 0.282 | 0.040 | 0.1263 | 0.0255 |
| 12 | 4 | 8,473 | 70,567 | 0.538 | 0.051 | 0.150 | 0.020 | 0.0582 | 0.0100 |
| 12 | 5 | 1,827 | 70,233 | 0.526 | 0.079 | 0.127 | 0.030 | 0.0433 | 0.0132 |
| 12 | 6 | 5,178 | 78,668 | 0.456 | 0.049 | 0.127 | 0.019 | 0.0496 | 0.0088 |
| 12 | 7 | 2,049 | 71,841 | 0.507 | 0.072 | 0.124 | 0.026 | 0.0430 | 0.0115 |
| 12 | 8 | 2,861 | 72,270 | 0.522 | 0.057 | 0.142 | 0.025 | 0.0538 | 0.0121 |
| 12 | 9 | 2,583 | 66,003 | 0.507 | 0.086 | 0.121 | 0.031 | 0.0425 | 0.0142 |
| 12 | 10 | 838 | 63,623 | 0.626 | 0.072 | 0.215 | 0.042 | 0.0967 | 0.0238 |
| 12 | 11 | 7,325 | 73,526 | 0.528 | 0.040 | 0.161 | 0.019 | 0.0674 | 0.0105 |
| 14 | 1 | 791 | 81,282 | 0.636 | 0.013 | 0.365 | 0.013 | 0.2295 | 0.0134 |
| 14 | 2 | 1,500 | 35,906 | 0.838 | 0.027 | 0.472 | 0.020 | 0.3086 | 0.0153 |
| 14 | 3 | 657 | 43,997 | 0.696 | 0.027 | 0.347 | 0.019 | 0.2115 | 0.0166 |
| 14 | 4 | 4,523 | 113,595 | 0.415 | 0.025 | 0.193 | 0.010 | 0.1144 | 0.0070 |
| 14 | 5 | 2,351 | 56,238 | 0.736 | 0.022 | 0.308 | 0.021 | 0.1590 | 0.0161 |
| 14 | 6 | 2,262 | 106,801 | 0.480 | 0.026 | 0.175 | 0.015 | 0.0877 | 0.0101 |
| 14 | 7 | 2,728 | 37,440 | 0.863 | 0.018 | 0.441 | 0.021 | 0.2584 | 0.0188 |
| 14 | 8 | 4,656 | 91,850 | 0.509 | 0.020 | 0.236 | 0.010 | 0.1350 | 0.0087 |
| 14 | 10 | 4,728 | 105,567 | 0.376 | 0.025 | 0.146 | 0.012 | 0.0796 | 0.0088 |
| 14 | 11 | 2,341 | 45,088 | 0.847 | 0.014 | 0.478 | 0.018 | 0.3074 | 0.0193 |
| 14 | 12 | 1,924 | 69,623 | 0.609 | 0.029 | 0.257 | 0.017 | 0.1376 | 0.0130 |
| 14 | 13 | 538 | 73,400 | 0.531 | 0.031 | 0.202 | 0.021 | 0.0922 | 0.0141 |


| 14 | 14 | 3,209 | 97,023 | 0.398 | 0.025 | 0.151 | 0.009 | 0.0788 | 0.0063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 15 | 2,218 | 129,514 | 0.454 | 0.028 | 0.171 | 0.012 | 0.0865 | 0.0070 |
| 14 | 16 | 3,763 | 155,288 | 0.354 | 0.016 | 0.124 | 0.011 | 0.0582 | 0.0082 |
| 14 | 17 | 3,089 | 74,986 | 0.569 | 0.031 | 0.246 | 0.014 | 0.1371 | 0.0100 |
| 14 | 18 | 2,203 | 81,814 | 0.607 | 0.029 | 0.255 | 0.022 | 0.1330 | 0.0169 |
| 14 | 19 | 3,354 | 52,617 | 0.739 | 0.022 | 0.341 | 0.017 | 0.1934 | 0.0141 |
| 14 | 20 | 2,832 | 34,785 | 0.872 | 0.015 | 0.483 | 0.018 | 0.3059 | 0.0172 |
| 15 | 1 | 19,220 | 75,623 | 0.417 | 0.034 | 0.113 | 0.012 | 0.0434 | 0.0057 |
| 15 | 2 | 15,875 | 83,465 | 0.373 | 0.024 | 0.100 | 0.009 | 0.0380 | 0.0045 |
| 15 | 3 | 20,313 | 78,412 | 0.430 | 0.024 | 0.123 | 0.010 | 0.0487 | 0.0055 |
| 15 | 4 | 26,858 | 76,190 | 0.436 | 0.024 | 0.125 | 0.011 | 0.0492 | 0.0055 |
| 15 | 5 | 8,747 | 73,656 | 0.495 | 0.033 | 0.147 | 0.015 | 0.0593 | 0.0077 |
| 15 | 6 | 1,920 | 55,325 | 0.654 | 0.048 | 0.238 | 0.025 | 0.1106 | 0.0144 |
| 15 | 7 | 4,844 | 65,293 | 0.545 | 0.044 | 0.162 | 0.017 | 0.0656 | 0.0085 |
| 15 | 8 | 16,356 | 76,597 | 0.430 | 0.027 | 0.118 | 0.011 | 0.0450 | 0.0053 |
| 15 | 9 | 3,401 | 93,501 | 0.295 | 0.050 | 0.072 | 0.016 | 0.0255 | 0.0066 |
| 15 | 10 | 14,674 | 77,023 | 0.417 | 0.028 | 0.117 | 0.011 | 0.0460 | 0.0054 |
| 15 | 11 | 12,472 | 77,383 | 0.415 | 0.041 | 0.113 | 0.016 | 0.0434 | 0.0073 |
| 15 | 12 | 13,286 | 71,181 | 0.471 | 0.028 | 0.139 | 0.014 | 0.0558 | 0.0073 |
| 15 | 13 | 6,950 | 73,155 | 0.448 | 0.024 | 0.136 | 0.012 | 0.0566 | 0.0070 |
| 15 | 14 | 21,870 | 80,420 | 0.396 | 0.029 | 0.109 | 0.012 | 0.0425 | 0.0058 |
| 15 | 15 | 4,163 | 68,827 | 0.492 | 0.037 | 0.137 | 0.015 | 0.0519 | 0.0071 |
| 15 | 16 | 10,885 | 80,877 | 0.396 | 0.022 | 0.115 | 0.009 | 0.0470 | 0.0048 |
| 15 | 20 | 28,595 | 69,256 | 0.505 | 0.031 | 0.149 | 0.015 | 0.0601 | 0.0082 |
| 15 | 21 | 16,083 | 83,952 | 0.360 | 0.025 | 0.098 | 0.010 | 0.0374 | 0.0050 |
| 15 | 22 | 15,438 | 85,348 | 0.369 | 0.022 | 0.105 | 0.009 | 0.0415 | 0.0051 |
| 15 | 23 | 4,964 | 67,966 | 0.504 | 0.031 | 0.150 | 0.014 | 0.0604 | 0.0069 |
| 16 | 1 | 9,328 | 64,267 | 0.592 | 0.026 | 0.180 | 0.015 | 0.0727 | 0.0086 |
| 16 | 2 | 2,440 | 66,831 | 0.619 | 0.029 | 0.172 | 0.016 | 0.0635 | 0.0088 |
| 16 | 3 | 3,293 | 61,796 | 0.652 | 0.031 | 0.196 | 0.015 | 0.0791 | 0.0086 |
| 16 | 4 | 2,805 | 79,070 | 0.509 | 0.032 | 0.149 | 0.012 | 0.0585 | 0.0063 |
| 16 | 5 | 1,980 | 63,470 | 0.691 | 0.025 | 0.210 | 0.018 | 0.0834 | 0.0110 |
| 16 | 6 | 2,759 | 85,114 | 0.445 | 0.042 | 0.098 | 0.013 | 0.0304 | 0.0055 |
| 16 | 7 | 1,064 | 64,347 | 0.634 | 0.031 | 0.179 | 0.016 | 0.0673 | 0.0089 |
| 16 | 8 | 469 | 60,078 | 0.776 | 0.041 | 0.257 | 0.036 | 0.1065 | 0.0231 |
| 16 | 9 | 873 | 65,805 | 0.676 | 0.035 | 0.191 | 0.018 | 0.0725 | 0.0093 |
| 16 | 10 | 6,542 | 75,366 | 0.486 | 0.040 | 0.130 | 0.014 | 0.0478 | 0.0069 |
| 16 | 11 | 7,097 | 70,545 | 0.409 | 0.033 | 0.102 | 0.011 | 0.0361 | 0.0053 |
| 16 | 12 | 6,675 | 60,142 | 0.648 | 0.033 | 0.199 | 0.018 | 0.0809 | 0.0104 |
| 17 | 1 | 2,926 | 79,818 | 0.462 | 0.041 | 0.148 | 0.019 | 0.0643 | 0.0101 |
| 17 | 2 | 9,557 | 64,992 | 0.559 | 0.033 | 0.213 | 0.021 | 0.1050 | 0.0136 |
| 17 | 3 | 1,544 | 53,770 | 0.638 | 0.048 | 0.240 | 0.025 | 0.1177 | 0.0145 |
| 17 | 4 | 14,088 | 78,559 | 0.463 | 0.027 | 0.161 | 0.013 | 0.0750 | 0.0077 |
| 17 | 5 | 1,949 | 85,755 | 0.403 | 0.041 | 0.132 | 0.020 | 0.0589 | 0.0116 |
| 17 | 6 | 5,883 | 76,955 | 0.427 | 0.030 | 0.134 | 0.014 | 0.0579 | 0.0076 |
| 17 | 7 | 8,327 | 80,922 | 0.500 | 0.047 | 0.184 | 0.026 | 0.0882 | 0.0155 |
| 17 | 8 | 3,641 | 58,984 | 0.624 | 0.038 | 0.236 | 0.022 | 0.1157 | 0.0133 |


| 17 | 9 | 2,889 | 79,628 | 0.515 | 0.037 | 0.209 | 0.020 | 0.1071 | 0.0128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 10 | 4,789 | 78,412 | 0.461 | 0.044 | 0.175 | 0.021 | 0.0872 | 0.0116 |
| 17 | 11 | 8,315 | 82,476 | 0.432 | 0.024 | 0.149 | 0.010 | 0.0695 | 0.0060 |
| 17 | 12 | 7,542 | 83,276 | 0.416 | 0.027 | 0.135 | 0.013 | 0.0595 | 0.0074 |
| 17 | 13 | 5,454 | 62,849 | 0.622 | 0.030 | 0.248 | 0.018 | 0.1257 | 0.0115 |
| 17 | 14 | 3,641 | 77,404 | 0.453 | 0.031 | 0.157 | 0.015 | 0.0727 | 0.0087 |
| 17 | 15 | 3,354 | 118,246 | 0.394 | 0.032 | 0.143 | 0.015 | 0.0696 | 0.0090 |
| 17 | 16 | 2,761 | 148,306 | 0.349 | 0.030 | 0.136 | 0.014 | 0.0688 | 0.0082 |
| 17 | 17 | 5,671 | 66,079 | 0.496 | 0.030 | 0.173 | 0.013 | 0.0802 | 0.0072 |
| 17 | 18 | 3,662 | 82,258 | 0.480 | 0.028 | 0.176 | 0.015 | 0.0847 | 0.0092 |
| 17 | 19 | 1,767 | 66,716 | 0.557 | 0.029 | 0.200 | 0.020 | 0.0939 | 0.0127 |
| 17 | 20 | 6,093 | 79,911 | 0.491 | 0.052 | 0.171 | 0.027 | 0.0787 | 0.0152 |
| 17 | 21 | 3,215 | 70,650 | 0.590 | 0.051 | 0.236 | 0.030 | 0.1195 | 0.0191 |
| 17 | 22 | 9,301 | 77,831 | 0.477 | 0.026 | 0.164 | 0.015 | 0.0754 | 0.0091 |
| 17 | 23 | 1,174 | 103,619 | 0.328 | 0.035 | 0.108 | 0.013 | 0.0481 | 0.0071 |
| 17 | 24 | 8,112 | 74,825 | 0.462 | 0.036 | 0.148 | 0.016 | 0.0650 | 0.0087 |
| 17 | 25 | 6,049 | 77,113 | 0.448 | 0.031 | 0.135 | 0.014 | 0.0562 | 0.0078 |
| 17 | 26 | 3,319 | 100,385 | 0.347 | 0.035 | 0.109 | 0.014 | 0.0479 | 0.0069 |
| 17 | 27 | 4,704 | 71,388 | 0.568 | 0.047 | 0.212 | 0.027 | 0.1024 | 0.0166 |
| 17 | 28 | 1,931 | 60,292 | 0.598 | 0.059 | 0.241 | 0.034 | 0.1231 | 0.0208 |
| 17 | 29 | 3,381 | 67,265 | 0.534 | 0.027 | 0.200 | 0.014 | 0.0979 | 0.0084 |
| 17 | 30 | 6,356 | 87,064 | 0.445 | 0.030 | 0.155 | 0.013 | 0.0728 | 0.0066 |
| 17 | 31 | 2,788 | 47,232 | 0.716 | 0.036 | 0.298 | 0.024 | 0.1559 | 0.0161 |
| 18 | 1 | 20,361 | 82,573 | 0.354 | 0.024 | 0.091 | 0.009 | 0.0331 | 0.0042 |
| 18 | 2 | 14,985 | 79,037 | 0.366 | 0.025 | 0.096 | 0.009 | 0.0361 | 0.0042 |
| 18 | 4 | 681 | 40,082 | 0.794 | 0.043 | 0.334 | 0.034 | 0.1661 | 0.0228 |
| 18 | 5 | 4,468 | 88,012 | 0.391 | 0.026 | 0.109 | 0.012 | 0.0416 | 0.0058 |
| 18 | 6 | 10,499 | 84,045 | 0.397 | 0.038 | 0.114 | 0.015 | 0.0452 | 0.0071 |
| 18 | 7 | 11,608 | 76,024 | 0.396 | 0.021 | 0.112 | 0.009 | 0.0441 | 0.0046 |
| 18 | 8 | 5,316 | 121,577 | 0.201 | 0.019 | 0.044 | 0.007 | 0.0139 | 0.0028 |
| 18 | 9 | 5,073 | 77,316 | 0.366 | 0.029 | 0.089 | 0.011 | 0.0307 | 0.0048 |
| 18 | 10 | 19,422 | 82,389 | 0.333 | 0.027 | 0.080 | 0.010 | 0.0279 | 0.0042 |
| 18 | 11 | 1,328 | 135,460 | 0.234 | 0.036 | 0.059 | 0.012 | 0.0211 | 0.0057 |
| 18 | 12 | 6,577 | 85,103 | 0.370 | 0.038 | 0.110 | 0.014 | 0.0450 | 0.0064 |
| 18 | 13 | 15,704 | 91,413 | 0.271 | 0.022 | 0.065 | 0.007 | 0.0230 | 0.0030 |
| 18 | 14 | 8,510 | 104,729 | 0.262 | 0.021 | 0.063 | 0.008 | 0.0219 | 0.0034 |
| 18 | 15 | 9,965 | 93,729 | 0.274 | 0.020 | 0.066 | 0.007 | 0.0228 | 0.0035 |
| 18 | 16 | 5,594 | 80,398 | 0.341 | 0.026 | 0.085 | 0.010 | 0.0302 | 0.0047 |
| 18 | 17 | 29,568 | 83,729 | 0.330 | 0.022 | 0.083 | 0.008 | 0.0298 | 0.0037 |
| 18 | 18 | 7,869 | 87,948 | 0.330 | 0.033 | 0.083 | 0.011 | 0.0294 | 0.0048 |
| 18 | 19 | 4,683 | 73,273 | 0.384 | 0.031 | 0.098 | 0.012 | 0.0354 | 0.0053 |
| 18 | 20 | 3,014 | 63,591 | 0.485 | 0.030 | 0.160 | 0.012 | 0.0702 | 0.0066 |
| 18 | 21 | 444 | 91,259 | 0.260 | 0.089 | 0.069 | 0.027 | 0.0265 | 0.0115 |
| 18 | 23 | 461 | 69,421 | 0.456 | 0.056 | 0.116 | 0.019 | 0.0410 | 0.0089 |
| 18 | 24 | 17,998 | 78,941 | 0.396 | 0.022 | 0.113 | 0.010 | 0.0446 | 0.0050 |
| 18 | 25 | 11,604 | 73,430 | 0.421 | 0.029 | 0.114 | 0.011 | 0.0427 | 0.0051 |
| 18 | 26 | 8,759 | 73,380 | 0.394 | 0.035 | 0.103 | 0.013 | 0.0384 | 0.0060 |


| 19 | 1 | 538 | 118,005 | 0.098 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.004 | 0.0036 | 0.0013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 2 | 225 | 98,910 | 0.208 | 0.034 | 0.042 | 0.008 | 0.0125 | 0.0030 |
| 19 | 3 | 271 | 91,163 | 0.238 | 0.046 | 0.042 | 0.011 | 0.0114 | 0.0036 |
| 19 | 4 | 198 | 89,358 | 0.188 | 0.045 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 0.0080 | 0.0033 |
| 19 | 5 | 300 | 98,535 | 0.221 | 0.039 | 0.043 | 0.011 | 0.0124 | 0.0044 |
| 19 | 6 | 302 | 139,296 | 0.047 | 0.033 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.0031 | 0.0065 |
| 19 | 7 | 4,672 | 104,762 | 0.290 | 0.020 | 0.069 | 0.006 | 0.0232 | 0.0021 |
| 19 | 8 | 3,827 | 69,593 | 0.531 | 0.017 | 0.153 | 0.009 | 0.0565 | 0.0046 |
| 19 | 9 | 1,616 | 102,404 | 0.179 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.008 | 0.0088 | 0.0025 |
| 19 | 10 | 4,793 | 60,356 | 0.656 | 0.019 | 0.200 | 0.012 | 0.0760 | 0.0060 |
| 19 | 11 | 4,060 | 50,784 | 0.689 | 0.022 | 0.211 | 0.011 | 0.0810 | 0.0055 |
| 19 | 12 | 1,116 | 79,937 | 0.303 | 0.029 | 0.071 | 0.008 | 0.0236 | 0.0033 |
| 19 | 13 | 3,769 | 101,413 | 0.251 | 0.020 | 0.050 | 0.007 | 0.0146 | 0.0026 |
| 19 | 14 | 1,276 | 83,432 | 0.345 | 0.032 | 0.078 | 0.011 | 0.0249 | 0.0043 |
| 19 | 15 | 1,734 | 77,736 | 0.433 | 0.025 | 0.108 | 0.009 | 0.0366 | 0.0039 |
| 19 | 16 | 2,724 | 82,091 | 0.357 | 0.019 | 0.086 | 0.008 | 0.0288 | 0.0036 |
| 19 | 17 | 1,603 | 70,643 | 0.540 | 0.025 | 0.150 | 0.012 | 0.0544 | 0.0060 |
| 19 | 18 | 5,189 | 95,129 | 0.249 | 0.023 | 0.048 | 0.006 | 0.0142 | 0.0022 |
| 19 | 19 | 2,703 | 105,037 | 0.225 | 0.018 | 0.044 | 0.005 | 0.0130 | 0.0017 |
| 19 | 20 | 900 | 123,242 | 0.141 | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.006 | 0.0099 | 0.0023 |
| 19 | 21 | 2,246 | 107,631 | 0.155 | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.005 | 0.0060 | 0.0015 |
| 19 | 22 | 2,094 | 87,712 | 0.184 | 0.022 | 0.028 | 0.005 | 0.0071 | 0.0014 |
| 19 | 23 | 994 | 78,912 | 0.357 | 0.035 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 0.0246 | 0.0038 |
| 19 | 24 | 2,468 | 72,054 | 0.431 | 0.032 | 0.091 | 0.010 | 0.0280 | 0.0039 |
| 19 | 25 | 1,636 | 73,856 | 0.425 | 0.030 | 0.092 | 0.010 | 0.0289 | 0.0038 |
| 19 | 26 | 3,391 | 84,754 | 0.243 | 0.026 | 0.041 | 0.006 | 0.0108 | 0.0020 |
| 19 | 27 | 525 | 72,895 | 0.381 | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.010 | 0.0196 | 0.0034 |
| 19 | 28 | 1,916 | 157,317 | 0.026 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 |
| 19 | 29 | 265 | 91,409 | 0.234 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.010 | 0.0116 | 0.0038 |
| 19 | 30 | 1,467 | 80,934 | 0.359 | 0.033 | 0.077 | 0.011 | 0.0239 | 0.0043 |
| 20 | 1 | 15,481 | 97,470 | 0.230 | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.007 | 0.0137 | 0.0027 |
| 20 | 2 | 7,695 | 110,482 | 0.174 | 0.031 | 0.036 | 0.009 | 0.0112 | 0.0033 |
| 20 | 3 | 8,483 | 113,260 | 0.191 | 0.023 | 0.038 | 0.006 | 0.0119 | 0.0024 |
| 20 | 4 | 6,968 | 102,810 | 0.196 | 0.025 | 0.038 | 0.006 | 0.0113 | 0.0023 |
| 20 | 5 | 20,563 | 92,728 | 0.264 | 0.027 | 0.052 | 0.008 | 0.0156 | 0.0030 |
| 20 | 6 | 22,908 | 92,479 | 0.271 | 0.024 | 0.057 | 0.008 | 0.0180 | 0.0030 |
| 20 | 7 | 20,894 | 89,862 | 0.313 | 0.031 | 0.072 | 0.011 | 0.0243 | 0.0046 |
| 20 | 8 | 3,521 | 102,383 | 0.234 | 0.024 | 0.046 | 0.007 | 0.0136 | 0.0028 |
| 20 | 9 | 7,430 | 124,510 | 0.124 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.004 | 0.0070 | 0.0016 |
| 20 | 10 | 14,268 | 121,806 | 0.139 | 0.018 | 0.027 | 0.005 | 0.0081 | 0.0016 |
| 20 | 11 | 15,304 | 102,743 | 0.236 | 0.024 | 0.048 | 0.006 | 0.0153 | 0.0024 |
| 20 | 12 | 6,864 | 102,765 | 0.184 | 0.028 | 0.032 | 0.007 | 0.0088 | 0.0023 |
| 21 | 1 | 849 | 53,941 | 0.728 | 0.038 | 0.266 | 0.022 | 0.1245 | 0.0145 |
| 21 | 2 | 1,049 | 56,956 | 0.783 | 0.041 | 0.267 | 0.027 | 0.1161 | 0.0171 |
| 21 | 3 | 879 | 61,504 | 0.674 | 0.046 | 0.233 | 0.027 | 0.1064 | 0.0167 |
| 21 | 4 | 980 | 65,579 | 0.656 | 0.038 | 0.232 | 0.022 | 0.1053 | 0.0140 |
| 21 | 5 | 2,460 | 137,873 | 0.338 | 0.035 | 0.108 | 0.015 | 0.0486 | 0.0079 |


| 21 | 6 | 2,204 | 79,137 | 0.506 | 0.032 | 0.177 | 0.015 | 0.0830 | 0.0090 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 7 | 3,184 | 103,718 | 0.369 | 0.034 | 0.117 | 0.014 | 0.0522 | 0.0078 |
| 21 | 8 | 2,842 | 52,062 | 0.750 | 0.030 | 0.293 | 0.021 | 0.1438 | 0.0146 |
| 21 | 9 | 2,807 | 84,892 | 0.451 | 0.033 | 0.144 | 0.014 | 0.0640 | 0.0079 |
| 21 | 10 | 2,708 | 80,482 | 0.513 | 0.027 | 0.177 | 0.015 | 0.0812 | 0.0094 |
| 21 | 11 | 1,137 | 51,629 | 0.794 | 0.027 | 0.328 | 0.023 | 0.1651 | 0.0169 |
| 21 | 12 | 2,810 | 82,461 | 0.452 | 0.040 | 0.159 | 0.017 | 0.0773 | 0.0103 |
| 21 | 13 | 1,413 | 95,357 | 0.350 | 0.045 | 0.103 | 0.018 | 0.0444 | 0.0091 |
| 21 | 14 | 2,347 | 81,105 | 0.492 | 0.042 | 0.160 | 0.019 | 0.0714 | 0.0100 |
| 21 | 15 | 1,760 | 80,846 | 0.452 | 0.049 | 0.139 | 0.020 | 0.0606 | 0.0107 |
| 21 | 16 | 3,125 | 139,876 | 0.489 | 0.038 | 0.167 | 0.020 | 0.0773 | 0.0118 |
| 21 | 17 | 2,103 | 58,140 | 0.765 | 0.027 | 0.310 | 0.023 | 0.1552 | 0.0168 |
| 22 | 1 | 1,934 | 87,662 | 0.216 | 0.042 | 0.044 | 0.014 | 0.0130 | 0.0055 |
| 22 | 2 | 1,767 | 100,967 | 0.136 | 0.052 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.0088 | 0.0033 |
| 22 | 3 | 5,257 | 91,786 | 0.136 | 0.044 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.0037 | 0.0022 |
| 22 | 4 | 2,047 | 86,626 | 0.177 | 0.058 | 0.032 | 0.012 | 0.0098 | 0.0036 |
| 22 | 5 | 5,136 | 87,911 | 0.232 | 0.067 | 0.045 | 0.019 | 0.0132 | 0.0067 |
| 22 | 6 | 1,122 | 95,685 | 0.156 | 0.043 | 0.027 | 0.012 | 0.0076 | 0.0041 |
| 22 | 7 | 2,696 | 89,468 | 0.136 | 0.055 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.0041 | 0.0032 |
| 22 | 8 | 6,267 | 95,683 | 0.108 | 0.037 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.0032 | 0.0018 |
| 22 | 9 | 5,770 | 102,804 | 0.081 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.0021 | 0.0010 |
| 22 | 10 | 5,411 | 92,406 | 0.153 | 0.030 | 0.026 | 0.007 | 0.0073 | 0.0026 |
| 22 | 11 | 12,144 | 104,571 | 0.136 | 0.032 | 0.026 | 0.007 | 0.0076 | 0.0025 |
| 22 | 12 | 5,867 | 109,299 | 0.128 | 0.037 | 0.021 | 0.009 | 0.0054 | 0.0027 |
| 22 | 13 | 1,430 | 99,339 | 0.176 | 0.038 | 0.041 | 0.010 | 0.0140 | 0.0039 |
| 22 | 14 | 4,414 | 105,168 | 0.175 | 0.029 | 0.040 | 0.009 | 0.0130 | 0.0037 |
| 22 | 15 | 703 | 88,063 | 0.192 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.014 | 0.0181 | 0.0062 |
| 23 | 1 | 9,172 | 109,266 | 0.134 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 0.0056 | 0.0015 |
| 23 | 2 | 8,575 | 93,837 | 0.283 | 0.022 | 0.060 | 0.007 | 0.0192 | 0.0027 |
| 23 | 3 | 4,070 | 80,993 | 0.331 | 0.034 | 0.066 | 0.010 | 0.0194 | 0.0038 |
| 23 | 4 | 7,862 | 122,172 | 0.070 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.0021 | 0.0006 |
| 23 | 5 | 9,234 | 123,803 | 0.073 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.0030 | 0.0008 |
| 23 | 6 | 3,722 | 100,347 | 0.150 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.005 | 0.0073 | 0.0016 |
| 23 | 7 | 9,954 | 93,050 | 0.214 | 0.034 | 0.038 | 0.007 | 0.0104 | 0.0024 |
| 23 | 8 | 8,360 | 67,606 | 0.486 | 0.037 | 0.111 | 0.013 | 0.0358 | 0.0052 |
| 23 | 9 | 7,905 | 71,576 | 0.411 | 0.038 | 0.082 | 0.011 | 0.0237 | 0.0041 |
| 23 | 10 | 9,272 | 73,010 | 0.418 | 0.030 | 0.092 | 0.009 | 0.0290 | 0.0037 |
| 23 | 11 | 4,708 | 67,774 | 0.508 | 0.032 | 0.115 | 0.012 | 0.0365 | 0.0052 |
| 23 | 12 | 2,696 | 67,025 | 0.511 | 0.031 | 0.131 | 0.013 | 0.0462 | 0.0058 |
| 23 | 13 | 3,327 | 82,036 | 0.380 | 0.028 | 0.089 | 0.009 | 0.0304 | 0.0040 |
| 23 | 14 | 1,943 | 71,431 | 0.433 | 0.036 | 0.092 | 0.012 | 0.0285 | 0.0047 |
| 23 | 15 | 3,467 | 87,049 | 0.259 | 0.030 | 0.046 | 0.008 | 0.0125 | 0.0026 |
| 23 | 16 | 5,304 | 97,713 | 0.189 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.006 | 0.0088 | 0.0019 |
| 25 | 1 | 4,369 | 154,699 | 0.296 | 0.020 | 0.107 | 0.013 | 0.0515 | 0.0089 |
| 25 | 2 | 7,343 | 161,506 | 0.415 | 0.028 | 0.195 | 0.018 | 0.1146 | 0.0126 |
| 25 | 3 | 4,208 | 266,645 | 0.383 | 0.017 | 0.183 | 0.011 | 0.1076 | 0.0079 |
| 25 | 4 | 3,672 | 59,458 | 0.645 | 0.021 | 0.312 | 0.012 | 0.1845 | 0.0085 |


| 25 | 5 | 4,938 | 69,387 | 0.514 | 0.046 | 0.181 | 0.023 | 0.0846 | 0.0132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 6 | 2,741 | 85,405 | 0.466 | 0.024 | 0.183 | 0.012 | 0.0942 | 0.0083 |
| 25 | 7 | 4,442 | 67,618 | 0.575 | 0.042 | 0.194 | 0.023 | 0.0859 | 0.0128 |
| 25 | 8 | 3,899 | 77,823 | 0.442 | 0.036 | 0.135 | 0.017 | 0.0564 | 0.0094 |
| 25 | 9 | 3,563 | 63,127 | 0.544 | 0.031 | 0.186 | 0.016 | 0.0863 | 0.0093 |
| 25 | 10 | 14,745 | 65,523 | 0.550 | 0.023 | 0.202 | 0.011 | 0.0989 | 0.0072 |
| 25 | 11 | 6,776 | 90,119 | 0.417 | 0.033 | 0.128 | 0.014 | 0.0546 | 0.0070 |
| 25 | 12 | 8,308 | 65,066 | 0.526 | 0.025 | 0.209 | 0.013 | 0.1090 | 0.0081 |
| 25 | 13 | 6,139 | 74,507 | 0.472 | 0.036 | 0.141 | 0.017 | 0.0567 | 0.0092 |
| 25 | 15 | 12,123 | 148,671 | 0.350 | 0.032 | 0.114 | 0.014 | 0.0500 | 0.0079 |
| 26 | 1 | 919 | 48,490 | 0.777 | 0.031 | 0.377 | 0.036 | 0.2146 | 0.0290 |
| 26 | 2 | 266 | 95,663 | 0.390 | 0.077 | 0.108 | 0.032 | 0.0434 | 0.0163 |
| 26 | 3 | 850 | 93,048 | 0.392 | 0.053 | 0.122 | 0.024 | 0.0530 | 0.0132 |
| 26 | 4 | 667 | 41,962 | 0.820 | 0.032 | 0.400 | 0.023 | 0.2307 | 0.0173 |
| 26 | 5 | 2,292 | 62,899 | 0.670 | 0.031 | 0.273 | 0.022 | 0.1424 | 0.0146 |
| 26 | 6 | 2,186 | 84,289 | 0.524 | 0.043 | 0.177 | 0.024 | 0.0804 | 0.0139 |
| 26 | 7 | 1,790 | 114,905 | 0.363 | 0.032 | 0.124 | 0.015 | 0.0577 | 0.0087 |
| 26 | 8 | 783 | 70,882 | 0.584 | 0.042 | 0.213 | 0.025 | 0.1039 | 0.0162 |
| 26 | 9 | 2,687 | 90,355 | 0.498 | 0.029 | 0.171 | 0.017 | 0.0806 | 0.0103 |
| 26 | 10 | 943 | 81,838 | 0.621 | 0.036 | 0.243 | 0.023 | 0.1207 | 0.0163 |
| 26 | 11 | 1,282 | 69,810 | 0.576 | 0.034 | 0.266 | 0.015 | 0.1506 | 0.0112 |
| 26 | 12 | 1,814 | 238,929 | 0.072 | 0.025 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.0034 | 0.0018 |
| 26 | 13 | 3,702 | 87,620 | 0.524 | 0.031 | 0.222 | 0.021 | 0.1186 | 0.0145 |
| 26 | 14 | 1,000 | 111,863 | 0.348 | 0.047 | 0.117 | 0.017 | 0.0527 | 0.0101 |
| 27 | 1 | 3,181 | 89,721 | 0.285 | 0.030 | 0.064 | 0.009 | 0.0206 | 0.0036 |
| 27 | 2 | 4,788 | 76,587 | 0.352 | 0.031 | 0.073 | 0.010 | 0.0218 | 0.0039 |
| 27 | 3 | 7,748 | 83,124 | 0.290 | 0.026 | 0.059 | 0.008 | 0.0174 | 0.0030 |
| 27 | 4 | 8,220 | 98,596 | 0.193 | 0.026 | 0.035 | 0.006 | 0.0099 | 0.0021 |
| 27 | 5 | 10,264 | 70,199 | 0.399 | 0.032 | 0.080 | 0.011 | 0.0234 | 0.0045 |
| 27 | 6 | 4,708 | 93,283 | 0.215 | 0.032 | 0.039 | 0.007 | 0.0107 | 0.0024 |
| 27 | 7 | 9,305 | 76,159 | 0.326 | 0.029 | 0.062 | 0.009 | 0.0177 | 0.0033 |
| 27 | 8 | 694 | 101,088 | 0.177 | 0.032 | 0.033 | 0.008 | 0.0096 | 0.0027 |
| 27 | 9 | 6,343 | 78,189 | 0.328 | 0.044 | 0.067 | 0.014 | 0.0204 | 0.0055 |
| 28 | 1 | 478 | 260,038 | 0.139 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.009 | 0.0065 | 0.0027 |
| 28 | 2 | 351 | 234,228 | 0.027 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| 28 | 3 | 296 | 209,043 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | 0.0007 |
| 28 | 4 | 1,025 | 189,409 | 0.047 | 0.020 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.0015 | 0.0008 |
| 28 | 5 | 689 | 173,372 | 0.082 | 0.017 | 0.015 | 0.004 | 0.0036 | 0.0012 |
| 28 | 6 | 994 | 170,901 | 0.070 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.0028 | 0.0008 |
| 28 | 7 | 683 | 147,921 | 0.134 | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.0052 | 0.0018 |
| 28 | 8 | 575 | 173,139 | 0.029 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 |
| 28 | 9 | 812 | 132,010 | 0.177 | 0.034 | 0.031 | 0.007 | 0.0075 | 0.0021 |
| 29 | 1 | 4,325 | 51,660 | 0.819 | 0.023 | 0.244 | 0.021 | 0.0932 | 0.0129 |
| 29 | 2 | 1,501 | 59,548 | 0.726 | 0.030 | 0.204 | 0.018 | 0.0767 | 0.0103 |
| 29 | 3 | 4,512 | 53,098 | 0.828 | 0.026 | 0.228 | 0.026 | 0.0791 | 0.0141 |
| 29 | 4 | 3,577 | 54,638 | 0.813 | 0.039 | 0.209 | 0.029 | 0.0692 | 0.0143 |
| 29 | 5 | 4,395 | 65,368 | 0.617 | 0.043 | 0.137 | 0.020 | 0.0418 | 0.0090 |


| 29 | 6 | 2,339 | 67,842 | 0.572 | 0.058 | 0.119 | 0.021 | 0.0343 | 0.0082 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 7 | 2,461 | 50,827 | 0.901 | 0.033 | 0.258 | 0.034 | 0.0906 | 0.0188 |
| 29 | 8 | 3,616 | 53,875 | 0.830 | 0.033 | 0.224 | 0.025 | 0.0781 | 0.0131 |
| 29 | 9 | 2,741 | 76,119 | 0.441 | 0.045 | 0.099 | 0.012 | 0.0333 | 0.0044 |
| 29 | 10 | 3,949 | 67,447 | 0.567 | 0.066 | 0.130 | 0.019 | 0.0449 | 0.0066 |
| 29 | 11 | 3,291 | 67,198 | 0.580 | 0.058 | 0.117 | 0.019 | 0.0340 | 0.0078 |
| 29 | 12 | 8,470 | 67,047 | 0.615 | 0.041 | 0.142 | 0.015 | 0.0465 | 0.0071 |
| 29 | 13 | 2,785 | 57,231 | 0.746 | 0.033 | 0.192 | 0.022 | 0.0657 | 0.0115 |
| 29 | 14 | 2,637 | 58,830 | 0.757 | 0.031 | 0.191 | 0.019 | 0.0633 | 0.0105 |
| 29 | 15 | 2,013 | 78,484 | 0.391 | 0.057 | 0.077 | 0.011 | 0.0249 | 0.0044 |
| 29 | 16 | 3,474 | 66,866 | 0.565 | 0.056 | 0.133 | 0.015 | 0.0457 | 0.0059 |
| 29 | 17 | 2,575 | 52,780 | 0.848 | 0.044 | 0.258 | 0.044 | 0.1021 | 0.0271 |
| 29 | 18 | 5,433 | 79,902 | 0.457 | 0.038 | 0.100 | 0.012 | 0.0316 | 0.0056 |
| 29 | 19 | 5,765 | 73,073 | 0.526 | 0.041 | 0.119 | 0.014 | 0.0387 | 0.0066 |
| 29 | 20 | 3,161 | 62,903 | 0.680 | 0.049 | 0.187 | 0.020 | 0.0682 | 0.0099 |
| 30 | 1 | 3,879 | 75,991 | 0.454 | 0.034 | 0.113 | 0.011 | 0.0389 | 0.0042 |
| 30 | 2 | 5,326 | 86,244 | 0.354 | 0.034 | 0.077 | 0.009 | 0.0243 | 0.0033 |
| 30 | 3 | 8,344 | 73,367 | 0.484 | 0.029 | 0.106 | 0.010 | 0.0324 | 0.0037 |
| 30 | 4 | 3,700 | 87,756 | 0.351 | 0.026 | 0.073 | 0.008 | 0.0219 | 0.0031 |
| 30 | 5 | 3,492 | 70,979 | 0.545 | 0.034 | 0.129 | 0.014 | 0.0420 | 0.0059 |
| 30 | 6 | 7,249 | 76,545 | 0.490 | 0.040 | 0.113 | 0.014 | 0.0361 | 0.0054 |
| 30 | 7 | 2,483 | 76,034 | 0.470 | 0.047 | 0.097 | 0.015 | 0.0288 | 0.0053 |
| 30 | 8 | 5,528 | 75,611 | 0.459 | 0.039 | 0.094 | 0.011 | 0.0278 | 0.0038 |
| 30 | 9 | 8,050 | 74,946 | 0.473 | 0.037 | 0.101 | 0.011 | 0.0305 | 0.0038 |
| 31 | 1 | 4,837 | 87,046 | 0.274 | 0.037 | 0.056 | 0.011 | 0.0175 | 0.0042 |
| 31 | 2 | 8,699 | 82,691 | 0.329 | 0.032 | 0.080 | 0.011 | 0.0287 | 0.0048 |
| 31 | 3 | 9,127 | 104,889 | 0.308 | 0.026 | 0.072 | 0.009 | 0.0248 | 0.0043 |
| 31 | 4 | 8,087 | 84,519 | 0.337 | 0.028 | 0.080 | 0.010 | 0.0277 | 0.0044 |
| 31 | 5 | 6,676 | 83,196 | 0.379 | 0.025 | 0.099 | 0.011 | 0.0371 | 0.0057 |
| 31 | 6 | 8,537 | 89,629 | 0.304 | 0.032 | 0.070 | 0.010 | 0.0237 | 0.0045 |

Table 9: Estimates of poverty indicators (urban areas)

| Governorate | District | \#hhno | avg_ $\hat{y}$ | avg_FGT0 | se_FGT0 | avg_FGT1 | se_FGT1 | avg_FGT2 | se_FGT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 700 | 62,143 | 0.557 | 0.049 | 0.151 | 0.020 | 0.0537 | 0.0085 |
| 11 | 2 | 6,765 | 114,030 | 0.166 | 0.022 | 0.030 | 0.005 | 0.0080 | 0.0016 |
| 11 | 3 | 478 | 136,221 | 0.145 | 0.022 | 0.031 | 0.007 | 0.0097 | 0.0032 |
| 11 | 4 | 844 | 93,316 | 0.301 | 0.035 | 0.068 | 0.011 | 0.0214 | 0.0043 |
| 11 | 5 | 212 | 138,494 | 0.152 | 0.040 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.0069 | 0.0035 |
| 11 | 6 | 724 | 96,856 | 0.269 | 0.031 | 0.053 | 0.009 | 0.0148 | 0.0032 |
| 11 | 7 | 303 | 97,795 | 0.218 | 0.040 | 0.038 | 0.010 | 0.0100 | 0.0036 |
| 11 | 8 | 840 | 100,875 | 0.186 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.009 | 0.0093 | 0.0033 |
| 11 | 11 | 1,093 | 156,565 | 0.029 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.0007 | 0.0004 |
| 11 | 12 | 1,785 | 93,761 | 0.294 | 0.028 | 0.065 | 0.008 | 0.0207 | 0.0032 |
| 11 | 13 | 490 | 116,143 | 0.105 | 0.031 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.0039 | 0.0018 |
| 11 | 14 | 349 | 93,977 | 0.285 | 0.035 | 0.062 | 0.011 | 0.0189 | 0.0044 |
| 11 | 15 | 324 | 92,177 | 0.248 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.010 | 0.0104 | 0.0031 |
| 11 | 16 | 6,537 | 96,747 | 0.279 | 0.025 | 0.061 | 0.008 | 0.0191 | 0.0032 |
| 11 | 17 | 177 | 135,216 | 0.077 | 0.049 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.0018 | 0.0024 |
| 11 | 18 | 11,283 | 136,158 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.003 | 0.0037 | 0.0009 |
| 11 | 19 | 16,239 | 154,468 | 0.076 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.0029 | 0.0008 |
| 12 | 1 | 271 | 64,235 | 0.572 | 0.063 | 0.209 | 0.029 | 0.0974 | 0.0156 |
| 12 | 2 | 991 | 112,861 | 0.217 | 0.034 | 0.053 | 0.010 | 0.0190 | 0.0045 |
| 12 | 4 | 1,574 | 108,139 | 0.328 | 0.033 | 0.091 | 0.012 | 0.0356 | 0.0055 |
| 12 | 6 | 130 | 46,978 | 0.733 | 0.067 | 0.287 | 0.041 | 0.1388 | 0.0255 |
| 12 | 9 | 665 | 56,149 | 0.700 | 0.053 | 0.257 | 0.032 | 0.1186 | 0.0186 |
| 12 | 10 | 2,384 | 122,546 | 0.237 | 0.020 | 0.068 | 0.007 | 0.0272 | 0.0036 |
| 12 | 11 | 7,458 | 100,949 | 0.351 | 0.023 | 0.100 | 0.009 | 0.0402 | 0.0045 |
| 13 | 1 | 8,935 | 161,486 | 0.116 | 0.015 | 0.023 | 0.004 | 0.0069 | 0.0014 |
| 13 | 2 | 28,942 | 163,779 | 0.122 | 0.009 | 0.025 | 0.003 | 0.0080 | 0.0010 |
| 13 | 3 | 15,137 | 144,936 | 0.145 | 0.016 | 0.030 | 0.004 | 0.0095 | 0.0017 |
| 13 | 4 | 14,640 | 176,514 | 0.093 | 0.012 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.0057 | 0.0012 |
| 13 | 5 | 42,133 | 196,592 | 0.099 | 0.008 | 0.021 | 0.002 | 0.0066 | 0.0008 |
| 13 | 6 | 14,815 | 247,476 | 0.052 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.0029 | 0.0006 |
| 13 | 7 | 10,260 | 218,267 | 0.063 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0.0036 | 0.0008 |
| 13 | 8 | 39,035 | 176,564 | 0.142 | 0.010 | 0.032 | 0.003 | 0.0105 | 0.0013 |
| 13 | 9 | 23,959 | 204,694 | 0.067 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.002 | 0.0038 | 0.0008 |
| 13 | 10 | 18,730 | 118,017 | 0.272 | 0.020 | 0.066 | 0.007 | 0.0228 | 0.0028 |
| 13 | 19 | 3,834 | 125,575 | 0.210 | 0.027 | 0.046 | 0.008 | 0.0148 | 0.0031 |
| 13 | 24 | 16,246 | 132,385 | 0.231 | 0.019 | 0.053 | 0.006 | 0.0178 | 0.0025 |
| 14 | 4 | 319 | 85,671 | 0.325 | 0.085 | 0.074 | 0.026 | 0.0240 | 0.0106 |
| 14 | 5 | 162 | 65,539 | 0.552 | 0.116 | 0.138 | 0.044 | 0.0462 | 0.0189 |
| 14 | 6 | 517 | 69,779 | 0.496 | 0.083 | 0.120 | 0.029 | 0.0399 | 0.0120 |
| 14 | 8 | 341 | 109,096 | 0.209 | 0.049 | 0.045 | 0.014 | 0.0144 | 0.0055 |
| 14 | 9 | 3,592 | 113,462 | 0.151 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.008 | 0.0087 | 0.0029 |
| 14 | 11 | 94 | 231,193 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 |
| 14 | 13 | 6,035 | 125,924 | 0.106 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.005 | 0.0059 | 0.0016 |


| 14 | 14 | 70 | 101,838 | 0.130 | 0.121 | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.0053 | 0.0074 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 16 | 1,656 | 109,854 | 0.161 | 0.039 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 0.0093 | 0.0037 |
| 15 | 1 | 429 | 76,284 | 0.486 | 0.096 | 0.146 | 0.041 | 0.0593 | 0.0201 |
| 15 | 2 | 59 | 55,884 | 0.690 | 0.133 | 0.228 | 0.072 | 0.0968 | 0.0388 |
| 15 | 3 | 353 | 76,001 | 0.490 | 0.098 | 0.164 | 0.042 | 0.0717 | 0.0214 |
| 15 | 4 | 302 | 55,554 | 0.659 | 0.068 | 0.246 | 0.038 | 0.1153 | 0.0217 |
| 15 | 5 | 1,362 | 70,693 | 0.556 | 0.061 | 0.199 | 0.030 | 0.0909 | 0.0165 |
| 15 | 6 | 479 | 141,320 | 0.180 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.019 | 0.0170 | 0.0088 |
| 15 | 7 | 715 | 100,783 | 0.334 | 0.051 | 0.101 | 0.020 | 0.0413 | 0.0101 |
| 15 | 8 | 289 | 51,839 | 0.723 | 0.082 | 0.264 | 0.053 | 0.1196 | 0.0311 |
| 15 | 11 | 497 | 94,445 | 0.353 | 0.081 | 0.096 | 0.032 | 0.0366 | 0.0153 |
| 15 | 12 | 3,265 | 86,967 | 0.434 | 0.037 | 0.137 | 0.017 | 0.0579 | 0.0086 |
| 15 | 14 | 1,570 | 86,643 | 0.470 | 0.054 | 0.150 | 0.026 | 0.0632 | 0.0134 |
| 15 | 16 | 298 | 151,933 | 0.073 | 0.047 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.0032 | 0.0040 |
| 15 | 17 | 24,787 | 131,738 | 0.222 | 0.017 | 0.059 | 0.006 | 0.0227 | 0.0029 |
| 15 | 18 | 21,958 | 152,105 | 0.164 | 0.015 | 0.040 | 0.005 | 0.0145 | 0.0019 |
| 15 | 19 | 21,670 | 142,864 | 0.198 | 0.014 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 0.0195 | 0.0023 |
| 15 | 21 | 212 | 107,627 | 0.278 | 0.085 | 0.072 | 0.027 | 0.0268 | 0.0116 |
| 16 | 1 | 293 | 85,622 | 0.238 | 0.072 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.0098 | 0.0042 |
| 16 | 3 | 141 | 80,235 | 0.265 | 0.087 | 0.046 | 0.019 | 0.0123 | 0.0059 |
| 16 | 4 | 297 | 72,974 | 0.457 | 0.135 | 0.107 | 0.044 | 0.0346 | 0.0177 |
| 16 | 5 | 1,475 | 110,008 | 0.284 | 0.033 | 0.074 | 0.011 | 0.0268 | 0.0050 |
| 16 | 6 | 1,244 | 94,057 | 0.225 | 0.064 | 0.041 | 0.014 | 0.0119 | 0.0046 |
| 16 | 7 | 468 | 88,680 | 0.284 | 0.086 | 0.057 | 0.022 | 0.0164 | 0.0077 |
| 16 | 8 | 787 | 81,870 | 0.359 | 0.058 | 0.095 | 0.016 | 0.0346 | 0.0064 |
| 16 | 9 | 878 | 63,586 | 0.647 | 0.054 | 0.200 | 0.025 | 0.0782 | 0.0126 |
| 16 | 10 | 818 | 104,531 | 0.124 | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.0051 | 0.0028 |
| 16 | 11 | 256 | 101,434 | 0.183 | 0.066 | 0.037 | 0.017 | 0.0110 | 0.0060 |
| 16 | 12 | 407 | 86,205 | 0.221 | 0.080 | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.0101 | 0.0060 |
| 17 | 2 | 3,167 | 108,383 | 0.261 | 0.032 | 0.060 | 0.011 | 0.0207 | 0.0049 |
| 17 | 3 | 951 | 132,450 | 0.139 | 0.033 | 0.027 | 0.008 | 0.0082 | 0.0030 |
| 17 | 4 | 3,454 | 109,568 | 0.244 | 0.036 | 0.055 | 0.012 | 0.0184 | 0.0051 |
| 17 | 5 | 426 | 115,924 | 0.223 | 0.048 | 0.052 | 0.017 | 0.0178 | 0.0081 |
| 17 | 6 | 93 | 66,820 | 0.520 | 0.116 | 0.144 | 0.049 | 0.0540 | 0.0236 |
| 17 | 7 | 254 | 112,002 | 0.173 | 0.052 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.0092 | 0.0056 |
| 17 | 8 | 82 | 99,944 | 0.147 | 0.061 | 0.028 | 0.015 | 0.0080 | 0.0060 |
| 17 | 11 | 373 | 76,617 | 0.408 | 0.063 | 0.098 | 0.023 | 0.0335 | 0.0105 |
| 17 | 15 | 1,208 | 139,877 | 0.158 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.009 | 0.0141 | 0.0041 |
| 17 | 16 | 137 | 147,382 | 0.089 | 0.037 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 0.0045 | 0.0039 |
| 17 | 17 | 52 | 90,239 | 0.278 | 0.102 | 0.057 | 0.027 | 0.0167 | 0.0108 |
| 17 | 18 | 325 | 119,967 | 0.182 | 0.048 | 0.041 | 0.015 | 0.0134 | 0.0060 |
| 17 | 20 | 230 | 175,675 | 0.026 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.0007 | 0.0011 |
| 17 | 21 | 424 | 137,437 | 0.112 | 0.029 | 0.021 | 0.007 | 0.0062 | 0.0028 |
| 17 | 22 | 175 | 91,509 | 0.363 | 0.077 | 0.090 | 0.028 | 0.0321 | 0.0128 |
| 17 | 23 | 116 | 56,954 | 0.649 | 0.096 | 0.199 | 0.054 | 0.0794 | 0.0291 |
| 17 | 24 | 104 | 81,521 | 0.408 | 0.102 | 0.098 | 0.037 | 0.0330 | 0.0159 |
| 17 | 25 | 290 | 96,522 | 0.246 | 0.072 | 0.049 | 0.020 | 0.0145 | 0.0078 |


| 17 | 28 | 4,276 | 121,873 | 0.207 | 0.034 | 0.046 | 0.011 | 0.0150 | 0.0044 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1 | 1,682 | 73,754 | 0.418 | 0.025 | 0.112 | 0.010 | 0.0421 | 0.0050 |
| 18 | 2 | 2,164 | 94,369 | 0.231 | 0.031 | 0.048 | 0.009 | 0.0149 | 0.0034 |
| 18 | 3 | 457 | 101,775 | 0.284 | 0.053 | 0.089 | 0.030 | 0.0390 | 0.0178 |
| 18 | 4 | 195 | 104,739 | 0.209 | 0.055 | 0.042 | 0.015 | 0.0122 | 0.0060 |
| 18 | 5 | 1,008 | 83,468 | 0.320 | 0.032 | 0.075 | 0.011 | 0.0253 | 0.0051 |
| 18 | 6 | 637 | 85,314 | 0.268 | 0.029 | 0.055 | 0.008 | 0.0167 | 0.0033 |
| 18 | 7 | 3,430 | 84,559 | 0.317 | 0.029 | 0.073 | 0.010 | 0.0243 | 0.0040 |
| 18 | 8 | 738 | 65,817 | 0.466 | 0.093 | 0.114 | 0.034 | 0.0389 | 0.0149 |
| 18 | 9 | 2,941 | 82,989 | 0.312 | 0.028 | 0.069 | 0.009 | 0.0223 | 0.0038 |
| 18 | 10 | 7,128 | 101,705 | 0.217 | 0.014 | 0.048 | 0.005 | 0.0159 | 0.0020 |
| 18 | 11 | 263 | 85,092 | 0.311 | 0.046 | 0.082 | 0.018 | 0.0307 | 0.0094 |
| 18 | 13 | 4,759 | 78,146 | 0.364 | 0.019 | 0.090 | 0.008 | 0.0316 | 0.0038 |
| 18 | 14 | 1,325 | 92,711 | 0.248 | 0.028 | 0.052 | 0.008 | 0.0157 | 0.0032 |
| 18 | 15 | 284 | 79,636 | 0.286 | 0.042 | 0.069 | 0.014 | 0.0247 | 0.0067 |
| 18 | 16 | 1,830 | 89,443 | 0.271 | 0.021 | 0.061 | 0.007 | 0.0197 | 0.0029 |
| 18 | 17 | 6,731 | 89,192 | 0.286 | 0.020 | 0.065 | 0.007 | 0.0216 | 0.0029 |
| 18 | 19 | 2,018 | 83,044 | 0.323 | 0.037 | 0.079 | 0.013 | 0.0280 | 0.0058 |
| 18 | 20 | 1,741 | 83,090 | 0.305 | 0.030 | 0.068 | 0.009 | 0.0222 | 0.0039 |
| 18 | 21 | 20,706 | 115,721 | 0.181 | 0.011 | 0.038 | 0.004 | 0.0119 | 0.0015 |
| 18 | 22 | 12,561 | 159,491 | 0.105 | 0.011 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.0061 | 0.0010 |
| 18 | 23 | 23,081 | 112,743 | 0.213 | 0.012 | 0.047 | 0.004 | 0.0154 | 0.0017 |
| 18 | 24 | 4,787 | 98,897 | 0.232 | 0.023 | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.0166 | 0.0030 |
| 18 | 25 | 2,781 | 86,199 | 0.297 | 0.020 | 0.069 | 0.007 | 0.0229 | 0.0031 |
| 18 | 26 | 1,662 | 83,710 | 0.309 | 0.028 | 0.070 | 0.009 | 0.0231 | 0.0041 |
| 19 | 1 | 195 | 63,099 | 0.486 | 0.127 | 0.169 | 0.049 | 0.0756 | 0.0229 |
| 19 | 2 | 230 | 224,009 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19 | 6 | 132 | 49,189 | 0.800 | 0.174 | 0.255 | 0.096 | 0.1022 | 0.0490 |
| 19 | 7 | 1,171 | 115,474 | 0.291 | 0.062 | 0.080 | 0.022 | 0.0304 | 0.0097 |
| 19 | 8 | 1,430 | 154,519 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 |
| 19 | 9 | 474 | 100,480 | 0.250 | 0.096 | 0.054 | 0.025 | 0.0175 | 0.0094 |
| 19 | 10 | 5,609 | 115,484 | 0.209 | 0.034 | 0.041 | 0.009 | 0.0117 | 0.0031 |
| 19 | 11 | 5,011 | 114,549 | 0.377 | 0.032 | 0.099 | 0.013 | 0.0356 | 0.0063 |
| 19 | 13 | 1,507 | 148,498 | 0.105 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.010 | 0.0083 | 0.0040 |
| 19 | 14 | 1,741 | 89,843 | 0.390 | 0.049 | 0.107 | 0.018 | 0.0406 | 0.0081 |
| 19 | 15 | 6,647 | 93,577 | 0.346 | 0.025 | 0.100 | 0.009 | 0.0392 | 0.0038 |
| 19 | 16 | 148 | 204,650 | 0.005 | 0.017 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 |
| 19 | 17 | 4,206 | 86,107 | 0.401 | 0.053 | 0.109 | 0.020 | 0.0404 | 0.0087 |
| 19 | 18 | 217 | 116,042 | 0.262 | 0.107 | 0.105 | 0.039 | 0.0504 | 0.0180 |
| 19 | 19 | 308 | 175,177 | 0.060 | 0.064 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.0022 | 0.0033 |
| 19 | 20 | 68 | 394,768 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19 | 21 | 238 | 111,628 | 0.353 | 0.093 | 0.098 | 0.043 | 0.0356 | 0.0201 |
| 19 | 22 | 160 | 53,219 | 0.751 | 0.166 | 0.239 | 0.090 | 0.0946 | 0.0460 |
| 19 | 24 | 140 | 38,413 | 0.912 | 0.079 | 0.373 | 0.071 | 0.1751 | 0.0451 |
| 19 | 25 | 287 | 137,737 | 0.157 | 0.070 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.0109 | 0.0086 |
| 19 | 26 | 1,116 | 154,823 | 0.150 | 0.061 | 0.039 | 0.020 | 0.0138 | 0.0082 |
| 19 | 27 | 331 | 94,821 | 0.246 | 0.201 | 0.049 | 0.052 | 0.0142 | 0.0184 |


| 19 | 28 | 389 | 174,627 | 0.077 | 0.062 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.0046 | 0.0054 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 29 | 22,113 | 148,128 | 0.177 | 0.019 | 0.043 | 0.007 | 0.0150 | 0.0029 |
| 20 | 1 | 533 | 87,671 | 0.405 | 0.053 | 0.129 | 0.024 | 0.0550 | 0.0126 |
| 20 | 2 | 3,305 | 100,704 | 0.304 | 0.029 | 0.074 | 0.011 | 0.0259 | 0.0047 |
| 20 | 3 | 92 | 55,408 | 0.651 | 0.102 | 0.227 | 0.060 | 0.1001 | 0.0331 |
| 20 | 4 | 132 | 105,615 | 0.311 | 0.097 | 0.083 | 0.037 | 0.0306 | 0.0173 |
| 20 | 7 | 328 | 82,696 | 0.490 | 0.083 | 0.147 | 0.039 | 0.0597 | 0.0203 |
| 20 | 8 | 19,145 | 123,736 | 0.262 | 0.023 | 0.065 | 0.008 | 0.0234 | 0.0033 |
| 20 | 9 | 113 | 127,962 | 0.178 | 0.075 | 0.039 | 0.021 | 0.0123 | 0.0078 |
| 20 | 11 | 664 | 127,528 | 0.178 | 0.036 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 0.0135 | 0.0041 |
| 21 | 4 | 129 | 62,602 | 0.585 | 0.065 | 0.158 | 0.024 | 0.0590 | 0.0113 |
| 21 | 5 | 246 | 113,923 | 0.190 | 0.043 | 0.045 | 0.012 | 0.0153 | 0.0050 |
| 21 | 7 | 1,467 | 99,099 | 0.284 | 0.027 | 0.072 | 0.008 | 0.0266 | 0.0030 |
| 21 | 10 | 869 | 69,937 | 0.460 | 0.048 | 0.118 | 0.014 | 0.0442 | 0.0053 |
| 21 | 11 | 149 | 46,342 | 0.916 | 0.033 | 0.279 | 0.034 | 0.1043 | 0.0184 |
| 21 | 12 | 348 | 62,427 | 0.593 | 0.055 | 0.169 | 0.023 | 0.0650 | 0.0108 |
| 21 | 13 | 2,626 | 135,175 | 0.190 | 0.016 | 0.052 | 0.006 | 0.0198 | 0.0026 |
| 21 | 14 | 270 | 63,613 | 0.543 | 0.063 | 0.150 | 0.022 | 0.0573 | 0.0093 |
| 21 | 15 | 549 | 59,890 | 0.593 | 0.066 | 0.164 | 0.024 | 0.0622 | 0.0098 |
| 21 | 16 | 660 | 75,949 | 0.403 | 0.047 | 0.104 | 0.013 | 0.0389 | 0.0052 |
| 21 | 17 | 238 | 69,903 | 0.470 | 0.100 | 0.124 | 0.029 | 0.0469 | 0.0124 |
| 22 | 1 | 370 | 130,131 | 0.071 | 0.024 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.0029 | 0.0019 |
| 22 | 2 | 472 | 164,391 | 0.135 | 0.019 | 0.029 | 0.006 | 0.0092 | 0.0023 |
| 22 | 3 | 56 | 141,060 | 0.118 | 0.049 | 0.029 | 0.015 | 0.0108 | 0.0074 |
| 22 | 5 | 643 | 134,388 | 0.094 | 0.024 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 0.0044 | 0.0017 |
| 22 | 7 | 492 | 165,907 | 0.124 | 0.045 | 0.027 | 0.012 | 0.0088 | 0.0045 |
| 22 | 8 | 379 | 115,549 | 0.182 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.0114 | 0.0039 |
| 22 | 9 | 306 | 108,368 | 0.149 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.009 | 0.0065 | 0.0030 |
| 22 | 10 | 1,692 | 100,091 | 0.230 | 0.019 | 0.051 | 0.005 | 0.0166 | 0.0022 |
| 22 | 11 | 1,389 | 95,346 | 0.260 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.009 | 0.0205 | 0.0035 |
| 22 | 14 | 85 | 139,216 | 0.029 | 0.025 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 |
| 22 | 15 | 5,665 | 110,356 | 0.215 | 0.015 | 0.050 | 0.004 | 0.0168 | 0.0016 |
| 24 | 1 | 10,962 | 108,371 | 0.266 | 0.020 | 0.061 | 0.007 | 0.0204 | 0.0029 |
| 24 | 2 | 13,925 | 125,410 | 0.203 | 0.016 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.0146 | 0.0022 |
| 24 | 3 | 15,338 | 155,247 | 0.108 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.0060 | 0.0009 |
| 24 | 4 | 9,019 | 122,561 | 0.209 | 0.018 | 0.045 | 0.006 | 0.0143 | 0.0024 |
| 24 | 5 | 8,025 | 136,835 | 0.128 | 0.013 | 0.024 | 0.004 | 0.0070 | 0.0013 |
| 24 | 6 | 7,533 | 157,479 | 0.109 | 0.011 | 0.021 | 0.003 | 0.0062 | 0.0011 |
| 24 | 7 | 11,811 | 152,888 | 0.107 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 0.003 | 0.0061 | 0.0010 |
| 24 | 8 | 6,354 | 161,529 | 0.116 | 0.011 | 0.023 | 0.003 | 0.0071 | 0.0012 |
| 25 | 1 | 541 | 253,029 | 0.022 | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.0012 | 0.0008 |
| 25 | 4 | 200 | 132,115 | 0.107 | 0.071 | 0.021 | 0.016 | 0.0065 | 0.0056 |
| 25 | 6 | 271 | 79,185 | 0.533 | 0.089 | 0.163 | 0.051 | 0.0605 | 0.0256 |
| 25 | 7 | 1,149 | 89,993 | 0.237 | 0.052 | 0.053 | 0.013 | 0.0179 | 0.0046 |
| 25 | 8 | 161 | 63,977 | 0.582 | 0.141 | 0.139 | 0.046 | 0.0459 | 0.0175 |
| 25 | 9 | 440 | 73,372 | 0.560 | 0.049 | 0.180 | 0.032 | 0.0714 | 0.0176 |
| 25 | 10 | 204 | 67,470 | 0.537 | 0.127 | 0.131 | 0.046 | 0.0439 | 0.0187 |


| 25 | 14 | 4,005 | 142,953 | 0.115 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.006 | 0.0082 | 0.0021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 15 | 1,524 | 121,953 | 0.184 | 0.041 | 0.036 | 0.010 | 0.0108 | 0.0033 |
| 26 | 7 | 224 | 132,138 | 0.049 | 0.027 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.0013 | 0.0011 |
| 26 | 8 | 106 | 147,039 | 0.040 | 0.028 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.0019 | 0.0021 |
| 26 | 9 | 789 | 99,974 | 0.314 | 0.036 | 0.072 | 0.012 | 0.0239 | 0.0054 |
| 26 | 12 | 1,671 | 158,936 | 0.099 | 0.023 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.0053 | 0.0022 |
| 26 | 13 | 535 | 114,183 | 0.253 | 0.039 | 0.052 | 0.011 | 0.0159 | 0.0044 |
| 27 | 1 | 888 | 98,265 | 0.199 | 0.047 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 0.0074 | 0.0028 |
| 27 | 2 | 674 | 86,880 | 0.278 | 0.053 | 0.034 | 0.011 | 0.0079 | 0.0032 |
| 27 | 3 | 561 | 112,480 | 0.050 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.0008 | 0.0005 |
| 27 | 4 | 243 | 91,316 | 0.156 | 0.065 | 0.020 | 0.011 | 0.0039 | 0.0027 |
| 27 | 6 | 210 | 95,259 | 0.123 | 0.061 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.0023 | 0.0019 |
| 27 | 8 | 1,593 | 94,472 | 0.209 | 0.043 | 0.035 | 0.010 | 0.0091 | 0.0036 |
| 28 | 3 | 298 | 83,815 | 0.286 | 0.060 | 0.076 | 0.018 | 0.0300 | 0.0076 |
| 28 | 4 | 1,516 | 194,503 | 0.080 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.006 | 0.0092 | 0.0029 |
| 28 | 6 | 494 | 105,123 | 0.148 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.008 | 0.0088 | 0.0031 |
| 28 | 7 | 821 | 151,012 | 0.045 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.0022 | 0.0011 |
| 28 | 8 | 718 | 170,434 | 0.078 | 0.017 | 0.021 | 0.006 | 0.0082 | 0.0030 |
| 28 | 9 | 227 | 109,834 | 0.128 | 0.045 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.0050 | 0.0031 |
| 29 | 1 | 320 | 62,959 | 0.682 | 0.078 | 0.194 | 0.047 | 0.0704 | 0.0243 |
| 29 | 2 | 742 | 97,020 | 0.406 | 0.089 | 0.098 | 0.035 | 0.0335 | 0.0161 |
| 29 | 3 | 117 | 55,548 | 0.817 | 0.135 | 0.235 | 0.084 | 0.0850 | 0.0435 |
| 29 | 4 | 282 | 57,255 | 0.742 | 0.097 | 0.205 | 0.051 | 0.0720 | 0.0246 |
| 29 | 5 | 273 | 84,955 | 0.411 | 0.143 | 0.079 | 0.042 | 0.0223 | 0.0150 |
| 29 | 6 | 274 | 58,691 | 0.773 | 0.083 | 0.259 | 0.054 | 0.1082 | 0.0314 |
| 29 | 8 | 240 | 56,829 | 0.759 | 0.083 | 0.240 | 0.054 | 0.0950 | 0.0302 |
| 29 | 9 | 161 | 66,391 | 0.670 | 0.149 | 0.175 | 0.063 | 0.0610 | 0.0278 |
| 29 | 10 | 220 | 86,119 | 0.407 | 0.079 | 0.087 | 0.027 | 0.0257 | 0.0106 |
| 29 | 11 | 1,752 | 85,090 | 0.426 | 0.047 | 0.093 | 0.017 | 0.0289 | 0.0073 |
| 29 | 13 | 122 | 61,041 | 0.741 | 0.134 | 0.197 | 0.065 | 0.0677 | 0.0297 |
| 29 | 15 | 9,391 | 108,163 | 0.259 | 0.033 | 0.049 | 0.008 | 0.0141 | 0.0030 |
| 29 | 16 | 176 | 60,328 | 0.768 | 0.087 | 0.221 | 0.048 | 0.0801 | 0.0250 |
| 29 | 17 | 1,303 | 87,609 | 0.473 | 0.062 | 0.116 | 0.023 | 0.0390 | 0.0101 |
| 29 | 19 | 1,762 | 94,644 | 0.348 | 0.050 | 0.072 | 0.014 | 0.0212 | 0.0053 |
| 30 | 1 | 945 | 140,251 | 0.212 | 0.043 | 0.053 | 0.015 | 0.0191 | 0.0065 |
| 30 | 2 | 1,896 | 109,046 | 0.288 | 0.040 | 0.067 | 0.015 | 0.0227 | 0.0065 |
| 30 | 3 | 1,237 | 93,633 | 0.325 | 0.035 | 0.074 | 0.012 | 0.0249 | 0.0053 |
| 30 | 4 | 560 | 110,939 | 0.248 | 0.044 | 0.058 | 0.014 | 0.0199 | 0.0061 |
| 30 | 5 | 269 | 101,415 | 0.376 | 0.049 | 0.109 | 0.024 | 0.0424 | 0.0126 |
| 30 | 6 | 2,365 | 98,704 | 0.304 | 0.034 | 0.074 | 0.012 | 0.0267 | 0.0052 |
| 30 | 7 | 59 | 112,121 | 0.120 | 0.060 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 0.0127 | 0.0062 |
| 30 | 9 | 386 | 94,921 | 0.419 | 0.040 | 0.152 | 0.022 | 0.0722 | 0.0140 |
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## Appendix A

A.1. In this section, the ELL method described in the main text is applied to measure food poverty in Yemen. Food consumption per capita is used to replace consumption per capita in the main text to measure poverty. All the steps of implementing the method are as described in the main text. Table A1 shows the results of all the food consumption models. Table A2 compares the estimates of headcount at governorate level using two methods (ELL method and directly calculated using the survey data). Table A3 and A4 list the estimates of food poverty indicators for each district. Figure A1 and A2 show the mean and plus/minus 2 standard errors of the poverty indicators.
A.2. In general, the estimates of the food poverty indicators are less good than the total consumption indicators shown in the main text. This mainly comes from the difficulty to get good models to explain the food consumption per capita for some strata. It can be seen that the R squares of the food consumption models are in general lower than the ones of the total consumption models.
A.3. Sharing the same concern with the estimates of the poverty indicators using total consumption, the estimates of food poverty indicators have very big standard errors for the urban districts with a small number of households.

Table A1: Regression results of food consumption models
Table A1.1: Rural Ibb

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 0.630 | 0.204 | 0.211 | 0.173 | 0.266 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.212 | 0.089 | 0.043 | 0.202 | 0.043 | 0.041 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | -0.863 | 0.230 | 0.080 | 0.141 | 0.106 | 0.027 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | 1.378 | 0.490 | 0.040 | 0.055 | 0.040 | 0.002 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 4.130 | 0.634 | 0.043 | 0.051 | 0.046 | 0.002 |
| employed2 | -1.733 | 0.248 | 0.233 | 0.095 | 0.237 | 0.012 |
| headprim_ea | -2.162 | 0.561 | 0.049 | 0.036 | 0.055 | 0.002 |
| headread_ea | 1.597 | 0.244 | 0.156 | 0.097 | 0.156 | 0.008 |
| headsecond_ea | -0.961 | 0.309 | 0.117 | 0.068 | 0.136 | 0.007 |
| housetype3_ea | 2.151 | 0.552 | 0.013 | 0.047 | 0.014 | 0.002 |
| light1_ea | 0.324 | 0.067 | 0.362 | 0.435 | 0.442 | 0.210 |
| light2 | 0.468 | 0.135 | 0.027 | 0.163 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| nafemales | -0.097 | 0.023 | 1.828 | 1.283 | 1.943 | 1.553 |
| nkids | -0.029 | 0.012 | 3.534 | 2.579 | 3.324 | 4.994 |
| ownhouse1 | 0.129 | 0.059 | 0.854 | 0.353 | 0.885 | 0.102 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 0.492 | 0.147 | 0.839 | 0.135 | 0.852 | 0.017 |
| primaryp | 0.577 | 0.127 | 0.110 | 0.160 | 0.123 | 0.024 |
| primary_ea | 1.590 | 0.495 | 0.109 | 0.058 | 0.132 | 0.005 |
| singlep | -0.410 | 0.114 | 0.588 | 0.231 | 0.593 | 0.046 |
| water2_ea | 0.294 | 0.142 | 0.075 | 0.196 | 0.059 | 0.026 |
| work9 | -0.251 | 0.126 | 0.298 | 0.248 | 0.254 | 0.042 |
| intercept_ | 10.258 | 0.159 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.463 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.2: Rural Abyan

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. Mean (census) sd (census) Mean (survey) |  |  |  |  | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.149 | 0.075 | 0.396 | 0.489 | 0.424 | 0.245 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -1.298 | 0.198 | 0.396 | 0.311 | 0.418 | 0.098 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 3.042 | 0.528 | 0.002 | 0.044 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| dum_eaurable2_1 | 0.446 | 0.238 | 0.009 | 0.094 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 2.355 | 0.298 | 0.132 | 0.123 | 0.149 | 0.037 |
| headiliter_ea | 3.066 | 0.291 | 0.491 | 0.168 | 0.524 | 0.036 |
| headsecond_ea | 4.545 | 0.438 | 0.202 | 0.124 | 0.219 | 0.020 |
| headsingle | 0.308 | 0.114 | 0.057 | 0.231 | 0.069 | 0.065 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.358 | 0.148 | 0.857 | 0.233 | 0.896 | 0.047 |
| kidp | -0.491 | 0.150 | 0.383 | 0.230 | 0.375 | 0.055 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -3.108 | 0.701 | 0.932 | 0.107 | 0.929 | 0.003 |
| singlep | -0.906 | 0.165 | 0.587 | 0.213 | 0.571 | 0.050 |
| work8 | -5.375 | 0.664 | 0.035 | 0.070 | 0.037 | 0.006 |
| intercept_ | 11.445 | 0.632 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.077 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.3: Rural Al-Baida

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. |  | Mean (census) sd (census) Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11 | 0.354 | 0.108 | 0.110 | 0.313 | 0.103 | 0.093 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 1.930 | 0.352 | 0.110 | 0.166 | 0.097 | 0.024 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -2.771 | 0.594 | 0.055 | 0.097 | 0.053 | 0.008 |
| headiliter_ea | 6.977 | 1.097 | 0.646 | 0.171 | 0.684 | 0.025 |
| headread_ea | 8.451 | 1.214 | 0.226 | 0.127 | 0.204 | 0.014 |
| headsecond_ea | 7.764 | 1.005 | 0.099 | 0.068 | 0.097 | 0.006 |
| headuniv | -0.389 | 0.181 | 0.015 | 0.120 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| malep | 0.553 | 0.190 | 0.503 | 0.169 | 0.497 | 0.027 |
| singlep | -0.913 | 0.161 | 0.616 | 0.193 | 0.612 | 0.038 |
| water4_ea | 0.584 | 0.167 | 0.913 | 0.180 | 0.899 | 0.048 |
| work10 | -6.599 | 0.790 | 0.030 | 0.057 | 0.026 | 0.003 |
| work4 | 1.197 | 0.236 | 0.109 | 0.146 | 0.098 | 0.024 |
| intercept_ | 2.788 | 1.187 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.4: Rural Taiz

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.131 | 0.049 | 0.332 | 0.471 | 0.323 | 0.219 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | -6.751 | 1.304 | 0.026 | 0.029 | 0.020 | 0.0003 |
| employed1 | 10.611 | 1.546 | 0.186 | 0.097 | 0.200 | 0.014 |
| employed2 | -9.385 | 1.475 | 0.200 | 0.099 | 0.214 | 0.014 |
| employ_nonself | 1.145 | 0.165 | 0.372 | 0.258 | 0.369 | 0.050 |
| kidp | -0.621 | 0.174 | 0.409 | 0.255 | 0.416 | 0.064 |
| light1 | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.194 | 0.395 | 0.158 | 0.133 |
| light4 | 0.439 | 0.142 | 0.024 | 0.154 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| light6_ea | 2.033 | 0.404 | 0.038 | 0.104 | 0.033 | 0.005 |
| nafemales | -0.096 | 0.018 | 1.387 | 1.321 | 1.968 | 1.778 |
| namales | -0.082 | 0.019 | 1.387 | 1.321 | 1.402 | 1.737 |
| nkids | -0.037 | 0.019 | 3.135 | 2.440 | 3.076 | 5.139 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 0.672 | 0.296 | 0.929 | 0.089 | 0.932 | 0.018 |
| sewage2 | 0.187 | 0.045 | 0.315 | 0.464 | 0.352 | 0.229 |
| water2 | 0.479 | 0.092 | 0.060 | 0.237 | 0.078 | 0.072 |
| water2_ea | -0.748 | 0.183 | 0.059 | 0.164 | 0.048 | 0.019 |
| work10 | 3.437 | 0.444 | 0.038 | 0.079 | 0.028 | 0.003 |
| work8 | 0.426 | 0.144 | 0.142 | 0.173 | 0.176 | 0.044 |
| work9 | 1.337 | 0.176 | 0.209 | 0.234 | 0.199 | 0.039 |
| intercept_ | 9.560 | 0.354 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.083 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.5: Rural Al-Jawf

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 1.376 | 0.248 | 0.228 | 0.137 | 0.247 | 0.019 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 1.587 | 0.295 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 0.493 | 0.200 | 0.127 | 0.161 | 0.133 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -3.987 | 0.815 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.012 | 0.001 |
| headread_ea | -1.024 | 0.417 | 0.066 | 0.088 | 0.066 | 0.006 |
| headsecond_ea | 3.340 | 0.419 | 0.138 | 0.136 | 0.125 | 0.009 |
| headuniv_ea | -8.282 | 1.515 | 0.017 | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.001 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.293 | 0.093 | 0.733 | 0.292 | 0.691 | 0.082 |
| light5 | 0.216 | 0.066 | 0.732 | 0.443 | 0.715 | 0.205 |
| marriedp | 0.432 | 0.144 | 0.299 | 0.125 | 0.324 | 0.038 |
| nafemales | -0.226 | 0.031 | 1.766 | 1.220 | 1.783 | 1.249 |
| ownhouse2 | 0.593 | 0.261 | 0.007 | 0.081 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| intercept_ | 9.676 | 0.107 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.6: Rural Hajja

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cook2_ea | -0.424 | 0.198 | 0.054 | 0.181 | 0.036 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.174 | 0.102 | 0.043 | 0.203 | 0.058 | 0.055 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.409 | 0.238 | 0.145 | 0.217 | 0.114 | 0.016 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | 1.665 | 0.387 | 0.030 | 0.079 | 0.038 | 0.009 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.630 | 0.280 | 0.011 | 0.105 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.338 | 0.163 | 0.014 | 0.116 | 0.019 | 0.018 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | -1.437 | 0.356 | 0.093 | 0.106 | 0.086 | 0.011 |
| employed1 | -0.634 | 0.344 | 0.258 | 0.112 | 0.276 | 0.007 |
| employ_self | 1.046 | 0.189 | 0.829 | 0.193 | 0.795 | 0.050 |
| headage | -0.005 | 0.002 | 41.659 | 14.961 | 42.754 | 221.164 |
| headprim_ea | 4.001 | 0.662 | 0.049 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.002 |
| headuniv_ea | 3.519 | 1.344 | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| highprim | -0.209 | 0.083 | 0.068 | 0.252 | 0.093 | 0.085 |
| kidp | -0.814 | 0.155 | 0.458 | 0.242 | 0.448 | 0.054 |
| light4 | -0.299 | 0.127 | 0.033 | 0.179 | 0.036 | 0.034 |
| nafemales | -0.126 | 0.022 | 1.788 | 1.428 | 1.700 | 1.314 |
| namales | -0.072 | 0.020 | 1.844 | 1.554 | 1.803 | 1.765 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -0.959 | 0.212 | 0.925 | 0.103 | 0.904 | 0.019 |
| sewage2 | 0.515 | 0.078 | 0.080 | 0.271 | 0.111 | 0.099 |
| singlep | -0.595 | 0.166 | 0.598 | 0.225 | 0.603 | 0.048 |
| work5 | 2.259 | 0.666 | 0.016 | 0.050 | 0.021 | 0.002 |
| work9 | -0.682 | 0.159 | 0.533 | 0.278 | 0.544 | 0.087 |
| intercept_ | 12.320 | 0.263 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.170 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.7: Rural Al-Hodeida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 0.489 | 0.186 | 0.261 | 0.171 | 0.261 | 0.021 |
| amalep | 1.040 | 0.228 | 0.256 | 0.187 | 0.254 | 0.022 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | 1.502 | 0.202 | 0.155 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.030 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.386 | 0.205 | 0.006 | 0.075 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable6_ea | -4.515 | 0.563 | 0.063 | 0.071 | 0.060 | 0.004 |
| employed1 | -6.331 | 1.510 | 0.328 | 0.115 | 0.345 | 0.016 |
| employed2 | 6.479 | 1.453 | 0.342 | 0.113 | 0.360 | 0.017 |
| employ_nonself | -3.246 | 0.663 | 0.108 | 0.150 | 0.106 | 0.017 |
| employ_self | -1.267 | 0.551 | 0.870 | 0.162 | 0.866 | 0.019 |
| headage | 0.006 | 0.002 | 43.742 | 16.789 | 42.535 | 203.554 |
| headprim_ea | 2.915 | 0.815 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.002 |
| headsingle | 0.369 | 0.144 | 0.034 | 0.181 | 0.037 | 0.035 |
| housetype1 | 0.134 | 0.049 | 0.537 | 0.499 | 0.504 | 0.251 |
| light1_ea | 2.553 | 0.388 | 0.034 | 0.148 | 0.026 | 0.017 |
| light3_ea | 5.920 | 0.500 | 0.022 | 0.102 | 0.025 | 0.016 |
| light5_ea | 2.693 | 0.274 | 0.862 | 0.243 | 0.887 | 0.045 |
| marriedp | 0.316 | 0.139 | 0.404 | 0.269 | 0.399 | 0.051 |
| namales | -0.168 | 0.029 | 1.446 | 1.180 | 1.525 | 1.087 |
| ownhouse2 | 0.900 | 0.298 | 0.008 | 0.091 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| primaryp | 0.486 | 0.215 | 0.053 | 0.129 | 0.053 | 0.013 |
| water2_ea | 0.427 | 0.108 | 0.104 | 0.236 | 0.136 | 0.083 |
| water3_ea | -0.208 | 0.099 | 0.185 | 0.339 | 0.159 | 0.091 |
| work7 | -0.935 | 0.257 | 0.076 | 0.118 | 0.074 | 0.012 |
| intercept_ | 8.774 | 0.594 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 3777 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.52 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.8: Rural Hadramout

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11 | 0.186 | 0.053 | 0.223 | 0.416 | 0.278 | 0.202 |
| dum_eaurable4_ea | -3.033 | 0.406 | 0.056 | 0.084 | 0.064 | 0.009 |
| employed1 | 9.084 | 2.124 | 0.262 | 0.106 | 0.231 | 0.008 |
| employed2 | -9.895 | 2.005 | 0.274 | 0.106 | 0.242 | 0.008 |
| employ_nonself | -1.002 | 0.144 | 0.328 | 0.230 | 0.366 | 0.063 |
| kidp | -0.351 | 0.115 | 0.398 | 0.220 | 0.389 | 0.046 |
| size | -0.026 | 0.004 | 9.199 | 5.868 | 9.353 | 34.503 |
| water1_ea | -0.472 | 0.065 | 0.301 | 0.417 | 0.330 | 0.166 |
| work5 | 3.523 | 0.533 | 0.021 | 0.057 | 0.035 | 0.006 |
| intercept_ | 11.930 | 0.145 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.047 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.9: Rural Dhamar

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.494 | 0.142 | 0.030 | 0.170 | 0.031 | 0.030 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | -1.901 | 0.530 | 0.030 | 0.066 | 0.035 | 0.004 |
| elderlyp | 1.313 | 0.211 | 0.091 | 0.203 | 0.076 | 0.027 |
| employed2 | 1.680 | 0.260 | 0.290 | 0.123 | 0.277 | 0.008 |
| employ_nonself | -0.342 | 0.101 | 0.263 | 0.242 | 0.305 | 0.068 |
| headdivorced | 0.628 | 0.199 | 0.010 | 0.098 | 0.013 | 0.013 |
| headuniv_ea | 6.894 | 1.175 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.001 |
| kidp | -0.301 | 0.127 | 0.439 | 0.239 | 0.442 | 0.053 |
| light1 | -0.101 | 0.063 | 0.272 | 0.445 | 0.322 | 0.219 |
| marriedp | 0.489 | 0.134 | 0.371 | 0.229 | 0.375 | 0.042 |
| nelderly | -0.241 | 0.044 | 0.436 | 0.699 | 0.438 | 0.484 |
| water1 | 0.281 | 0.073 | 0.126 | 0.332 | 0.161 | 0.136 |
| intercept_ | 10.325 | 0.126 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.074 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.10: Rural Shabwah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.187 | 0.104 | 0.256 | 0.437 | 0.250 | 0.189 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | 17.972 | 3.335 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.009 | $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| employ_nonself | -0.348 | 0.219 | 0.475 | 0.267 | 0.515 | 0.069 |
| headiliter_ea | -1.754 | 0.320 | 0.502 | 0.183 | 0.478 | 0.037 |
| headsingle | 0.385 | 0.194 | 0.038 | 0.190 | 0.042 | 0.041 |
| light2 | 0.292 | 0.155 | 0.098 | 0.297 | 0.081 | 0.075 |
| light6 | -0.492 | 0.135 | 0.096 | 0.295 | 0.090 | 0.082 |
| nkids | -0.026 | 0.013 | 4.958 | 3.782 | 4.507 | 11.344 |
| singlep | -0.865 | 0.269 | 0.615 | 0.184 | 0.617 | 0.025 |
| water3_ea | -0.646 | 0.222 | 0.080 | 0.223 | 0.109 | 0.065 |
| work1 | -1.463 | 0.352 | 0.237 | 0.201 | 0.231 | 0.032 |
| intercept_ | 12.333 | 0.297 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* No location effect

Table A1.11: Rural Sa'adah


Table A1.12: Rural Sana'a Region

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | -0.306 | 0.120 | 0.141 | 0.161 | 0.155 | 0.032 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.248 | 0.102 | 0.026 | 0.160 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| dum_eaurable9 | 0.118 | 0.043 | 0.176 | 0.381 | 0.208 | 0.166 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.864 | 0.123 | 0.610 | 0.180 | 0.646 | 0.031 |
| kidp | -0.720 | 0.095 | 0.423 | 0.223 | 0.419 | 0.043 |
| light4 | -0.492 | 0.166 | 0.023 | 0.151 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| marriedp | 0.295 | 0.098 | 0.362 | 0.210 | 0.365 | 0.038 |
| nafemales | -0.101 | 0.012 | 2.078 | 1.607 | 2.156 | 2.136 |
| primaryp | 0.493 | 0.145 | 0.127 | 0.163 | 0.107 | 0.018 |
| work1 | -0.445 | 0.096 | 0.245 | 0.233 | 0.223 | 0.043 |
| work4 | 1.306 | 0.365 | 0.037 | 0.082 | 0.033 | 0.002 |
| intercept_ | 11.683 | 0.134 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 056 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.13: Rural Laheg

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 1.005 | 0.256 | 0.256 | 0.191 | 0.254 | 0.026 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.555 | 0.175 | 0.063 | 0.242 | 0.047 | 0.045 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.700 | 0.202 | 0.193 | 0.292 | 0.169 | 0.079 |
| dum_eaurable12 | 0.148 | 0.090 | 0.364 | 0.481 | 0.383 | 0.237 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.862 | 0.184 | 0.364 | 0.337 | 0.393 | 0.123 |
| dum_eaurable14 | -0.900 | 0.299 | 0.026 | 0.159 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -1.923 | 0.679 | 0.026 | 0.095 | 0.019 | 0.004 |
| dum_eaurable15 | 0.196 | 0.118 | 0.095 | 0.293 | 0.104 | 0.094 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 3.125 | 0.593 | 0.063 | 0.091 | 0.057 | 0.008 |
| elderlyp | 1.039 | 0.184 | 0.091 | 0.204 | 0.088 | 0.034 |
| employed1 | -0.880 | 0.328 | 0.207 | 0.095 | 0.212 | 0.010 |
| headmarried | 0.161 | 0.098 | 0.863 | 0.344 | 0.873 | 0.111 |
| headread_ea | 1.255 | 0.293 | 0.232 | 0.121 | 0.204 | 0.015 |
| headsecond_ea | -1.409 | 0.397 | 0.213 | 0.121 | 0.199 | 0.018 |
| namales | -0.089 | 0.030 | 1.808 | 1.704 | 1.786 | 2.392 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -4.135 | 0.921 | 0.939 | 0.109 | 0.961 | 0.002 |
| work2 | 7.567 | 2.250 | 0.004 | 0.020 | 0.004 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| work4 | -1.567 | 0.285 | 0.120 | 0.186 | 0.109 | 0.030 |
| intercept_ | 14.476 | 0.920 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 246 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.14: Rural Mareb

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | -3.259 | 0.984 | 0.091 | 0.138 | 0.076 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | 1.267 | 0.155 | 0.509 | 0.295 | 0.465 | 0.081 |
| dum_eaurable17 | 0.285 | 0.170 | 0.061 | 0.239 | 0.049 | 0.047 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.540 | 0.215 | 0.033 | 0.178 | 0.034 | 0.033 |
| employed1 | -15.210 | 7.728 | 0.197 | 0.107 | 0.197 | 0.017 |
| employed2 | 14.137 | 7.544 | 0.207 | 0.110 | 0.201 | 0.018 |
| headage | -0.010 | 0.003 | 43.282 | 14.265 | 41.699 | 146.252 |
| headiliter_ea | -4.312 | 0.942 | 0.635 | 0.174 | 0.642 | 0.044 |
| headread_ea | -7.256 | 1.314 | 0.112 | 0.089 | 0.110 | 0.009 |
| headsecond_ea | -3.108 | 1.176 | 0.204 | 0.127 | 0.193 | 0.011 |
| headuniv | 0.659 | 0.251 | 0.030 | 0.172 | 0.021 | 0.020 |
| nkids | -0.087 | 0.015 | 4.436 | 3.386 | 4.341 | 6.313 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -3.929 | 0.955 | 0.881 | 0.144 | 0.894 | 0.016 |
| primaryp | 0.877 | 0.218 | 0.134 | 0.175 | 0.137 | 0.036 |
| sewage2 | 0.340 | 0.113 | 0.338 | 0.473 | 0.333 | 0.223 |
| work7 | 4.287 | 0.844 | 0.024 | 0.071 | 0.023 | 0.003 |
| intercept_ | 18.473 | 1.527 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 158 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |

*No location effect
Table A1.15: Rural Al-Mahweet

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) |  |  | sd (census) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Mean (survey) sd (survey)

Table A1.16: Rural Al-Maharh


Table A1.17: Rural Amran

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 0.430 | 0.200 | 0.234 | 0.148 | 0.231 | 0.016 |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.530 | 0.148 | 0.014 | 0.117 | 0.038 | 0.037 |
| headdivorced | 0.788 | 0.357 | 0.006 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| headmarried | -0.202 | 0.121 | 0.936 | 0.245 | 0.939 | 0.058 |
| headsingle | -0.499 | 0.232 | 0.025 | 0.157 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| light1_ea | -0.470 | 0.093 | 0.183 | 0.355 | 0.194 | 0.127 |
| light4_ea | -1.303 | 0.340 | 0.026 | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.005 |
| light5 | -0.441 | 0.066 | 0.403 | 0.490 | 0.372 | 0.235 |
| singlep | -0.864 | 0.118 | 0.607 | 0.187 | 0.590 | 0.046 |
| work8 | -0.638 | 0.271 | 0.059 | 0.094 | 0.053 | 0.009 |
| work9 | 0.423 | 0.104 | 0.534 | 0.277 | 0.516 | 0.083 |
| intercept_ | 11.080 | 0.126 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.084 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.18: Rural Al-Dhale

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.178 | 0.096 | 0.093 | 0.290 | 0.096 | 0.087 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dum_eaurable6_ea | -4.379 | 1.066 | 0.017 | 0.053 | 0.013 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| headage | 0.004 | 0.002 | 44.002 | 14.971 | 43.662 | 195.229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| headuniv_ea | 6.282 | 1.515 | 0.031 | 0.034 | 0.026 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| housetype1×sizeinv* | 4.785 | 0.419 | 0.134 | 0.091 | 0.123 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| light5 | -0.281 | 0.062 | 0.418 | 0.493 | 0.405 | 0.243 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| namales | 0.068 | 0.020 | 2.023 | 1.612 | 1.900 | 1.720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sewage3_ea | 0.436 | 0.152 | 0.246 | 0.297 | 0.292 | 0.119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| universityp | 3.725 | 0.875 | 0.008 | 0.046 | 0.008 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| water2 | -0.994 | 0.182 | 0.045 | 0.208 | 0.031 | 0.030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| water2_ea | 1.257 | 0.259 | 0.044 | 0.134 | 0.044 | 0.018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| work9 | 0.264 | 0.107 | 0.437 | 0.306 | 0.432 | 0.155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| intercept_ | 9.209 | 0.156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*sizeinv=1/(1+hhsize); ${ }^{\dagger}$ No location effect

Table A1.19: Rural Remah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -3.388 | 1.473 | 0.015 | 0.051 | 0.014 | 0.0004 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 4.059 | 1.290 | 0.010 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.594 | 0.191 | 0.007 | 0.085 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable7_ea | -78.122 | 23.662 | $3 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $3 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -2.863 | 1.386 | 0.013 | 0.114 | 0.016 | 0.001 |
| headdivorced | 0.832 | 0.237 | 0.011 | 0.104 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| headiliter_ea | -1.403 | 0.302 | 0.703 | 0.155 | 0.723 | 0.022 |
| headread_ea | -0.959 | 0.350 | 0.183 | 0.116 | 0.192 | 0.018 |
| headsecond | 0.134 | 0.085 | 0.130 | 0.337 | 0.108 | 0.097 |
| headsingle | 0.373 | 0.163 | 0.029 | 0.169 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| headuniv | 0.431 | 0.241 | 0.021 | 0.144 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| highiliter | 0.135 | 0.056 | 0.308 | 0.462 | 0.316 | 0.217 |
| light4 | 0.409 | 0.167 | 0.026 | 0.160 | 0.025 | 0.024 |
| light6 | 0.398 | 0.114 | 0.078 | 0.269 | 0.055 | 0.052 |
| nkids | -0.040 | 0.012 | 3.996 | 3.285 | 3.527 | 8.277 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -1.919 | 0.461 | 0.907 | 0.105 | 0.912 | 0.004 |
| singlep | -0.652 | 0.149 | 0.579 | 0.231 | 0.559 | 0.053 |
| intercept_ | 14.116 | 0.523 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.39 | 0.170 |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.20: Urban Ibb

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.303 | 0.073 | 0.116 | 0.320 | 0.112 | 0.100 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 1.691 | 0.374 | 0.510 | 0.216 | 0.520 | 0.054 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | -0.778 | 0.359 | 0.473 | 0.189 | 0.495 | 0.042 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | -9.050 | 2.387 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.010 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | 2.369 | 1.373 | 0.027 | 0.023 | 0.027 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.394 | 0.213 | 0.011 | 0.104 | 0.012 | 0.011 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.176 | 0.050 | 0.400 | 0.490 | 0.395 | 0.240 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -0.483 | 0.247 | 0.400 | 0.136 | 0.416 | 0.024 |
| employ_nonself | -0.459 | 0.121 | 0.519 | 0.206 | 0.471 | 0.043 |
| headprim_ea | -2.524 | 0.514 | 0.088 | 0.045 | 0.087 | 0.002 |
| headsecond | 0.153 | 0.055 | 0.240 | 0.427 | 0.213 | 0.168 |
| headuniv | 0.212 | 0.076 | 0.099 | 0.299 | 0.098 | 0.089 |
| headuniv_ea | -2.011 | 0.672 | 0.099 | 0.052 | 0.102 | 0.002 |
| housetype2_ea | -0.520 | 0.169 | 0.306 | 0.231 | 0.310 | 0.053 |
| nafemales | -0.044 | 0.017 | 1.931 | 1.494 | 2.096 | 2.165 |
| namales | -0.040 | 0.016 | 1.975 | 1.650 | 2.109 | 2.578 |
| nelderly | -0.102 | 0.041 | 0.271 | 0.579 | 0.268 | 0.314 |
| nkids | -0.062 | 0.013 | 3.130 | 2.391 | 3.186 | 4.185 |
| ownhouse2_ea | 0.581 | 0.236 | 0.370 | 0.141 | 0.363 | 0.016 |
| singlep | -0.810 | 0.146 | 0.593 | 0.227 | 0.610 | 0.034 |
| work9 | -2.495 | 0.430 | 0.038 | 0.065 | 0.038 | 0.004 |


| intercept_ | 11.917 | 0.147 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| obs. | 470 |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |
| location effect | $-*$ |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |

*No location effect
Table A1.21: Urban Abyan

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 1.222 | 0.210 | 0.315 | 0.217 | 0.308 | 0.026 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.566 | 0.098 | 0.073 | 0.260 | 0.084 | 0.077 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.791 | 0.300 | 0.677 | 0.175 | 0.641 | 0.053 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 2.629 | 0.561 | 0.386 | 0.181 | 0.377 | 0.040 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -2.654 | 0.471 | 0.789 | 0.126 | 0.773 | 0.026 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | 0.850 | 0.347 | 0.504 | 0.167 | 0.502 | 0.038 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -2.893 | 0.683 | 0.157 | 0.097 | 0.162 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 2.390 | 0.394 | 0.513 | 0.173 | 0.491 | 0.042 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | -1.621 | 0.360 | 0.142 | 0.141 | 0.141 | 0.020 |
| dum_eaurable4_ea | 12.151 | 3.001 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | -1.857 | 0.431 | 0.382 | 0.151 | 0.374 | 0.029 |
| headage | 0.005 | 0.002 | 45.701 | 13.669 | 46.404 | 148.071 |
| headiliter_ea | -2.269 | 0.500 | 0.332 | 0.107 | 0.321 | 0.009 |
| headuniv | 0.347 | 0.114 | 0.071 | 0.257 | 0.059 | 0.056 |
| nafemalesinv* | 1.772 | 0.222 | 0.404 | 0.213 | 0.363 | 0.025 |
| ownhouse1 | -0.136 | 0.075 | 0.834 | 0.372 | 0.830 | 0.142 |
| sewage3_ea | 0.782 | 0.196 | 0.139 | 0.249 | 0.147 | 0.077 |
| work4 | 1.112 | 0.352 | 0.108 | 0.134 | 0.107 | 0.014 |
| intercept | 10.053 | 0.286 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | - ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.22: Urban Sana'a City

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (census) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sd } \\ \text { (census) } \end{gathered}$ | Mean (survey) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { sd } \\ \text { (survey) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -0.466 | 0.185 | 0.200 | 0.088 | 0.211 | 0.009 |
| dum_eaurable16_1 | 0.164 | 0.041 | 0.120 | 0.325 | 0.141 | 0.121 |
| dum_eaurable16_ea | 1.969 | 0.850 | 0.120 | 0.097 | 0.123 | 0.013 |
| dum_eaurable16_ea^2 | -9.740 | 3.210 | 0.024 | 0.040 | 0.028 | 0.004 |
| dum_eaurable16_ea^3 | 11.224 | 3.201 | 0.006 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.002 |
| dum_eaurable18_1 | 0.901 | 0.232 | 0.012 | 0.111 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea^3 | 2.537 | 0.585 | 0.016 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 0.002 |
| dum_eaurable4_1 | 0.194 | 0.087 | 0.028 | 0.165 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| dum_eaurable8_1 | 0.160 | 0.028 | 0.470 | 0.499 | 0.444 | 0.247 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | 0.480 | 0.197 | 0.507 | 0.141 | 0.508 | 0.021 |
| employ_nonself $\uparrow 2$ | 0.647 | 0.159 | 0.474 | 0.243 | 0.489 | 0.062 |
| employ_self | 0.466 | 0.228 | 0.305 | 0.185 | 0.293 | 0.030 |
| headage | -0.003 | 0.001 | 40.369 | 13.570 | 43.251 | 165.235 |
| headiliter_ea | 0.727 | 0.269 | 0.267 | 0.107 | 0.261 | 0.009 |
| headprim_1 | 0.106 | 0.042 | 0.095 | 0.293 | 0.110 | 0.098 |
| headprim_ea^3 | 23.624 | 6.764 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 1E-4 |
| headread_ea^2 | 3.043 | 0.734 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.031 | 0.001 |
| headsecond_ea | 4.552 | 0.991 | 0.292 | 0.062 | 0.291 | 0.004 |
| headsecond_ea^3 | -10.968 | 3.169 | 0.028 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 3E-4 |
| headuniv_1 | 0.207 | 0.035 | 0.195 | 0.396 | 0.223 | 0.174 |
| housetype1_1 | -0.088 | 0.029 | 0.557 | 0.497 | 0.547 | 0.248 |
| Marriedp^3 | 0.351 | 0.067 | 0.146 | 0.263 | 0.124 | 0.056 |
| nelderly | -0.102 | 0.028 | 0.238 | 0.609 | 0.256 | 0.275 |
| nkids | -0.223 | 0.025 | 2.601 | 2.373 | 2.825 | 4.804 |
| nkids^2 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 12.393 | 42.780 | 12.653 | 402.126 |
| nkids^3 | -0.001 | 0.000 | 88.139 | 4189.858 | 75.438 | 74026.892 |
| sewage1_ea^2 | 0.154 | 0.059 | 0.564 | 0.433 | 0.536 | 0.191 |
| sewage3_ea | 0.817 | 0.223 | 0.012 | 0.064 | 0.012 | 0.005 |
| universityp^3 | 0.424 | 0.145 | 0.020 | 0.112 | 0.020 | 0.011 |
| water1_1 | 0.136 | 0.048 | 0.604 | 0.489 | 0.589 | 0.242 |
| water1_ea | -0.481 | 0.133 | 0.590 | 0.408 | 0.610 | 0.162 |
| water1_ea^3 | 0.251 | 0.128 | 0.467 | 0.394 | 0.489 | 0.154 |
| water2_1 | 0.154 | 0.078 | 0.042 | 0.201 | 0.034 | 0.033 |
| water4_ea | -0.208 | 0.072 | 0.887 | 0.213 | 0.895 | 0.040 |
| work1^2 | -0.338 | 0.136 | 0.147 | 0.136 | 0.162 | 0.023 |
| work7^2 | 6.502 | 3.262 | 0.006 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 4E-4 |
| work7^3 | -20.136 | 8.467 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 1E-4 |
| _intercept_ | 9.449 | 0.357 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 1639 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.23: Urban Al-Baida

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.263 | 0.079 | 0.159 | 0.366 | 0.133 | 0.116 |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | 0.953 | 0.297 | 0.815 | 0.132 | 0.807 | 0.010 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.652 | 0.222 | 0.641 | 0.143 | 0.612 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable1__ea | -5.562 | 1.835 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.011 | $3 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable5_ea | 16.432 | 3.039 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.015 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.118 | 0.057 | 0.455 | 0.498 | 0.439 | 0.247 |
| employed2 | 1.623 | 0.405 | 0.322 | 0.092 | 0.319 | 0.005 |
| headdivorced | 0.471 | 0.206 | 0.013 | 0.112 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
| kidp | -0.780 | 0.136 | 0.388 | 0.224 | 0.391 | 0.047 |
| marriedp | 0.776 | 0.139 | 0.363 | 0.214 | 0.378 | 0.046 |
| nafemales | -0.115 | 0.020 | 2.017 | 1.540 | 2.130 | 2.027 |
| university_ea | -6.277 | 2.963 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 0.016 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| water1_ea | 0.197 | 0.067 | 0.509 | 0.410 | 0.499 | 0.179 |
| work10 | 2.141 | 0.669 | 0.039 | 0.047 | 0.030 | 0.002 |
| work9 | 0.348 | 0.139 | 0.159 | 0.202 | 0.162 | 0.054 |
| intercept_ | 9.767 | 0.339 |  |  |  |  |
| bbs. | 327 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | -* |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.24: Urban Taiz

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12_ea^3 | -1.5708 | 0.2795 | 0.539 | 0.187 | 0.546 | 0.043 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea^2 | 403.0795 | 62.643 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.002 | $3 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| dum_eaurable18_ea^3 | -1636.106 | 262.7575 | 0.001 | 0.005 | $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.2357 | 0.0808 | 0.101 | 0.301 | 0.092 | 0.084 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | 1.2389 | 0.4416 | 0.481 | 0.195 | 0.495 | 0.043 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | -0.9563 | 0.4939 | 0.134 | 0.101 | 0.140 | 0.010 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.4862 | 0.0897 | 0.067 | 0.250 | 0.081 | 0.075 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.5874 | 0.2132 | 0.030 | 0.171 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| dum_eaurable18_ea | -23.8544 | 3.9038 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.028 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.1328 | 0.05 | 0.396 | 0.489 | 0.400 | 0.240 |
| dum_eaurable8_ea | 2.4542 | 0.5339 | 0.396 | 0.145 | 0.390 | 0.019 |
| employ_self^3 | -1.0469 | 0.2871 | 0.074 | 0.128 | 0.079 | 0.027 |
| headread_ea | 1.9674 | 0.4284 | 0.143 | 0.067 | 0.151 | 0.006 |
| headsecond_ea^3 | -3.7498 | 2.1384 | 0.024 | 0.018 | 0.025 | $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| headuniv_ea^3 | 25.8864 | 6.0094 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.006 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| housetype3_ea | 2.006 | 0.4704 | 0.017 | 0.059 | 0.019 | 0.006 |
| kidp^2 | 0.6678 | 0.9237 | 0.168 | 0.170 | 0.181 | 0.030 |
| kidp^2 | 0.4222 | 1.1325 | 0.095 | 0.120 | 0.102 | 0.015 |
| nelderly | -0.1566 | 0.0474 | 0.279 | 0.572 | 0.256 | 0.281 |
| nkids | -0.1607 | 0.0212 | 2.500 | 2.249 | 2.457 | 4.240 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 2.6185 | 0.7354 | 0.517 | 0.500 | 0.503 | 0.024 |
| ownhouse2_ea | 2.7389 | 0.7017 | 0.450 | 0.498 | 0.454 | 0.028 |
| sewage2_ea | 1.1529 | 0.448 | 0.227 | 0.315 | 0.229 | 0.104 |
| sewage2_ea^2 | -2.1528 | 0.5258 | 0.227 | 0.315 | 0.157 | 0.067 |
| singlep^2 | -3.3888 | 0.6401 | 0.394 | 0.244 | 0.396 | 0.044 |
| singlep^2 | 3.0947 | 0.6946 | 0.285 | 0.236 | 0.278 | 0.037 |
| university_ea | -13.292 | 2.6255 | 0.050 | 0.026 | 0.052 | 0.001 |
| work4 | -1.4352 | 0.3154 | 0.232 | 0.172 | 0.233 | 0.029 |
| work4^3 | 2.4167 | 0.6503 | 0.037 | 0.067 | 0.036 | 0.005 |
| work8^3 | 3.0032 | 1.2136 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.001 |
| intercept_ | 9.2042 | 0.6649 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 582 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.25: Urban Al-Jawf

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 1.902 | 0.300 | 0.261 | 0.155 | 0.255 | 0.014 |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.340 | 0.092 | 0.072 | 0.259 | 0.104 | 0.094 |
| dum_eaurable16 | -0.491 | 0.247 | 0.005 | 0.067 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.410 | 0.144 | 0.028 | 0.164 | 0.041 | 0.039 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 2.009 | 0.290 | 0.156 | 0.132 | 0.143 | 0.020 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.223 | 0.095 | 0.062 | 0.241 | 0.078 | 0.072 |
| dum_eaurable9_ea | -1.103 | 0.213 | 0.270 | 0.176 | 0.256 | 0.043 |
| headuniv_ea | 6.030 | 1.357 | 0.024 | 0.028 | 0.023 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| highiliter | -0.137 | 0.068 | 0.197 | 0.398 | 0.180 | 0.148 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.584 | 0.214 | 0.921 | 0.104 | 0.912 | 0.017 |
| light5_ea | 0.178 | 0.096 | 0.249 | 0.284 | 0.249 | 0.100 |
| marriedp | 0.269 | 0.146 | 0.311 | 0.145 | 0.301 | 0.033 |
| nafemalesinv | 2.074 | 0.258 | 0.391 | 0.161 | 0.365 | 0.018 |
| sewage3_ea | -0.318 | 0.092 | 0.287 | 0.289 | 0.315 | 0.089 |
| intercept_ | 8.362 | 0.280 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 2226 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.034 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.26: Urban Hajja

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | -0.700 | 0.186 | 0.258 | 0.165 | 0.275 | 0.030 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.263 | 0.085 | 0.090 | 0.287 | 0.116 | 0.103 |
| dum_eaurable11_ea | -0.734 | 0.319 | 0.305 | 0.231 | 0.303 | 0.053 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 0.904 | 0.304 | 0.300 | 0.216 | 0.296 | 0.050 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 1.690 | 0.504 | 0.090 | 0.072 | 0.099 | 0.006 |
| headiliter | -0.259 | 0.063 | 0.523 | 0.499 | 0.494 | 0.251 |
| headmarried | -0.416 | 0.111 | 0.879 | 0.326 | 0.895 | 0.094 |
| headprim | -0.362 | 0.126 | 0.076 | 0.265 | 0.081 | 0.075 |
| highprim | 0.293 | 0.125 | 0.075 | 0.263 | 0.078 | 0.072 |
| housetype1_ea | -1.515 | 0.255 | 0.689 | 0.247 | 0.714 | 0.056 |
| housetype3_ea | -1.131 | 0.256 | 0.175 | 0.249 | 0.162 | 0.065 |
| kidp | -0.980 | 0.152 | 0.377 | 0.248 | 0.379 | 0.064 |
| marriedp | 0.772 | 0.149 | 0.352 | 0.229 | 0.344 | 0.053 |
| nelderly | -0.135 | 0.051 | 0.346 | 0.630 | 0.321 | 0.336 |
| ownhouse1_ea | 0.323 | 0.168 | 0.728 | 0.182 | 0.760 | 0.032 |
| sewage2_ea | 0.340 | 0.112 | 0.350 | 0.322 | 0.359 | 0.114 |
| water1_ea | -0.569 | 0.130 | 0.389 | 0.441 | 0.361 | 0.185 |
| water3 | 0.829 | 0.190 | 0.046 | 0.209 | 0.035 | 0.034 |
| intercept_ | 12.577 | 0.266 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 339 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.037 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.27: Urban Al-Hodeida

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 0.490 | 0.099 | 0.275 | 0.179 | 0.319 | 0.049 |
| cook2 | -0.185 | 0.039 | 0.253 | 0.435 | 0.263 | 0.194 |
| cook2_ea | 0.399 | 0.100 | 0.246 | 0.213 | 0.252 | 0.051 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.332 | 0.082 | 0.049 | 0.216 | 0.045 | 0.043 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | 6.543 | 2.113 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.006 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -1.668 | 0.771 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.160 | 0.039 | 0.255 | 0.436 | 0.282 | 0.203 |
| headiliter | -0.131 | 0.034 | 0.485 | 0.500 | 0.476 | 0.250 |
| headmarried | -0.119 | 0.049 | 0.837 | 0.370 | 0.844 | 0.132 |
| headprim_ea | -1.149 | 0.321 | 0.098 | 0.050 | 0.086 | 0.002 |
| housetype2 | 0.129 | 0.053 | 0.094 | 0.291 | 0.126 | 0.110 |
| housetype2_ea | 0.522 | 0.148 | 0.094 | 0.154 | 0.104 | 0.033 |
| kidp | -0.325 | 0.107 | 0.326 | 0.250 | 0.325 | 0.063 |
| light2 | -0.724 | 0.162 | 0.027 | 0.161 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| nafemales | -0.109 | 0.014 | 2.032 | 4.080 | 1.907 | 2.008 |
| ownhouse2_ea | -0.710 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 0.149 | 0.180 | 0.025 |
| singlep | -0.614 | 0.094 | 0.567 | 0.253 | 0.585 | 0.055 |
| universityp | 0.723 | 0.167 | 0.030 | 0.106 | 0.030 | 0.010 |
| university_ea | 5.493 | 0.997 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.001 |
| work9 | -0.831 | 0.190 | 0.034 | 0.086 | 0.035 | 0.007 |
| intercept_ | 11.453 | 0.095 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 841 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.51 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.035 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.28: Urban Hadramout

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amalep | 0.388 | 0.137 | 0.313 | 0.207 | 0.295 | 0.029 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.170 | 0.093 | 0.060 | 0.237 | 0.049 | 0.046 |
| dum_eaurable2 | -0.177 | 0.110 | 0.019 | 0.138 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -3.081 | 1.317 | 0.016 | 0.029 | 0.013 | $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable6_ea | -0.914 | 0.096 | 0.164 | 0.209 | 0.182 | 0.048 |
| employed2 | 0.896 | 0.331 | 0.325 | 0.080 | 0.329 | 0.005 |
| employ_self | 0.358 | 0.125 | 0.434 | 0.220 | 0.446 | 0.040 |
| headiliter | -0.141 | 0.044 | 0.279 | 0.448 | 0.306 | 0.213 |
| headiliter_ea | -0.665 | 0.205 | 0.279 | 0.123 | 0.274 | 0.018 |
| headuniv_ea | 3.193 | 0.643 | 0.067 | 0.056 | 0.066 | 0.004 |
| highprim | 0.240 | 0.105 | 0.035 | 0.185 | 0.032 | 0.031 |
| kidp | -0.380 | 0.120 | 0.345 | 0.225 | 0.334 | 0.054 |
| light1 | 0.377 | 0.210 | 0.930 | 0.255 | 0.936 | 0.060 |
| light5 | 0.877 | 0.247 | 0.019 | 0.136 | 0.013 | 0.013 |
| singlep | -0.345 | 0.105 | 0.557 | 0.214 | 0.529 | 0.054 |
| universityp | 0.529 | 0.245 | 0.028 | 0.092 | 0.027 | 0.007 |
| university_ea | -8.246 | 2.158 | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.025 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |


| water2 <br> intercept_ | 0.581 | 0.219 | 0.053 | 0.224 | 0.056 | 0.053 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| obs. | 10.456 | 0.253 |  |  |  |  |
| $R$ square | 463 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.29: Urban Dhamar

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable12_ea | -0.965 | 0.222 | 0.785 | 0.169 | 0.795 | 0.031 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 1.426 | 0.340 | 0.286 | 0.142 | 0.291 | 0.017 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | 3.576 | 0.511 | 0.115 | 0.067 | 0.108 | 0.003 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -5.906 | 1.338 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable6_ea | 6.048 | 1.655 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.018 | $3 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.196 | 0.058 | 0.338 | 0.473 | 0.337 | 0.224 |
| employed2 | 1.812 | 0.442 | 0.300 | 0.068 | 0.285 | 0.005 |
| headprim_ea | -3.383 | 0.703 | 0.080 | 0.052 | 0.079 | 0.002 |
| headsingle | 0.385 | 0.122 | 0.054 | 0.226 | 0.047 | 0.045 |
| light6 | -0.442 | 0.117 | 0.055 | 0.227 | 0.049 | 0.047 |
| nkids | -0.047 | 0.017 | 3.285 | 2.498 | 3.281 | 5.204 |
| singlep | -0.524 | 0.147 | 0.589 | 0.223 | 0.579 | 0.049 |
| hhsize | -0.028 | 0.010 | 7.454 | 4.323 | 7.534 | 12.646 |
| work9 | 1.047 | 0.271 | 0.071 | 0.109 | 0.077 | 0.018 |
| intercept_ | 10.914 | 0.184 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 342 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.047 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.30: Urban Shabwah

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. Mean (census) sd (census) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Mean (survey) sd (survey)

Table A1.31: Urban Sa'adah

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable17_ea^3 | 4.757 | 1.339 | 0.020 | 0.032 | 0.021 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.339 | 0.065 | 0.202 | 0.402 | 0.218 | 0.171 |
| dum_eaurable10 | 0.227 | 0.066 | 0.194 | 0.395 | 0.209 | 0.166 |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.293 | 0.164 | 0.017 | 0.130 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea^3 | -2716.399 | 646.641 | $3 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $6 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.084 | 0.055 | 0.338 | 0.473 | 0.331 | 0.222 |
| employ_nonself^3 | -1.855 | 0.263 | 0.169 | 0.211 | 0.180 | 0.052 |
| headprim_ea | -0.941 | 0.501 | 0.095 | 0.049 | 0.093 | 0.003 |
| light1 | 0.343 | 0.072 | 0.726 | 0.446 | 0.725 | 0.200 |
| light1_ea^3 | -0.363 | 0.149 | 0.538 | 0.331 | 0.538 | 0.113 |
| light5_1 | 0.347 | 0.143 | 0.056 | 0.229 | 0.033 | 0.032 |
| nafemales | -0.056 | 0.017 | 2.071 | 1.801 | 2.275 | 2.270 |
| nkidsinv | 0.328 | 0.111 | 0.365 | 0.311 | 0.305 | 0.064 |
| primary_ea | 2.257 | 0.718 | 0.189 | 0.072 | 0.189 | 0.004 |
| sewage3 | 0.086 | 0.062 | 0.172 | 0.377 | 0.189 | 0.154 |
| sewage3_ea^3 | 0.877 | 0.613 | 0.040 | 0.118 | 0.024 | 0.004 |
| singlep^3 | -0.640 | 0.165 | 0.258 | 0.195 | 0.244 | 0.025 |
| work4^3 | 1.225 | 0.707 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.034 | 0.003 |
| work5 | -18.395 | 3.224 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.034 | 0.003 |
| work5^2 | 222.834 | 53.169 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.004 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| work5^3 | -752.706 | 206.089 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 | $7 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| intercept_ | 10.792 | 0.156 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.324 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | -* |  |  |  |  |  |

*No location effect
Table A1.32: Urban Aden

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.142 | 0.053 | 0.165 | 0.371 | 0.144 | 0.123 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | -1.535 | 0.936 | 0.014 | 0.022 | 0.015 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable3 | 0.337 | 0.115 | 0.014 | 0.116 | 0.024 | 0.023 |
| dum_eaurable6 | 0.966 | 0.453 | 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable9 | 0.231 | 0.037 | 0.412 | 0.492 | 0.411 | 0.243 |
| femalehead | 0.116 | 0.047 | 0.164 | 0.371 | 0.181 | 0.148 |
| kidp | -0.310 | 0.146 | 0.298 | 0.236 | 0.288 | 0.057 |
| nafemales | -0.104 | 0.016 | 2.113 | 2.790 | 1.984 | 1.844 |
| nkids | -0.027 | 0.016 | 2.290 | 2.263 | 2.148 | 4.713 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -2.143 | 0.791 | 0.789 | 0.114 | 0.797 | 0.011 |
| ownhouse2 | 0.130 | 0.050 | 0.183 | 0.387 | 0.157 | 0.133 |
| ownhouse2_ea | -2.463 | 0.819 | 0.180 | 0.102 | 0.182 | 0.010 |
| singlep | -0.525 | 0.087 | 0.548 | 0.238 | 0.555 | 0.051 |
| universityp | 0.663 | 0.118 | 0.069 | 0.154 | 0.082 | 0.025 |
| water2 | 0.476 | 0.193 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| work3 | 0.804 | 0.182 | 0.087 | 0.091 | 0.098 | 0.010 |


| work6 | -1.291 | 0.318 | 0.023 | 0.047 | 0.023 | 0.003 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intercept_ | 13.450 | 0.777 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 716 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.33: Urban Laheg

| Variable | Coefficient |  | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afemalep | 0.988 | 0.239 | 0.283 | 0.178 | 0.312 | 0.030 |
| dum_eaurable2_ea | -30.626 | 3.611 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.007 | $1 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| headage | 0.005 | 0.002 | 44.828 | 13.877 | 44.852 | 174.849 |
| headiliter_ea | 0.879 | 0.435 | 0.311 | 0.116 | 0.303 | 0.017 |
| headprim_ea | 5.923 | 1.118 | 0.062 | 0.046 | 0.065 | 0.001 |
| highprim | 0.308 | 0.135 | 0.030 | 0.172 | 0.043 | 0.041 |
| light1 | 0.511 | 0.117 | 0.824 | 0.381 | 0.791 | 0.166 |
| light2_ea | 0.499 | 0.213 | 0.064 | 0.225 | 0.093 | 0.078 |
| nafemales | -0.156 | 0.034 | 1.872 | 1.518 | 1.915 | 1.684 |
| ownhouse1 | 0.224 | 0.076 | 0.833 | 0.373 | 0.842 | 0.134 |
| primaryp | 0.753 | 0.142 | 0.331 | 0.274 | 0.344 | 0.071 |
| singlep | -0.435 | 0.168 | 0.567 | 0.236 | 0.589 | 0.055 |
| university_ea | 6.651 | 1.386 | 0.039 | 0.024 | 0.047 | 0.001 |
| intercept_ | 9.327 | 0.257 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 273 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.079 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.34: Urban Mareb

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | -0.822 | 0.275 | 0.442 | 0.144 | 0.450 | 0.028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dum_eaurable16 | 0.778 | 0.210 | 0.037 | 0.188 | 0.033 | 0.032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dum_eaurable18 | 0.220 | 0.105 | 0.141 | 0.348 | 0.140 | 0.121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| headsecond×sizeinv* | 1.305 | 0.495 | 0.050 | 0.099 | 0.046 | 0.007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| headsecond_ea | 1.535 | 0.433 | 0.289 | 0.088 | 0.276 | 0.010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| light1_ea | 3.653 | 0.742 | 0.895 | 0.062 | 0.898 | 0.004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| light | 0.938 | 0.399 | 0.006 | 0.075 | 0.010 | 0.010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ownhouse1 | 0.242 | 0.080 | 0.465 | 0.292 | 0.521 | 0.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sizeinv | 4.151 | 0.516 | 0.157 | 0.106 | 0.135 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| water4_ea | -0.642 | 0.248 | 0.858 | 0.192 | 0.871 | 0.032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| work1 | -0.521 | 0.213 | 0.350 | 0.191 | 0.390 | 0.041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| intercept_ | 7.510 | 0.581 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | $+\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^10]Table A1.35: Urban Al-Mahweet

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | -0.213 | 0.091 | 0.100 | 0.300 | 0.076 | 0.071 |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.749 | 0.316 | 0.324 | 0.119 | 0.327 | 0.018 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | 1.430 | 0.414 | 0.175 | 0.108 | 0.189 | 0.013 |
| dum_eaurable1_ea | -2.258 | 0.986 | 0.100 | 0.039 | 0.104 | 0.001 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -31.660 | 8.094 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.003 | $2 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.114 | 0.050 | 0.482 | 0.500 | 0.453 | 0.249 |
| headage | -0.004 | 0.002 | 42.882 | 15.373 | 45.183 | 226.657 |
| headprim_ea | -1.838 | 0.634 | 0.048 | 0.044 | 0.049 | 0.002 |
| highread | -0.119 | 0.069 | 0.168 | 0.374 | 0.163 | 0.137 |
| nkidsinv* | 0.553 | 0.120 | 0.387 | 0.319 | 0.343 | 0.075 |
| nkidsinvxwater3_ea | 7.961 | 1.930 | 0.006 | 0.031 | 0.004 | $2 E-4$ |
| ownhouse2_ea | 0.620 | 0.275 | 0.308 | 0.097 | 0.290 | 0.010 |
| singlep | -0.630 | 0.153 | 0.589 | 0.234 | 0.599 | 0.045 |
| water1_ea | -0.484 | 0.095 | 0.697 | 0.353 | 0.685 | 0.131 |
| work1 | -0.763 | 0.161 | 0.518 | 0.241 | 0.514 | 0.064 |
| intercept_ | 11.361 | 0.224 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 289 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |

*nkidsinv=1/(1+nkids); ${ }^{\dagger}$ No location effect

Table A1.36: Urban Al-Maharh

| Variable | Coefficient Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) sd (survey) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable10_ea | 0.779 | 0.147 | 0.525 | 0.254 | 0.563 | 0.072 |
| dum_eaurable15_ea | -1.176 | 0.306 | 0.238 | 0.173 | 0.265 | 0.023 |
| dum_eaurable16 | 1.287 | 0.349 | 0.011 | 0.105 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| employ_nonself | -1.990 | 0.435 | 0.459 | 0.251 | 0.443 | 0.056 |
| headsecond_ea | 1.810 | 0.533 | 0.239 | 0.133 | 0.250 | 0.018 |
| headuniv_ea | 12.504 | 2.026 | 0.056 | 0.064 | 0.058 | 0.003 |
| marriedp | 0.560 | 0.183 | 0.387 | 0.242 | 0.382 | 0.039 |
| nafemales | -0.068 | 0.025 | 1.954 | 1.618 | 1.997 | 1.665 |
| nkids | -0.045 | 0.016 | 3.292 | 3.025 | 3.388 | 5.077 |
| university_ea | -43.844 | 5.232 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.016 | $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| intercept_ | 11.548 | 0.189 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No location effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.37: Urban Amran

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable1 | 0.307 | 0.070 | 0.156 | 0.363 | 0.167 | 0.140 |
| dum_eaurable13_ea | -0.514 | 0.165 | 0.734 | 0.169 | 0.733 | 0.027 |
| dum_eaurable14_ea | 2.028 | 0.338 | 0.193 | 0.134 | 0.198 | 0.021 |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | -0.741 | 0.385 | 0.179 | 0.113 | 0.176 | 0.008 |
| dum_eaurable3_ea | -5.083 | 1.968 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.020 | $4 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| dum_eaurable8 | 0.216 | 0.055 | 0.377 | 0.485 | 0.404 | 0.242 |
| headprim_ea | 1.024 | 0.586 | 0.083 | 0.040 | 0.088 | 0.002 |
| highread | -0.136 | 0.070 | 0.212 | 0.409 | 0.187 | 0.153 |
| housetype1_ea | 0.607 | 0.267 | 0.788 | 0.184 | 0.803 | 0.021 |
| nelderlyinv | 0.294 | 0.106 | 0.847 | 0.249 | 0.848 | 0.060 |
| nkidsinv**singlep | 1.230 | 0.331 | 0.169 | 0.166 | 0.139 | 0.006 |
| nkidsinv×work10 | 3.590 | 1.402 | 0.019 | 0.035 | 0.016 | 0.001 |
| ownhouse1_ea | -1.034 | 0.274 | 0.659 | 0.142 | 0.667 | 0.018 |
| singlep | -0.692 | 0.158 | 0.587 | 0.214 | 0.598 | 0.034 |
| water4_ea | 0.574 | 0.136 | 0.926 | 0.164 | 0.905 | 0.039 |
| work5 | -1.166 | 0.656 | 0.021 | 0.050 | 0.023 | 0.002 |
| intercept_ | 10.124 | 0.262 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 302 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.080 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *nkidsinv=1/(1+nkids) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A1.38: Urban Al-Dhale

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Err. | Mean (census) | sd (census) | Mean (survey) | sd (survey) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dum_eaurable17_ea | 0.598 | 0.158 | 0.374 | 0.215 | 0.383 | 0.057 |
| dum_eaurable4 | 0.521 | 0.195 | 0.016 | 0.126 | 0.025 | 0.024 |
| dum_eaurable5 | 0.670 | 0.192 | 0.015 | 0.123 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| headdivorced | -0.516 | 0.231 | 0.010 | 0.099 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| headread_ea | -0.717 | 0.314 | 0.273 | 0.103 | 0.268 | 0.011 |
| headwidow | -0.395 | 0.142 | 0.046 | 0.210 | 0.051 | 0.048 |
| light4 | 0.551 | 0.251 | 0.020 | 0.140 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| nafemales | -0.152 | 0.025 | 1.727 | 1.531 | 1.874 | 1.697 |
| nkids | -0.065 | 0.016 | 3.238 | 2.861 | 3.459 | 5.673 |
| primary_ea | 1.266 | 0.666 | 0.247 | 0.077 | 0.240 | 0.003 |
| singlep | -0.407 | 0.170 | 0.583 | 0.244 | 0.600 | 0.054 |
| water2 | 0.367 | 0.142 | 0.050 | 0.218 | 0.072 | 0.067 |
| intercept_ | 11.094 | 0.249 |  |  |  |  |
| obs. | 233 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R square | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| location effect | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A2: Compare estimates of headcount (food poverty) using different data sources
Governorate \#hhno* avg_FGT0 se_FGT0 FGT0 (survey)
Rural

| 11 | 233,491 | 0.603 | 0.016 | 0.625 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 38,120 | 0.761 | 0.020 | 0.725 |
| 14 | 49,667 | 0.774 | 0.025 | 0.805 |
| 15 | 266,914 | 0.642 | 0.019 | 0.660 |
| 16 | 45,325 | 0.801 | 0.033 | 0.852 |
| 17 | 154,183 | 0.662 | 0.027 | 0.672 |
| 18 | 224,491 | 0.547 | 0.022 | 0.529 |
| 19 | 58,818 | 0.606 | 0.025 | 0.614 |
| 20 | 150,379 | 0.505 | 0.031 | 0.504 |
| 21 | 34,657 | 0.781 | 0.030 | 0.792 |
| 22 | 61,965 | 0.449 | 0.037 | 0.407 |
| 23 | 99,571 | 0.667 | 0.025 | 0.657 |
| 25 | 87,265 | 0.651 | 0.031 | 0.662 |
| 26 | 21,181 | 0.586 | 0.032 | 0.639 |
| 27 | 54,322 | 0.573 | 0.031 | 0.608 |
| 28 | 5,903 | 0.221 | 0.029 | 0.233 |
| 29 | 73,020 | 0.917 | 0.026 | 0.899 |
| 30 | 48,051 | 0.795 | 0.023 | 0.810 |
| 31 | 45,963 | 0.553 | 0.029 | 0.564 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 49,143 | 0.468 | 0.014 | 0.500 |
| 12 | 13,473 | 0.610 | 0.018 | 0.644 |
| 13 | 236,515 | 0.465 | 0.012 | 0.477 |
| 14 | 12,786 | 0.495 | 0.027 | 0.447 |
| 15 | 77,660 | 0.513 | 0.015 | 0.511 |
| 16 | 7,064 | 0.770 | 0.018 | 0.741 |
| 17 | 16,137 | 0.471 | 0.022 | 0.504 |
| 18 | 104,909 | 0.502 | 0.015 | 0.490 |
| 19 | 53,868 | 0.583 | 0.036 | 0.641 |
| 20 | 24,312 | 0.602 | 0.021 | 0.607 |
| 21 | 7,551 | 0.640 | 0.026 | 0.631 |
| 22 | 11,549 | 0.469 | 0.018 | 0.447 |
| 24 | 82,967 | 0.500 | 0.021 | 0.509 |
| 25 | 8,495 | 0.510 | 0.032 | 0.513 |
| 26 | 3,325 | 0.474 | 0.039 | 0.465 |
| 27 | 4,169 | 0.684 | 0.029 | 0.682 |
| 28 | 4,074 | 0.274 | 0.020 | 0.313 |
| 29 | 17,135 | 0.722 | 0.022 | 0.705 |
| 30 | 7,717 | 0.606 | 0.040 | 0.602 |

* The number of households in this table is different from the number listed in Table 1 because of two reasons: 1. The houses which are not occupied and/or do not have a household head are dropped (see note 3); 2. The households which have missing values in the variables used in the consumption model are dropped.

Table A3: Estimates of poverty indicators (Urban areas)

| Governorate | District | \#hhno | avg_ $\hat{y}$ | avg_FGT0 | se_FGT0 | avg_FGT1 | se_FGT1 | avg_FGT2 | se_FGT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 32,482 | 14,541 | 0.741 | 0.015 | 0.284 | 0.010 | 0.135 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 2 | 40,746 | 15,868 | 0.594 | 0.022 | 0.195 | 0.012 | 0.084 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 3 | 40,828 | 8,163 | 0.585 | 0.033 | 0.196 | 0.016 | 0.086 | 0.009 |
| 11 | 4 | 54,042 | 7,522 | 0.417 | 0.031 | 0.124 | 0.012 | 0.051 | 0.006 |
| 11 | 5 | 61,780 | 4,061 | 0.355 | 0.041 | 0.104 | 0.016 | 0.042 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 6 | 44,874 | 9,971 | 0.501 | 0.034 | 0.153 | 0.013 | 0.063 | 0.006 |
| 11 | 7 | 37,723 | 14,423 | 0.613 | 0.026 | 0.215 | 0.012 | 0.098 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 8 | 32,894 | 13,985 | 0.704 | 0.021 | 0.245 | 0.012 | 0.108 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 9 | 44,539 | 12,050 | 0.554 | 0.019 | 0.182 | 0.011 | 0.078 | 0.006 |
| 11 | 10 | 36,930 | 14,598 | 0.655 | 0.024 | 0.221 | 0.013 | 0.096 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 11 | 34,975 | 19,768 | 0.678 | 0.023 | 0.234 | 0.011 | 0.103 | 0.006 |
| 11 | 12 | 42,468 | 12,551 | 0.547 | 0.028 | 0.172 | 0.013 | 0.072 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 13 | 46,288 | 13,153 | 0.506 | 0.025 | 0.160 | 0.013 | 0.068 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 14 | 39,295 | 8,929 | 0.622 | 0.019 | 0.235 | 0.012 | 0.111 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 15 | 43,351 | 13,775 | 0.556 | 0.026 | 0.179 | 0.011 | 0.076 | 0.006 |
| 11 | 16 | 37,134 | 15,231 | 0.650 | 0.027 | 0.231 | 0.014 | 0.105 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 17 | 37,931 | 10,972 | 0.625 | 0.025 | 0.225 | 0.013 | 0.103 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 18 | 39,126 | 1,878 | 0.613 | 0.044 | 0.171 | 0.019 | 0.064 | 0.009 |
| 11 | 19 | 39,911 | 3,820 | 0.583 | 0.038 | 0.184 | 0.015 | 0.078 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 20 | 35,905 | 18,232 | 0.682 | 0.020 | 0.242 | 0.011 | 0.108 | 0.007 |
| 12 | 1 | 28,524 | 2,649 | 0.876 | 0.024 | 0.428 | 0.023 | 0.251 | 0.020 |
| 12 | 2 | 30,594 | 2,986 | 0.783 | 0.030 | 0.389 | 0.018 | 0.244 | 0.014 |
| 12 | 3 | 73,343 | 1,351 | 0.496 | 0.064 | 0.191 | 0.026 | 0.096 | 0.017 |
| 12 | 4 | 28,975 | 8,473 | 0.847 | 0.014 | 0.447 | 0.021 | 0.277 | 0.020 |
| 12 | 5 | 30,414 | 1,827 | 0.803 | 0.023 | 0.432 | 0.027 | 0.271 | 0.027 |
| 12 | 6 | 58,929 | 5,178 | 0.544 | 0.046 | 0.223 | 0.020 | 0.122 | 0.013 |
| 12 | 7 | 42,534 | 2,049 | 0.704 | 0.035 | 0.307 | 0.025 | 0.167 | 0.017 |
| 12 | 8 | 30,285 | 2,861 | 0.838 | 0.037 | 0.372 | 0.028 | 0.205 | 0.020 |
| 12 | 9 | 58,224 | 2,583 | 0.800 | 0.035 | 0.398 | 0.030 | 0.237 | 0.024 |
| 12 | 10 | 40,151 | 838 | 0.737 | 0.021 | 0.410 | 0.023 | 0.263 | 0.021 |
| 12 | 11 | 35,031 | 7,325 | 0.839 | 0.020 | 0.421 | 0.023 | 0.252 | 0.021 |
| 14 | 1 | 61,145 | 791 | 0.566 | 0.029 | 0.278 | 0.021 | 0.156 | 0.019 |
| 14 | 2 | 29,633 | 1,500 | 0.876 | 0.031 | 0.350 | 0.029 | 0.170 | 0.021 |
| 14 | 3 | 36,214 | 657 | 0.700 | 0.050 | 0.279 | 0.026 | 0.133 | 0.020 |
| 14 | 4 | 51,956 | 4,523 | 0.573 | 0.040 | 0.219 | 0.019 | 0.107 | 0.012 |
| 14 | 5 | 33,806 | 2,351 | 0.809 | 0.035 | 0.276 | 0.022 | 0.120 | 0.014 |
| 14 | 6 | 37,888 | 2,262 | 0.804 | 0.026 | 0.337 | 0.024 | 0.176 | 0.020 |
| 14 | 7 | 23,352 | 2,728 | 0.937 | 0.018 | 0.459 | 0.022 | 0.273 | 0.021 |
| 14 | 8 | 36,304 | 4,656 | 0.717 | 0.037 | 0.331 | 0.018 | 0.193 | 0.013 |
| 14 | 10 | 36,917 | 4,728 | 0.690 | 0.033 | 0.279 | 0.015 | 0.153 | 0.010 |
| 14 | 11 | 27,755 | 2,341 | 0.874 | 0.014 | 0.437 | 0.021 | 0.249 | 0.020 |
| 14 | 12 | 28,446 | 1,924 | 0.866 | 0.032 | 0.391 | 0.026 | 0.214 | 0.023 |
| 14 | 13 | 34,208 | 538 | 0.796 | 0.064 | 0.217 | 0.034 | 0.077 | 0.018 |
| 14 | 14 | 31,879 | 3,209 | 0.766 | 0.033 | 0.373 | 0.018 | 0.220 | 0.019 |


| 14 | 15 | 23,178 | 2,218 | 0.922 | 0.024 | 0.470 | 0.030 | 0.283 | 0.031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 16 | 30,614 | 3,763 | 0.790 | 0.033 | 0.371 | 0.021 | 0.223 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 17 | 28,492 | 3,089 | 0.840 | 0.024 | 0.391 | 0.023 | 0.223 | 0.019 |
| 14 | 18 | 43,400 | 2,203 | 0.598 | 0.055 | 0.225 | 0.022 | 0.121 | 0.011 |
| 14 | 19 | 27,469 | 3,354 | 0.843 | 0.033 | 0.410 | 0.018 | 0.246 | 0.018 |
| 14 | 20 | 31,422 | 2,832 | 0.811 | 0.046 | 0.317 | 0.023 | 0.158 | 0.014 |
| 15 | 1 | 41,991 | 19,220 | 0.596 | 0.033 | 0.231 | 0.017 | 0.117 | 0.010 |
| 15 | 2 | 44,727 | 15,875 | 0.590 | 0.026 | 0.232 | 0.011 | 0.119 | 0.007 |
| 15 | 3 | 37,678 | 20,313 | 0.672 | 0.024 | 0.283 | 0.014 | 0.151 | 0.009 |
| 15 | 4 | 38,549 | 26,858 | 0.669 | 0.017 | 0.290 | 0.012 | 0.158 | 0.009 |
| 15 | 5 | 47,553 | 8,747 | 0.575 | 0.037 | 0.234 | 0.020 | 0.122 | 0.013 |
| 15 | 6 | 30,743 | 1,920 | 0.745 | 0.044 | 0.333 | 0.029 | 0.185 | 0.020 |
| 15 | 7 | 39,252 | 4,844 | 0.628 | 0.041 | 0.255 | 0.020 | 0.134 | 0.012 |
| 15 | 8 | 39,546 | 16,356 | 0.646 | 0.026 | 0.259 | 0.014 | 0.134 | 0.009 |
| 15 | 9 | 61,231 | 3,401 | 0.352 | 0.052 | 0.098 | 0.020 | 0.038 | 0.010 |
| 15 | 10 | 40,830 | 14,674 | 0.639 | 0.024 | 0.264 | 0.015 | 0.139 | 0.011 |
| 15 | 11 | 39,207 | 12,472 | 0.652 | 0.034 | 0.268 | 0.022 | 0.140 | 0.014 |
| 15 | 12 | 38,745 | 13,286 | 0.643 | 0.022 | 0.269 | 0.014 | 0.143 | 0.010 |
| 15 | 13 | 39,304 | 6,950 | 0.632 | 0.031 | 0.258 | 0.017 | 0.135 | 0.011 |
| 15 | 14 | 36,645 | 21,870 | 0.670 | 0.026 | 0.277 | 0.017 | 0.146 | 0.012 |
| 15 | 15 | 33,263 | 4,163 | 0.705 | 0.032 | 0.295 | 0.022 | 0.156 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 16 | 44,516 | 10,885 | 0.597 | 0.026 | 0.252 | 0.014 | 0.136 | 0.009 |
| 15 | 20 | 38,227 | 28,595 | 0.656 | 0.024 | 0.271 | 0.017 | 0.143 | 0.013 |
| 15 | 21 | 35,903 | 16,083 | 0.690 | 0.018 | 0.294 | 0.013 | 0.157 | 0.010 |
| 15 | 22 | 40,000 | 15,438 | 0.631 | 0.026 | 0.258 | 0.016 | 0.135 | 0.011 |
| 15 | 23 | 33,519 | 4,964 | 0.696 | 0.030 | 0.303 | 0.019 | 0.164 | 0.013 |
| 16 | 1 | 34,905 | 9,328 | 0.799 | 0.040 | 0.296 | 0.024 | 0.134 | 0.016 |
| 16 | 2 | 28,803 | 2,440 | 0.935 | 0.031 | 0.389 | 0.036 | 0.191 | 0.028 |
| 16 | 3 | 28,181 | 3,293 | 0.922 | 0.036 | 0.400 | 0.034 | 0.202 | 0.028 |
| 16 | 4 | 43,633 | 2,805 | 0.718 | 0.039 | 0.323 | 0.023 | 0.171 | 0.021 |
| 16 | 5 | 32,114 | 1,980 | 0.818 | 0.046 | 0.360 | 0.023 | 0.191 | 0.016 |
| 16 | 6 | 42,510 | 2,759 | 0.738 | 0.073 | 0.268 | 0.033 | 0.120 | 0.020 |
| 16 | 7 | 30,909 | 1,064 | 0.943 | 0.032 | 0.350 | 0.042 | 0.152 | 0.028 |
| 16 | 8 | 25,715 | 469 | 0.973 | 0.022 | 0.453 | 0.038 | 0.248 | 0.033 |
| 16 | 9 | 34,990 | 873 | 0.963 | 0.038 | 0.318 | 0.046 | 0.121 | 0.028 |
| 16 | 10 | 38,836 | 6,542 | 0.700 | 0.044 | 0.286 | 0.018 | 0.140 | 0.011 |
| 16 | 11 | 35,216 | 7,097 | 0.735 | 0.035 | 0.291 | 0.019 | 0.143 | 0.012 |
| 16 | 12 | 31,023 | 6,675 | 0.863 | 0.038 | 0.330 | 0.025 | 0.153 | 0.017 |
| 17 | 1 | 39,326 | 2,926 | 0.682 | 0.061 | 0.262 | 0.036 | 0.128 | 0.023 |
| 17 | 2 | 41,227 | 9,557 | 0.648 | 0.046 | 0.259 | 0.033 | 0.133 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 3 | 38,270 | 1,544 | 0.686 | 0.058 | 0.278 | 0.036 | 0.145 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 4 | 45,576 | 14,088 | 0.600 | 0.037 | 0.235 | 0.021 | 0.120 | 0.014 |
| 17 | 5 | 52,984 | 1,949 | 0.513 | 0.060 | 0.187 | 0.030 | 0.091 | 0.019 |
| 17 | 6 | 41,679 | 5,883 | 0.629 | 0.050 | 0.230 | 0.029 | 0.109 | 0.018 |
| 17 | 7 | 34,695 | 8,327 | 0.750 | 0.030 | 0.336 | 0.030 | 0.186 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 8 | 30,693 | 3,641 | 0.808 | 0.048 | 0.355 | 0.045 | 0.192 | 0.033 |
| 17 | 9 | 43,172 | 2,889 | 0.636 | 0.056 | 0.263 | 0.038 | 0.140 | 0.026 |


| 17 | 10 | 31,005 | 4,789 | 0.743 | 0.043 | 0.350 | 0.035 | 0.204 | 0.028 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 11 | 40,951 | 8,315 | 0.660 | 0.039 | 0.267 | 0.022 | 0.139 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 12 | 47,042 | 7,542 | 0.577 | 0.052 | 0.202 | 0.027 | 0.094 | 0.016 |
| 17 | 13 | 35,687 | 5,454 | 0.738 | 0.041 | 0.321 | 0.037 | 0.175 | 0.028 |
| 17 | 14 | 35,887 | 3,641 | 0.704 | 0.036 | 0.294 | 0.027 | 0.155 | 0.020 |
| 17 | 15 | 49,375 | 3,354 | 0.562 | 0.097 | 0.235 | 0.062 | 0.127 | 0.042 |
| 17 | 16 | 51,093 | 2,761 | 0.607 | 0.089 | 0.272 | 0.059 | 0.155 | 0.043 |
| 17 | 17 | 35,106 | 5,671 | 0.678 | 0.046 | 0.270 | 0.026 | 0.139 | 0.016 |
| 17 | 18 | 43,562 | 3,662 | 0.624 | 0.065 | 0.247 | 0.041 | 0.127 | 0.028 |
| 17 | 19 | 37,049 | 1,767 | 0.706 | 0.042 | 0.275 | 0.026 | 0.139 | 0.018 |
| 17 | 20 | 40,206 | 6,093 | 0.680 | 0.095 | 0.276 | 0.070 | 0.142 | 0.049 |
| 17 | 21 | 45,098 | 3,215 | 0.620 | 0.126 | 0.251 | 0.080 | 0.131 | 0.054 |
| 17 | 22 | 44,144 | 9,301 | 0.626 | 0.040 | 0.231 | 0.022 | 0.111 | 0.014 |
| 17 | 23 | 43,442 | 1,174 | 0.596 | 0.075 | 0.217 | 0.037 | 0.104 | 0.021 |
| 17 | 24 | 45,393 | 8,112 | 0.598 | 0.055 | 0.216 | 0.029 | 0.103 | 0.017 |
| 17 | 25 | 47,483 | 6,049 | 0.557 | 0.049 | 0.187 | 0.026 | 0.084 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 26 | 49,371 | 3,319 | 0.533 | 0.055 | 0.198 | 0.027 | 0.100 | 0.017 |
| 17 | 27 | 33,715 | 4,704 | 0.742 | 0.041 | 0.319 | 0.034 | 0.172 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 28 | 35,358 | 1,931 | 0.735 | 0.131 | 0.328 | 0.106 | 0.183 | 0.079 |
| 17 | 29 | 36,130 | 3,381 | 0.724 | 0.037 | 0.304 | 0.031 | 0.162 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 30 | 36,963 | 6,356 | 0.696 | 0.038 | 0.294 | 0.023 | 0.157 | 0.016 |
| 17 | 31 | 24,550 | 2,788 | 0.887 | 0.033 | 0.448 | 0.036 | 0.269 | 0.030 |
| 18 | 1 | 51,990 | 20,361 | 0.462 | 0.029 | 0.167 | 0.013 | 0.083 | 0.008 |
| 18 | 2 | 44,641 | 14,985 | 0.545 | 0.027 | 0.217 | 0.014 | 0.117 | 0.009 |
| 18 | 4 | 7,959 | 681 | 0.995 | 0.007 | 0.738 | 0.043 | 0.564 | 0.055 |
| 18 | 5 | 153,330 | 4,468 | 0.592 | 0.037 | 0.280 | 0.022 | 0.168 | 0.017 |
| 18 | 6 | 121,284 | 10,499 | 0.559 | 0.058 | 0.229 | 0.035 | 0.121 | 0.023 |
| 18 | 7 | 37,040 | 11,608 | 0.619 | 0.028 | 0.287 | 0.014 | 0.172 | 0.010 |
| 18 | 8 | 150,193 | 5,316 | 0.370 | 0.035 | 0.117 | 0.015 | 0.052 | 0.009 |
| 18 | 9 | 40,051 | 5,073 | 0.605 | 0.030 | 0.236 | 0.019 | 0.122 | 0.013 |
| 18 | 10 | 42,159 | 19,422 | 0.573 | 0.023 | 0.238 | 0.016 | 0.129 | 0.012 |
| 18 | 11 | 75,866 | 1,328 | 0.270 | 0.050 | 0.085 | 0.022 | 0.038 | 0.013 |
| 18 | 12 | 48,406 | 6,577 | 0.526 | 0.045 | 0.218 | 0.031 | 0.120 | 0.022 |
| 18 | 13 | 48,054 | 15,704 | 0.488 | 0.032 | 0.176 | 0.015 | 0.088 | 0.009 |
| 18 | 14 | 73,799 | 8,510 | 0.468 | 0.029 | 0.194 | 0.018 | 0.106 | 0.013 |
| 18 | 15 | 52,827 | 9,965 | 0.444 | 0.037 | 0.143 | 0.018 | 0.064 | 0.011 |
| 18 | 16 | 42,962 | 5,594 | 0.549 | 0.028 | 0.214 | 0.016 | 0.111 | 0.011 |
| 18 | 17 | 44,807 | 29,568 | 0.588 | 0.027 | 0.239 | 0.016 | 0.128 | 0.011 |
| 18 | 18 | 44,004 | 7,869 | 0.556 | 0.054 | 0.206 | 0.034 | 0.103 | 0.023 |
| 18 | 19 | 39,711 | 4,683 | 0.597 | 0.031 | 0.237 | 0.020 | 0.124 | 0.014 |
| 18 | 20 | 46,247 | 3,014 | 0.631 | 0.040 | 0.302 | 0.028 | 0.182 | 0.022 |
| 18 | 21 | 62,487 | 444 | 0.339 | 0.179 | 0.094 | 0.067 | 0.037 | 0.031 |
| 18 | 23 | 31,945 | 461 | 0.751 | 0.069 | 0.307 | 0.052 | 0.157 | 0.037 |
| 18 | 24 | 66,611 | 17,998 | 0.628 | 0.024 | 0.291 | 0.015 | 0.171 | 0.011 |
| 18 | 25 | 42,584 | 11,604 | 0.538 | 0.039 | 0.205 | 0.019 | 0.106 | 0.011 |
| 18 | 26 | 46,244 | 8,759 | 0.594 | 0.036 | 0.248 | 0.021 | 0.135 | 0.014 |
| 19 | 1 | 40,477 | 538 | 0.550 | 0.066 | 0.156 | 0.028 | 0.066 | 0.019 |


| 19 | 2 | 22,365 | 225 | 0.911 | 0.040 | 0.430 | 0.034 | 0.237 | 0.029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 3 | 49,960 | 271 | 0.445 | 0.103 | 0.076 | 0.027 | 0.019 | 0.009 |
| 19 | 4 | 65,888 | 198 | 0.150 | 0.046 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.005 |
| 19 | 5 | 58,554 | 300 | 0.258 | 0.134 | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| 19 | 6 | 61,149 | 302 | 0.121 | 0.081 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 19 | 7 | 33,001 | 4,672 | 0.793 | 0.019 | 0.320 | 0.019 | 0.156 | 0.013 |
| 19 | 8 | 38,142 | 3,827 | 0.731 | 0.028 | 0.253 | 0.020 | 0.111 | 0.012 |
| 19 | 9 | 40,125 | 1,616 | 0.621 | 0.050 | 0.188 | 0.016 | 0.082 | 0.008 |
| 19 | 10 | 36,842 | 4,793 | 0.739 | 0.031 | 0.257 | 0.018 | 0.115 | 0.011 |
| 19 | 11 | 36,091 | 4,060 | 0.741 | 0.031 | 0.253 | 0.017 | 0.114 | 0.010 |
| 19 | 12 | 36,967 | 1,116 | 0.637 | 0.056 | 0.208 | 0.019 | 0.094 | 0.009 |
| 19 | 13 | 44,068 | 3,769 | 0.588 | 0.034 | 0.185 | 0.017 | 0.078 | 0.011 |
| 19 | 14 | 38,074 | 1,276 | 0.759 | 0.038 | 0.223 | 0.028 | 0.086 | 0.017 |
| 19 | 15 | 44,229 | 1,734 | 0.647 | 0.052 | 0.204 | 0.030 | 0.086 | 0.016 |
| 19 | 16 | 41,598 | 2,724 | 0.667 | 0.024 | 0.246 | 0.016 | 0.116 | 0.012 |
| 19 | 17 | 33,669 | 1,603 | 0.790 | 0.031 | 0.294 | 0.020 | 0.139 | 0.013 |
| 19 | 18 | 56,918 | 5,189 | 0.417 | 0.031 | 0.116 | 0.012 | 0.046 | 0.006 |
| 19 | 19 | 39,565 | 2,703 | 0.742 | 0.035 | 0.241 | 0.023 | 0.102 | 0.014 |
| 19 | 20 | 38,581 | 900 | 0.715 | 0.036 | 0.245 | 0.025 | 0.109 | 0.016 |
| 19 | 21 | 72,867 | 2,246 | 0.458 | 0.032 | 0.148 | 0.011 | 0.066 | 0.007 |
| 19 | 22 | 49,194 | 2,094 | 0.462 | 0.041 | 0.113 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 0.010 |
| 19 | 23 | 42,533 | 994 | 0.691 | 0.047 | 0.232 | 0.024 | 0.102 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 24 | 47,512 | 2,468 | 0.489 | 0.045 | 0.126 | 0.016 | 0.047 | 0.008 |
| 19 | 25 | 48,903 | 1,636 | 0.547 | 0.041 | 0.156 | 0.028 | 0.059 | 0.016 |
| 19 | 26 | 65,119 | 3,391 | 0.206 | 0.044 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.004 |
| 19 | 27 | 81,529 | 525 | 0.103 | 0.037 | 0.016 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
| 19 | 28 | 56,682 | 1,916 | 0.363 | 0.047 | 0.073 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.005 |
| 19 | 29 | 36,605 | 265 | 0.662 | 0.070 | 0.283 | 0.023 | 0.149 | 0.022 |
| 19 | 30 | 43,055 | 1,467 | 0.593 | 0.060 | 0.172 | 0.024 | 0.070 | 0.012 |
| 20 | 1 | 67,602 | 15,481 | 0.313 | 0.033 | 0.069 | 0.010 | 0.023 | 0.005 |
| 20 | 2 | 66,746 | 7,695 | 0.307 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.006 |
| 20 | 3 | 51,952 | 8,483 | 0.561 | 0.051 | 0.126 | 0.021 | 0.039 | 0.009 |
| 20 | 4 | 51,347 | 6,968 | 0.540 | 0.070 | 0.119 | 0.024 | 0.038 | 0.010 |
| 20 | 5 | 49,399 | 20,563 | 0.606 | 0.038 | 0.141 | 0.020 | 0.045 | 0.009 |
| 20 | 6 | 51,223 | 22,908 | 0.559 | 0.038 | 0.126 | 0.018 | 0.040 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 7 | 48,226 | 20,894 | 0.625 | 0.038 | 0.165 | 0.023 | 0.059 | 0.012 |
| 20 | 8 | 58,061 | 3,521 | 0.491 | 0.052 | 0.134 | 0.026 | 0.050 | 0.014 |
| 20 | 9 | 62,396 | 7,430 | 0.381 | 0.046 | 0.084 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 10 | 54,581 | 14,268 | 0.480 | 0.060 | 0.123 | 0.028 | 0.046 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 11 | 55,130 | 15,304 | 0.507 | 0.048 | 0.114 | 0.019 | 0.036 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 12 | 49,614 | 6,864 | 0.574 | 0.058 | 0.133 | 0.023 | 0.042 | 0.010 |
| 21 | 1 | 22,461 | 849 | 0.944 | 0.028 | 0.529 | 0.028 | 0.332 | 0.029 |
| 21 | 2 | 36,115 | 1,049 | 0.812 | 0.046 | 0.317 | 0.030 | 0.157 | 0.020 |
| 21 | 3 | 22,845 | 879 | 0.925 | 0.029 | 0.540 | 0.025 | 0.359 | 0.027 |
| 21 | 4 | 40,844 | 980 | 0.778 | 0.037 | 0.339 | 0.027 | 0.184 | 0.019 |
| 21 | 5 | 31,868 | 2,460 | 0.847 | 0.032 | 0.393 | 0.036 | 0.221 | 0.031 |
| 21 | 6 | 35,168 | 2,204 | 0.817 | 0.038 | 0.345 | 0.029 | 0.182 | 0.021 |


| 21 | 7 | 50,595 | 3,184 | 0.600 | 0.055 | 0.225 | 0.026 | 0.115 | 0.016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 8 | 29,612 | 2,842 | 0.893 | 0.033 | 0.401 | 0.038 | 0.216 | 0.029 |
| 21 | 9 | 29,909 | 2,807 | 0.889 | 0.025 | 0.424 | 0.028 | 0.244 | 0.026 |
| 21 | 10 | 25,951 | 2,708 | 0.899 | 0.026 | 0.489 | 0.025 | 0.312 | 0.024 |
| 21 | 11 | 24,206 | 1,137 | 0.893 | 0.025 | 0.554 | 0.031 | 0.374 | 0.037 |
| 21 | 12 | 57,602 | 2,810 | 0.576 | 0.036 | 0.242 | 0.020 | 0.133 | 0.016 |
| 21 | 13 | 31,705 | 1,413 | 0.878 | 0.022 | 0.422 | 0.034 | 0.239 | 0.032 |
| 21 | 14 | 40,488 | 2,347 | 0.762 | 0.046 | 0.310 | 0.028 | 0.162 | 0.018 |
| 21 | 15 | 50,392 | 1,760 | 0.651 | 0.055 | 0.261 | 0.027 | 0.140 | 0.017 |
| 21 | 16 | 48,060 | 3,125 | 0.691 | 0.040 | 0.303 | 0.039 | 0.165 | 0.032 |
| 21 | 17 | 33,998 | 2,103 | 0.823 | 0.040 | 0.389 | 0.037 | 0.220 | 0.029 |
| 22 | 1 | 46,148 | 1,934 | 0.475 | 0.047 | 0.103 | 0.012 | 0.033 | 0.005 |
| 22 | 2 | 50,901 | 1,767 | 0.439 | 0.055 | 0.102 | 0.017 | 0.035 | 0.007 |
| 22 | 3 | 47,306 | 5,257 | 0.560 | 0.054 | 0.110 | 0.018 | 0.031 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 4 | 54,399 | 2,047 | 0.601 | 0.050 | 0.137 | 0.017 | 0.046 | 0.007 |
| 22 | 5 | 48,143 | 5,136 | 0.507 | 0.056 | 0.103 | 0.016 | 0.031 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 6 | 48,440 | 1,122 | 0.632 | 0.045 | 0.163 | 0.015 | 0.059 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 7 | 44,833 | 2,696 | 0.512 | 0.069 | 0.111 | 0.018 | 0.037 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 8 | 46,241 | 6,267 | 0.518 | 0.050 | 0.098 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 9 | 50,715 | 5,770 | 0.449 | 0.049 | 0.078 | 0.013 | 0.021 | 0.004 |
| 22 | 10 | 47,083 | 5,411 | 0.526 | 0.048 | 0.102 | 0.016 | 0.029 | 0.006 |
| 22 | 11 | 57,943 | 12,144 | 0.344 | 0.035 | 0.074 | 0.010 | 0.024 | 0.004 |
| 22 | 12 | 60,038 | 5,867 | 0.293 | 0.028 | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.022 | 0.003 |
| 22 | 13 | 48,528 | 1,430 | 0.433 | 0.060 | 0.100 | 0.022 | 0.034 | 0.010 |
| 22 | 14 | 69,291 | 4,414 | 0.422 | 0.038 | 0.101 | 0.012 | 0.035 | 0.005 |
| 22 | 15 | 59,351 | 703 | 0.312 | 0.057 | 0.064 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.007 |
| 23 | 1 | 44,930 | 9,172 | 0.632 | 0.031 | 0.165 | 0.013 | 0.056 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 2 | 44,449 | 8,575 | 0.627 | 0.028 | 0.173 | 0.011 | 0.062 | 0.005 |
| 23 | 3 | 39,896 | 4,070 | 0.709 | 0.028 | 0.204 | 0.013 | 0.075 | 0.007 |
| 23 | 4 | 48,167 | 7,862 | 0.559 | 0.035 | 0.137 | 0.013 | 0.045 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 5 | 48,216 | 9,234 | 0.574 | 0.043 | 0.144 | 0.018 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 23 | 6 | 41,710 | 3,722 | 0.689 | 0.042 | 0.180 | 0.022 | 0.061 | 0.010 |
| 23 | 7 | 41,031 | 9,954 | 0.721 | 0.025 | 0.198 | 0.012 | 0.070 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 8 | 38,231 | 8,360 | 0.743 | 0.026 | 0.211 | 0.011 | 0.077 | 0.005 |
| 23 | 9 | 40,566 | 7,905 | 0.732 | 0.032 | 0.188 | 0.017 | 0.062 | 0.008 |
| 23 | 10 | 39,949 | 9,272 | 0.752 | 0.031 | 0.200 | 0.014 | 0.068 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 11 | 45,914 | 4,708 | 0.656 | 0.048 | 0.173 | 0.020 | 0.059 | 0.009 |
| 23 | 12 | 37,762 | 2,696 | 0.746 | 0.026 | 0.233 | 0.013 | 0.090 | 0.007 |
| 23 | 13 | 40,349 | 3,327 | 0.719 | 0.025 | 0.207 | 0.012 | 0.076 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 14 | 42,111 | 1,943 | 0.705 | 0.036 | 0.181 | 0.017 | 0.060 | 0.008 |
| 23 | 15 | 46,486 | 3,467 | 0.586 | 0.039 | 0.149 | 0.014 | 0.051 | 0.006 |
| 23 | 16 | 46,720 | 5,304 | 0.598 | 0.034 | 0.158 | 0.012 | 0.056 | 0.006 |
| 25 | 1 | 65,386 | 4,369 | 0.423 | 0.073 | 0.141 | 0.034 | 0.064 | 0.019 |
| 25 | 2 | 52,180 | 7,342 | 0.638 | 0.058 | 0.302 | 0.045 | 0.177 | 0.035 |
| 25 | 3 | 37,284 | 4,208 | 0.719 | 0.043 | 0.321 | 0.036 | 0.179 | 0.028 |
| 25 | 4 | 29,158 | 3,672 | 0.831 | 0.036 | 0.399 | 0.029 | 0.232 | 0.023 |
| 25 | 5 | 49,526 | 4,938 | 0.582 | 0.056 | 0.193 | 0.027 | 0.085 | 0.016 |


| 25 | 6 | 44,586 | 2,741 | 0.652 | 0.040 | 0.255 | 0.032 | 0.128 | 0.023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 7 | 42,325 | 4,442 | 0.650 | 0.052 | 0.211 | 0.029 | 0.090 | 0.017 |
| 25 | 8 | 50,238 | 3,899 | 0.474 | 0.058 | 0.120 | 0.019 | 0.043 | 0.009 |
| 25 | 9 | 43,790 | 3,563 | 0.562 | 0.061 | 0.167 | 0.024 | 0.069 | 0.012 |
| 25 | 10 | 33,159 | 14,745 | 0.803 | 0.026 | 0.347 | 0.025 | 0.186 | 0.020 |
| 25 | 11 | 36,647 | 6,776 | 0.723 | 0.051 | 0.269 | 0.032 | 0.131 | 0.021 |
| 25 | 12 | 35,759 | 8,308 | 0.726 | 0.055 | 0.272 | 0.033 | 0.133 | 0.021 |
| 25 | 13 | 55,331 | 6,139 | 0.446 | 0.060 | 0.109 | 0.021 | 0.038 | 0.009 |
| 25 | 15 | 62,528 | 12,123 | 0.624 | 0.050 | 0.222 | 0.032 | 0.101 | 0.020 |
| 26 | 1 | 23,873 | 919 | 0.846 | 0.045 | 0.520 | 0.036 | 0.351 | 0.032 |
| 26 | 2 | 54,429 | 266 | 0.548 | 0.104 | 0.189 | 0.052 | 0.089 | 0.031 |
| 26 | 3 | 47,287 | 850 | 0.561 | 0.048 | 0.209 | 0.027 | 0.102 | 0.018 |
| 26 | 4 | 15,542 | 667 | 0.953 | 0.020 | 0.601 | 0.024 | 0.417 | 0.023 |
| 26 | 5 | 36,717 | 2,292 | 0.726 | 0.050 | 0.395 | 0.025 | 0.256 | 0.018 |
| 26 | 6 | 49,639 | 2,186 | 0.658 | 0.037 | 0.274 | 0.030 | 0.145 | 0.023 |
| 26 | 7 | 73,738 | 1,790 | 0.417 | 0.037 | 0.181 | 0.020 | 0.101 | 0.013 |
| 26 | 8 | 35,044 | 783 | 0.741 | 0.033 | 0.363 | 0.025 | 0.219 | 0.020 |
| 26 | 9 | 61,525 | 2,687 | 0.544 | 0.051 | 0.222 | 0.027 | 0.117 | 0.017 |
| 26 | 10 | 48,043 | 943 | 0.689 | 0.025 | 0.342 | 0.029 | 0.199 | 0.025 |
| 26 | 11 | 34,448 | 1,282 | 0.750 | 0.043 | 0.398 | 0.030 | 0.250 | 0.025 |
| 26 | 12 | 150,256 | 1,814 | 0.223 | 0.053 | 0.059 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.010 |
| 26 | 13 | 52,215 | 3,702 | 0.613 | 0.059 | 0.314 | 0.031 | 0.197 | 0.021 |
| 26 | 14 | 76,852 | 1,000 | 0.374 | 0.050 | 0.159 | 0.021 | 0.087 | 0.013 |
| 27 | 1 | 53,356 | 2,252 | 0.304 | 0.047 | 0.048 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.003 |
| 27 | 2 | 40,988 | 4,788 | 0.629 | 0.034 | 0.145 | 0.016 | 0.046 | 0.007 |
| 27 | 3 | 46,994 | 7,748 | 0.545 | 0.038 | 0.119 | 0.013 | 0.037 | 0.006 |
| 27 | 4 | 48,817 | 8,220 | 0.462 | 0.044 | 0.087 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.005 |
| 27 | 5 | 37,643 | 10,264 | 0.758 | 0.032 | 0.187 | 0.023 | 0.060 | 0.012 |
| 27 | 6 | 50,037 | 4,708 | 0.416 | 0.066 | 0.068 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.005 |
| 27 | 7 | 43,442 | 9,305 | 0.606 | 0.033 | 0.126 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.006 |
| 27 | 8 | 51,370 | 694 | 0.339 | 0.071 | 0.049 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.004 |
| 27 | 9 | 41,675 | 6,343 | 0.616 | 0.036 | 0.152 | 0.024 | 0.053 | 0.014 |
| 28 | 1 | 133,075 | 478 | 0.308 | 0.042 | 0.104 | 0.021 | 0.043 | 0.011 |
| 28 | 2 | 208,641 | 351 | 0.196 | 0.052 | 0.050 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.010 |
| 28 | 3 | 114,601 | 296 | 0.055 | 0.043 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 28 | 4 | 100,139 | 1,025 | 0.161 | 0.065 | 0.037 | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.008 |
| 28 | 5 | 86,078 | 689 | 0.303 | 0.046 | 0.101 | 0.019 | 0.042 | 0.009 |
| 28 | 6 | 135,159 | 994 | 0.122 | 0.034 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.004 |
| 28 | 7 | 116,445 | 683 | 0.321 | 0.034 | 0.101 | 0.021 | 0.039 | 0.011 |
| 28 | 8 | 97,208 | 575 | 0.099 | 0.070 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| 28 | 9 | 83,013 | 812 | 0.325 | 0.077 | 0.075 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.011 |
| 29 | 1 | 31,598 | 4,325 | 0.908 | 0.031 | 0.322 | 0.032 | 0.135 | 0.021 |
| 29 | 2 | 28,875 | 1,501 | 0.951 | 0.018 | 0.395 | 0.028 | 0.188 | 0.023 |
| 29 | 3 | 30,231 | 4,512 | 0.942 | 0.021 | 0.347 | 0.033 | 0.148 | 0.023 |
| 29 | 4 | 32,595 | 3,577 | 0.901 | 0.032 | 0.307 | 0.035 | 0.123 | 0.022 |
| 29 | 5 | 32,469 | 4,395 | 0.911 | 0.033 | 0.319 | 0.028 | 0.134 | 0.019 |
| 29 | 6 | 30,984 | 2,339 | 0.930 | 0.027 | 0.349 | 0.025 | 0.154 | 0.018 |


| 29 | 7 | 27,472 | 2,461 | 0.985 | 0.009 | 0.406 | 0.033 | 0.185 | 0.026 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 | 8 | 29,269 | 3,616 | 0.950 | 0.019 | 0.373 | 0.030 | 0.167 | 0.022 |
| 29 | 9 | 31,705 | 2,741 | 0.934 | 0.024 | 0.342 | 0.022 | 0.149 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 10 | 33,162 | 3,949 | 0.913 | 0.038 | 0.314 | 0.029 | 0.132 | 0.017 |
| 29 | 11 | 33,744 | 3,291 | 0.877 | 0.045 | 0.300 | 0.025 | 0.131 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 12 | 33,824 | 8,470 | 0.896 | 0.038 | 0.299 | 0.024 | 0.125 | 0.014 |
| 29 | 13 | 31,144 | 2,785 | 0.931 | 0.027 | 0.336 | 0.027 | 0.144 | 0.018 |
| 29 | 14 | 29,544 | 2,637 | 0.952 | 0.020 | 0.377 | 0.026 | 0.175 | 0.020 |
| 29 | 15 | 26,817 | 2,013 | 0.962 | 0.019 | 0.433 | 0.033 | 0.218 | 0.030 |
| 29 | 16 | 36,820 | 3,474 | 0.810 | 0.058 | 0.256 | 0.023 | 0.105 | 0.013 |
| 29 | 17 | 34,004 | 2,575 | 0.877 | 0.044 | 0.307 | 0.031 | 0.136 | 0.022 |
| 29 | 18 | 29,103 | 5,433 | 0.941 | 0.019 | 0.390 | 0.030 | 0.187 | 0.025 |
| 29 | 19 | 32,291 | 5,765 | 0.918 | 0.029 | 0.329 | 0.023 | 0.143 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 20 | 31,242 | 3,161 | 0.948 | 0.022 | 0.358 | 0.029 | 0.161 | 0.021 |
| 30 | 1 | 34,246 | 3,879 | 0.770 | 0.048 | 0.311 | 0.034 | 0.157 | 0.023 |
| 30 | 2 | 35,970 | 5,326 | 0.769 | 0.030 | 0.280 | 0.019 | 0.131 | 0.013 |
| 30 | 3 | 29,954 | 8,344 | 0.856 | 0.024 | 0.354 | 0.018 | 0.177 | 0.014 |
| 30 | 4 | 36,888 | 3,700 | 0.749 | 0.029 | 0.270 | 0.026 | 0.125 | 0.018 |
| 30 | 5 | 34,504 | 3,492 | 0.762 | 0.040 | 0.286 | 0.023 | 0.138 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 6 | 37,394 | 7,249 | 0.769 | 0.037 | 0.307 | 0.022 | 0.154 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 7 | 43,303 | 2,483 | 0.669 | 0.045 | 0.231 | 0.022 | 0.105 | 0.014 |
| 30 | 8 | 31,834 | 5,528 | 0.838 | 0.022 | 0.341 | 0.026 | 0.169 | 0.021 |
| 30 | 9 | 31,708 | 8,050 | 0.842 | 0.026 | 0.340 | 0.022 | 0.167 | 0.016 |
| 31 | 1 | 49,569 | 4,837 | 0.481 | 0.055 | 0.138 | 0.021 | 0.057 | 0.010 |
| 31 | 2 | 46,757 | 8,699 | 0.543 | 0.043 | 0.177 | 0.019 | 0.080 | 0.010 |
| 31 | 3 | 44,900 | 9,127 | 0.556 | 0.031 | 0.193 | 0.016 | 0.091 | 0.010 |
| 31 | 4 | 50,997 | 8,087 | 0.537 | 0.029 | 0.192 | 0.017 | 0.090 | 0.011 |
| 31 | 5 | 40,953 | 6,676 | 0.631 | 0.033 | 0.231 | 0.019 | 0.111 | 0.012 |
| 31 | 6 | 49,504 | 8,537 | 0.534 | 0.042 | 0.176 | 0.020 | 0.079 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A4: Estimates of poverty indicators (urban areas)

| Governorate | District | \#hhno | avg_ $\hat{y}$ | avg_FGT0 | se_FGT0 | avg_FGT1 | se_FGT1 | avg_FGT2 | se_FGT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 31,582 | 700 | 0.730 | 0.042 | 0.280 | 0.029 | 0.138 | 0.019 |
| 11 | 2 | 40,130 | 6,765 | 0.553 | 0.021 | 0.177 | 0.012 | 0.077 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 3 | 23,294 | 478 | 0.848 | 0.037 | 0.387 | 0.032 | 0.213 | 0.023 |
| 11 | 4 | 30,726 | 844 | 0.762 | 0.034 | 0.311 | 0.031 | 0.158 | 0.022 |
| 11 | 5 | 48,019 | 212 | 0.476 | 0.058 | 0.149 | 0.028 | 0.064 | 0.016 |
| 11 | 6 | 28,923 | 724 | 0.754 | 0.038 | 0.316 | 0.027 | 0.166 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 7 | 40,754 | 303 | 0.556 | 0.043 | 0.203 | 0.024 | 0.099 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 8 | 32,350 | 840 | 0.674 | 0.042 | 0.243 | 0.023 | 0.114 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 11 | 49,435 | 1,093 | 0.427 | 0.028 | 0.138 | 0.013 | 0.061 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 12 | 38,766 | 1,785 | 0.604 | 0.041 | 0.213 | 0.023 | 0.100 | 0.014 |
| 11 | 13 | 54,512 | 490 | 0.335 | 0.051 | 0.085 | 0.017 | 0.031 | 0.008 |
| 11 | 14 | 34,006 | 349 | 0.680 | 0.047 | 0.263 | 0.027 | 0.130 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 15 | 36,372 | 324 | 0.651 | 0.055 | 0.224 | 0.032 | 0.101 | 0.019 |
| 11 | 16 | 41,661 | 6,537 | 0.548 | 0.020 | 0.203 | 0.011 | 0.099 | 0.007 |
| 11 | 17 | 27,479 | 177 | 0.792 | 0.040 | 0.352 | 0.030 | 0.189 | 0.022 |
| 11 | 18 | 54,277 | 11,283 | 0.370 | 0.017 | 0.117 | 0.008 | 0.051 | 0.005 |
| 11 | 19 | 53,017 | 16,239 | 0.390 | 0.016 | 0.136 | 0.009 | 0.064 | 0.005 |
| 12 | 1 | 23,229 | 271 | 0.909 | 0.034 | 0.449 | 0.041 | 0.258 | 0.031 |
| 12 | 2 | 49,985 | 991 | 0.502 | 0.041 | 0.175 | 0.023 | 0.081 | 0.014 |
| 12 | 4 | 53,188 | 1,574 | 0.544 | 0.032 | 0.211 | 0.018 | 0.108 | 0.013 |
| 12 | 6 | 17,034 | 130 | 0.982 | 0.019 | 0.571 | 0.052 | 0.357 | 0.051 |
| 12 | 9 | 25,625 | 665 | 0.775 | 0.044 | 0.379 | 0.035 | 0.221 | 0.027 |
| 12 | 10 | 58,058 | 2,384 | 0.457 | 0.031 | 0.155 | 0.013 | 0.075 | 0.008 |
| 12 | 11 | 39,795 | 7,458 | 0.651 | 0.022 | 0.267 | 0.016 | 0.139 | 0.011 |
| 13 | 1 | 53,073 | 8,935 | 0.501 | 0.026 | 0.148 | 0.011 | 0.060 | 0.006 |
| 13 | 2 | 52,726 | 28,942 | 0.504 | 0.017 | 0.155 | 0.008 | 0.064 | 0.004 |
| 13 | 3 | 48,386 | 15,137 | 0.549 | 0.028 | 0.173 | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0.008 |
| 13 | 4 | 57,472 | 14,640 | 0.444 | 0.024 | 0.136 | 0.010 | 0.058 | 0.005 |
| 13 | 5 | 63,688 | 42,131 | 0.390 | 0.015 | 0.111 | 0.006 | 0.044 | 0.003 |
| 13 | 6 | 67,016 | 14,814 | 0.367 | 0.017 | 0.101 | 0.007 | 0.039 | 0.003 |
| 13 | 7 | 63,888 | 10,260 | 0.387 | 0.019 | 0.109 | 0.008 | 0.043 | 0.004 |
| 13 | 8 | 59,377 | 39,035 | 0.439 | 0.015 | 0.134 | 0.007 | 0.056 | 0.004 |
| 13 | 9 | 58,917 | 23,958 | 0.434 | 0.018 | 0.127 | 0.008 | 0.051 | 0.004 |
| 13 | 10 | 44,032 | 18,583 | 0.624 | 0.018 | 0.209 | 0.010 | 0.092 | 0.006 |
| 13 | 19 | 47,073 | 3,834 | 0.559 | 0.036 | 0.169 | 0.016 | 0.069 | 0.008 |
| 13 | 24 | 51,698 | 16,246 | 0.515 | 0.020 | 0.158 | 0.009 | 0.066 | 0.005 |
| 14 | 4 | 48,510 | 319 | 0.454 | 0.101 | 0.109 | 0.038 | 0.036 | 0.017 |
| 14 | 5 | 45,180 | 162 | 0.498 | 0.123 | 0.105 | 0.041 | 0.032 | 0.016 |
| 14 | 6 | 30,532 | 517 | 0.845 | 0.038 | 0.300 | 0.031 | 0.131 | 0.020 |
| 14 | 8 | 56,731 | 341 | 0.534 | 0.034 | 0.181 | 0.025 | 0.076 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 9 | 46,351 | 3,592 | 0.566 | 0.043 | 0.151 | 0.021 | 0.055 | 0.011 |
| 14 | 11 | 44,481 | 94 | 0.469 | 0.195 | 0.104 | 0.065 | 0.032 | 0.026 |
| 14 | 13 | 55,000 | 6,035 | 0.411 | 0.035 | 0.102 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.007 |


| 14 | 14 | 36,139 | 70 | 0.738 | 0.111 | 0.207 | 0.062 | 0.073 | 0.032 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 16 | 47,176 | 1,656 | 0.524 | 0.057 | 0.148 | 0.027 | 0.058 | 0.014 |
| 15 | 1 | 23,796 | 277 | 0.870 | 0.041 | 0.450 | 0.048 | 0.274 | 0.040 |
| 15 | 2 | 21,260 | 59 | 0.915 | 0.043 | 0.484 | 0.055 | 0.294 | 0.050 |
| 15 | 3 | 17,561 | 353 | 0.934 | 0.033 | 0.541 | 0.049 | 0.353 | 0.044 |
| 15 | 4 | 26,037 | 302 | 0.805 | 0.041 | 0.430 | 0.031 | 0.273 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 5 | 48,443 | 1,338 | 0.531 | 0.044 | 0.258 | 0.027 | 0.155 | 0.019 |
| 15 | 6 | 39,799 | 479 | 0.624 | 0.056 | 0.300 | 0.034 | 0.178 | 0.025 |
| 15 | 7 | 29,656 | 715 | 0.753 | 0.039 | 0.391 | 0.031 | 0.243 | 0.023 |
| 15 | 8 | 16,181 | 289 | 0.955 | 0.032 | 0.569 | 0.059 | 0.375 | 0.056 |
| 15 | 11 | 33,948 | 497 | 0.716 | 0.053 | 0.302 | 0.036 | 0.161 | 0.025 |
| 15 | 12 | 33,631 | 3,265 | 0.699 | 0.029 | 0.321 | 0.020 | 0.185 | 0.014 |
| 15 | 14 | 42,251 | 1,570 | 0.589 | 0.045 | 0.229 | 0.023 | 0.118 | 0.014 |
| 15 | 16 | 70,472 | 298 | 0.273 | 0.048 | 0.083 | 0.020 | 0.036 | 0.011 |
| 15 | 17 | 49,178 | 24,378 | 0.528 | 0.015 | 0.217 | 0.009 | 0.116 | 0.006 |
| 15 | 18 | 58,035 | 21,958 | 0.445 | 0.019 | 0.168 | 0.010 | 0.085 | 0.007 |
| 15 | 19 | 52,636 | 21,670 | 0.497 | 0.019 | 0.195 | 0.011 | 0.101 | 0.007 |
| 15 | 21 | 58,266 | 212 | 0.360 | 0.071 | 0.099 | 0.027 | 0.040 | 0.014 |
| 16 | 1 | 32,163 | 293 | 0.800 | 0.051 | 0.255 | 0.041 | 0.102 | 0.024 |
| 16 | 3 | 28,986 | 141 | 0.829 | 0.054 | 0.281 | 0.037 | 0.119 | 0.022 |
| 16 | 4 | 24,401 | 297 | 0.977 | 0.017 | 0.433 | 0.047 | 0.213 | 0.038 |
| 16 | 5 | 37,353 | 1,475 | 0.701 | 0.032 | 0.289 | 0.020 | 0.152 | 0.013 |
| 16 | 6 | 33,126 | 1,244 | 0.806 | 0.037 | 0.282 | 0.026 | 0.122 | 0.016 |
| 16 | 7 | 36,209 | 468 | 0.768 | 0.069 | 0.210 | 0.041 | 0.074 | 0.021 |
| 16 | 8 | 33,013 | 787 | 0.781 | 0.028 | 0.341 | 0.023 | 0.175 | 0.019 |
| 16 | 9 | 22,451 | 878 | 0.963 | 0.012 | 0.504 | 0.019 | 0.296 | 0.018 |
| 16 | 10 | 52,575 | 818 | 0.425 | 0.051 | 0.101 | 0.018 | 0.034 | 0.008 |
| 16 | 11 | 32,358 | 256 | 0.730 | 0.058 | 0.230 | 0.033 | 0.093 | 0.018 |
| 16 | 12 | 25,106 | 407 | 0.857 | 0.041 | 0.376 | 0.039 | 0.198 | 0.032 |
| 17 | 2 | 67,836 | 3,167 | 0.388 | 0.031 | 0.114 | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.008 |
| 17 | 3 | 65,918 | 951 | 0.442 | 0.055 | 0.134 | 0.024 | 0.055 | 0.013 |
| 17 | 4 | 63,201 | 3,454 | 0.341 | 0.036 | 0.091 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 0.007 |
| 17 | 5 | 49,545 | 426 | 0.500 | 0.062 | 0.152 | 0.030 | 0.063 | 0.016 |
| 17 | 6 | 45,854 | 93 | 0.500 | 0.113 | 0.145 | 0.046 | 0.057 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 7 | 52,579 | 254 | 0.433 | 0.100 | 0.110 | 0.035 | 0.041 | 0.016 |
| 17 | 8 | 34,078 | 82 | 0.698 | 0.100 | 0.231 | 0.047 | 0.101 | 0.026 |
| 17 | 11 | 40,930 | 373 | 0.585 | 0.071 | 0.180 | 0.036 | 0.074 | 0.019 |
| 17 | 15 | 55,504 | 1,208 | 0.471 | 0.058 | 0.140 | 0.027 | 0.058 | 0.014 |
| 17 | 16 | 59,925 | 137 | 0.305 | 0.085 | 0.072 | 0.027 | 0.025 | 0.012 |
| 17 | 17 | 73,839 | 52 | 0.132 | 0.100 | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| 17 | 18 | 36,257 | 325 | 0.674 | 0.068 | 0.265 | 0.038 | 0.134 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 20 | 45,606 | 230 | 0.565 | 0.062 | 0.205 | 0.041 | 0.097 | 0.027 |
| 17 | 21 | 40,777 | 424 | 0.650 | 0.067 | 0.254 | 0.037 | 0.127 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 22 | 48,225 | 175 | 0.619 | 0.053 | 0.267 | 0.048 | 0.140 | 0.037 |
| 17 | 23 | 31,049 | 116 | 0.813 | 0.091 | 0.308 | 0.065 | 0.146 | 0.041 |
| 17 | 24 | 45,033 | 104 | 0.583 | 0.105 | 0.178 | 0.049 | 0.073 | 0.027 |
| 17 | 25 | 48,898 | 290 | 0.497 | 0.091 | 0.159 | 0.045 | 0.069 | 0.025 |


| 17 | 28 | 48,866 | 4,276 | 0.578 | 0.034 | 0.228 | 0.020 | 0.115 | 0.013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1 | 40,436 | 1,682 | 0.596 | 0.031 | 0.188 | 0.015 | 0.080 | 0.009 |
| 18 | 2 | 49,836 | 2,164 | 0.413 | 0.037 | 0.101 | 0.012 | 0.035 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 3 | 37,368 | 457 | 0.590 | 0.055 | 0.277 | 0.030 | 0.159 | 0.021 |
| 18 | 4 | 19,738 | 195 | 0.962 | 0.031 | 0.543 | 0.067 | 0.336 | 0.063 |
| 18 | 5 | 45,105 | 1,008 | 0.504 | 0.040 | 0.133 | 0.016 | 0.049 | 0.008 |
| 18 | 6 | 46,900 | 637 | 0.466 | 0.046 | 0.132 | 0.018 | 0.052 | 0.009 |
| 18 | 7 | 45,021 | 3,430 | 0.505 | 0.027 | 0.137 | 0.012 | 0.052 | 0.006 |
| 18 | 8 | 28,737 | 738 | 0.854 | 0.063 | 0.331 | 0.066 | 0.157 | 0.046 |
| 18 | 9 | 32,203 | 2,941 | 0.743 | 0.021 | 0.314 | 0.022 | 0.165 | 0.019 |
| 18 | 10 | 43,516 | 7,128 | 0.533 | 0.024 | 0.164 | 0.011 | 0.068 | 0.006 |
| 18 | 11 | 38,330 | 263 | 0.621 | 0.067 | 0.203 | 0.032 | 0.087 | 0.018 |
| 18 | 13 | 41,497 | 4,759 | 0.569 | 0.023 | 0.173 | 0.011 | 0.072 | 0.006 |
| 18 | 14 | 55,622 | 1,325 | 0.348 | 0.033 | 0.085 | 0.011 | 0.030 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 15 | 37,986 | 284 | 0.592 | 0.063 | 0.180 | 0.030 | 0.075 | 0.016 |
| 18 | 16 | 45,726 | 1,830 | 0.486 | 0.027 | 0.134 | 0.011 | 0.051 | 0.006 |
| 18 | 17 | 45,602 | 6,731 | 0.495 | 0.023 | 0.143 | 0.010 | 0.056 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 19 | 44,852 | 2,018 | 0.506 | 0.027 | 0.146 | 0.013 | 0.059 | 0.007 |
| 18 | 20 | 44,377 | 1,741 | 0.506 | 0.041 | 0.132 | 0.017 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 18 | 21 | 49,195 | 20,706 | 0.478 | 0.017 | 0.141 | 0.009 | 0.057 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 22 | 59,610 | 12,561 | 0.395 | 0.022 | 0.112 | 0.009 | 0.044 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 23 | 47,195 | 23,081 | 0.514 | 0.019 | 0.152 | 0.010 | 0.061 | 0.005 |
| 18 | 24 | 48,562 | 4,787 | 0.462 | 0.029 | 0.140 | 0.012 | 0.059 | 0.006 |
| 18 | 25 | 41,118 | 2,781 | 0.562 | 0.026 | 0.173 | 0.013 | 0.072 | 0.007 |
| 18 | 26 | 44,220 | 1,662 | 0.525 | 0.036 | 0.144 | 0.015 | 0.054 | 0.008 |
| 19 | 1 | 44,201 | 195 | 0.590 | 0.153 | 0.172 | 0.068 | 0.069 | 0.038 |
| 19 | 2 | 56,399 | 230 | 0.387 | 0.177 | 0.068 | 0.048 | 0.017 | 0.016 |
| 19 | 6 | 42,848 | 132 | 0.626 | 0.199 | 0.200 | 0.121 | 0.082 | 0.068 |
| 19 | 7 | 42,958 | 1,171 | 0.631 | 0.082 | 0.169 | 0.033 | 0.062 | 0.018 |
| 19 | 8 | 41,439 | 1,430 | 0.673 | 0.069 | 0.163 | 0.033 | 0.054 | 0.017 |
| 19 | 9 | 39,063 | 474 | 0.735 | 0.199 | 0.191 | 0.091 | 0.066 | 0.042 |
| 19 | 10 | 31,456 | 5,609 | 0.894 | 0.033 | 0.334 | 0.038 | 0.149 | 0.026 |
| 19 | 11 | 35,611 | 5,011 | 0.827 | 0.036 | 0.299 | 0.030 | 0.131 | 0.019 |
| 19 | 13 | 56,775 | 1,507 | 0.275 | 0.104 | 0.045 | 0.022 | 0.011 | 0.007 |
| 19 | 14 | 46,875 | 1,741 | 0.537 | 0.087 | 0.111 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.013 |
| 19 | 15 | 48,062 | 6,647 | 0.497 | 0.069 | 0.099 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.008 |
| 19 | 16 | 53,440 | 148 | 0.333 | 0.290 | 0.055 | 0.068 | 0.014 | 0.023 |
| 19 | 17 | 39,943 | 4,206 | 0.739 | 0.055 | 0.196 | 0.032 | 0.069 | 0.016 |
| 19 | 18 | 36,653 | 217 | 0.762 | 0.206 | 0.297 | 0.107 | 0.145 | 0.062 |
| 19 | 19 | 61,776 | 308 | 0.181 | 0.194 | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.008 | 0.012 |
| 19 | 20 | 43,889 | 68 | 0.581 | 0.317 | 0.119 | 0.110 | 0.034 | 0.043 |
| 19 | 21 | 49,623 | 238 | 0.421 | 0.230 | 0.084 | 0.067 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 19 | 22 | 24,553 | 160 | 0.963 | 0.112 | 0.457 | 0.135 | 0.238 | 0.105 |
| 19 | 24 | 54,942 | 140 | 0.332 | 0.305 | 0.059 | 0.087 | 0.016 | 0.032 |
| 19 | 25 | 58,159 | 287 | 0.266 | 0.216 | 0.048 | 0.055 | 0.014 | 0.020 |
| 19 | 26 | 45,642 | 1,116 | 0.556 | 0.114 | 0.120 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.019 |
| 19 | 27 | 48,613 | 331 | 0.440 | 0.275 | 0.104 | 0.080 | 0.036 | 0.032 |


| 19 | 28 | 67,137 | 389 | 0.192 | 0.117 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0.014 | 0.011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 29 | 51,292 | 22,113 | 0.449 | 0.055 | 0.092 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.007 |
| 20 | 1 | 51,595 | 533 | 0.462 | 0.073 | 0.147 | 0.034 | 0.064 | 0.019 |
| 20 | 2 | 42,505 | 3,305 | 0.582 | 0.035 | 0.212 | 0.018 | 0.102 | 0.011 |
| 20 | 3 | 25,875 | 92 | 0.853 | 0.064 | 0.377 | 0.060 | 0.198 | 0.044 |
| 20 | 4 | 30,393 | 132 | 0.828 | 0.064 | 0.352 | 0.060 | 0.180 | 0.044 |
| 20 | 7 | 56,407 | 328 | 0.460 | 0.068 | 0.156 | 0.035 | 0.070 | 0.020 |
| 20 | 8 | 43,117 | 19,145 | 0.619 | 0.023 | 0.230 | 0.012 | 0.110 | 0.008 |
| 20 | 9 | 58,612 | 113 | 0.371 | 0.121 | 0.108 | 0.049 | 0.045 | 0.025 |
| 20 | 11 | 77,270 | 664 | 0.341 | 0.049 | 0.098 | 0.019 | 0.039 | 0.009 |
| 21 | 4 | 26,415 | 129 | 0.951 | 0.029 | 0.412 | 0.040 | 0.208 | 0.032 |
| 21 | 5 | 55,312 | 246 | 0.520 | 0.139 | 0.183 | 0.088 | 0.083 | 0.055 |
| 21 | 7 | 40,817 | 1,467 | 0.657 | 0.046 | 0.231 | 0.028 | 0.106 | 0.018 |
| 21 | 10 | 34,346 | 869 | 0.802 | 0.039 | 0.285 | 0.028 | 0.127 | 0.019 |
| 21 | 11 | 24,137 | 149 | 0.952 | 0.038 | 0.445 | 0.051 | 0.246 | 0.041 |
| 21 | 12 | 27,970 | 348 | 0.917 | 0.031 | 0.400 | 0.037 | 0.206 | 0.031 |
| 21 | 13 | 62,452 | 2,626 | 0.339 | 0.030 | 0.127 | 0.010 | 0.066 | 0.009 |
| 21 | 14 | 25,393 | 270 | 0.955 | 0.029 | 0.439 | 0.047 | 0.232 | 0.038 |
| 21 | 15 | 35,680 | 549 | 0.732 | 0.110 | 0.294 | 0.066 | 0.148 | 0.041 |
| 21 | 16 | 34,470 | 660 | 0.805 | 0.056 | 0.271 | 0.038 | 0.117 | 0.023 |
| 21 | 17 | 40,138 | 238 | 0.687 | 0.141 | 0.190 | 0.071 | 0.071 | 0.036 |
| 22 | 1 | 60,949 | 370 | 0.264 | 0.083 | 0.055 | 0.025 | 0.017 | 0.010 |
| 22 | 2 | 52,277 | 472 | 0.486 | 0.072 | 0.141 | 0.031 | 0.055 | 0.016 |
| 22 | 3 | 63,224 | 56 | 0.292 | 0.073 | 0.066 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.009 |
| 22 | 5 | 46,407 | 643 | 0.509 | 0.058 | 0.142 | 0.026 | 0.054 | 0.014 |
| 22 | 7 | 66,661 | 492 | 0.296 | 0.059 | 0.069 | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.009 |
| 22 | 8 | 56,844 | 379 | 0.340 | 0.072 | 0.077 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.009 |
| 22 | 9 | 41,626 | 306 | 0.600 | 0.065 | 0.193 | 0.034 | 0.082 | 0.020 |
| 22 | 10 | 44,256 | 1,692 | 0.555 | 0.026 | 0.193 | 0.015 | 0.089 | 0.009 |
| 22 | 11 | 45,020 | 1,389 | 0.523 | 0.038 | 0.161 | 0.019 | 0.067 | 0.011 |
| 22 | 14 | 56,537 | 85 | 0.330 | 0.208 | 0.087 | 0.072 | 0.033 | 0.033 |
| 22 | 15 | 51,481 | 5,665 | 0.460 | 0.020 | 0.156 | 0.010 | 0.071 | 0.006 |
| 24 | 1 | 50,550 | 10,962 | 0.562 | 0.027 | 0.180 | 0.014 | 0.078 | 0.008 |
| 24 | 2 | 49,482 | 13,925 | 0.541 | 0.027 | 0.177 | 0.014 | 0.077 | 0.008 |
| 24 | 3 | 51,878 | 15,338 | 0.483 | 0.028 | 0.154 | 0.014 | 0.067 | 0.008 |
| 24 | 4 | 45,051 | 9,019 | 0.578 | 0.032 | 0.187 | 0.018 | 0.081 | 0.010 |
| 24 | 5 | 51,084 | 8,025 | 0.476 | 0.030 | 0.140 | 0.014 | 0.057 | 0.008 |
| 24 | 6 | 58,486 | 7,533 | 0.403 | 0.029 | 0.112 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.006 |
| 24 | 7 | 54,492 | 11,811 | 0.451 | 0.026 | 0.132 | 0.012 | 0.054 | 0.006 |
| 24 | 8 | 55,080 | 6,354 | 0.448 | 0.032 | 0.138 | 0.015 | 0.059 | 0.009 |
| 25 | 1 | 70,393 | 541 | 0.445 | 0.062 | 0.168 | 0.033 | 0.081 | 0.020 |
| 25 | 4 | 64,130 | 200 | 0.241 | 0.114 | 0.065 | 0.035 | 0.026 | 0.016 |
| 25 | 6 | 26,247 | 271 | 0.670 | 0.102 | 0.314 | 0.067 | 0.181 | 0.044 |
| 25 | 7 | 31,443 | 1,149 | 0.720 | 0.064 | 0.253 | 0.038 | 0.114 | 0.021 |
| 25 | 8 | 22,671 | 161 | 0.940 | 0.047 | 0.425 | 0.076 | 0.219 | 0.059 |
| 25 | 9 | 35,564 | 440 | 0.657 | 0.074 | 0.242 | 0.044 | 0.113 | 0.027 |
| 25 | 10 | 32,031 | 204 | 0.608 | 0.099 | 0.227 | 0.055 | 0.107 | 0.032 |


| 25 | 14 | 60,367 | 4,005 | 0.353 | 0.039 | 0.104 | 0.017 | 0.043 | 0.008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 15 | 48,067 | 1,524 | 0.555 | 0.050 | 0.180 | 0.030 | 0.076 | 0.017 |
| 26 | 7 | 53,236 | 224 | 0.438 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.013 |
| 26 | 8 | 66,062 | 106 | 0.147 | 0.087 | 0.024 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| 26 | 9 | 42,128 | 789 | 0.624 | 0.056 | 0.200 | 0.032 | 0.085 | 0.018 |
| 26 | 12 | 64,905 | 1,671 | 0.346 | 0.036 | 0.087 | 0.015 | 0.031 | 0.007 |
| 26 | 13 | 43,435 | 535 | 0.593 | 0.053 | 0.190 | 0.030 | 0.080 | 0.018 |
| 27 | 1 | 39,374 | 888 | 0.642 | 0.047 | 0.183 | 0.024 | 0.071 | 0.013 |
| 27 | 2 | 39,417 | 674 | 0.662 | 0.072 | 0.163 | 0.031 | 0.056 | 0.015 |
| 27 | 3 | 56,956 | 561 | 0.465 | 0.068 | 0.094 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.008 |
| 27 | 4 | 33,596 | 243 | 0.815 | 0.048 | 0.250 | 0.036 | 0.096 | 0.021 |
| 27 | 6 | 42,483 | 210 | 0.550 | 0.105 | 0.114 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.013 |
| 27 | 8 | 34,324 | 1,593 | 0.799 | 0.035 | 0.250 | 0.021 | 0.102 | 0.011 |
| 28 | 3 | 53,376 | 298 | 0.392 | 0.047 | 0.112 | 0.022 | 0.044 | 0.011 |
| 28 | 4 | 89,034 | 1,516 | 0.163 | 0.025 | 0.044 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0.004 |
| 28 | 6 | 44,076 | 494 | 0.518 | 0.046 | 0.182 | 0.019 | 0.084 | 0.010 |
| 28 | 7 | 71,831 | 821 | 0.189 | 0.031 | 0.042 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.005 |
| 28 | 8 | 54,831 | 718 | 0.416 | 0.038 | 0.142 | 0.014 | 0.064 | 0.007 |
| 28 | 9 | 67,422 | 227 | 0.264 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.008 |
| 29 | 1 | 26,564 | 320 | 0.940 | 0.039 | 0.425 | 0.053 | 0.221 | 0.043 |
| 29 | 2 | 42,516 | 742 | 0.699 | 0.053 | 0.292 | 0.035 | 0.151 | 0.024 |
| 29 | 3 | 24,084 | 117 | 0.978 | 0.023 | 0.479 | 0.071 | 0.256 | 0.064 |
| 29 | 4 | 23,508 | 282 | 0.976 | 0.022 | 0.462 | 0.052 | 0.244 | 0.046 |
| 29 | 5 | 35,588 | 273 | 0.754 | 0.107 | 0.267 | 0.065 | 0.119 | 0.040 |
| 29 | 6 | 27,468 | 274 | 0.941 | 0.028 | 0.425 | 0.050 | 0.216 | 0.042 |
| 29 | 8 | 17,309 | 240 | 0.986 | 0.020 | 0.605 | 0.046 | 0.400 | 0.047 |
| 29 | 9 | 27,275 | 161 | 0.917 | 0.069 | 0.415 | 0.067 | 0.228 | 0.050 |
| 29 | 10 | 23,118 | 220 | 0.953 | 0.049 | 0.483 | 0.081 | 0.279 | 0.070 |
| 29 | 11 | 33,613 | 1,752 | 0.786 | 0.039 | 0.299 | 0.026 | 0.142 | 0.016 |
| 29 | 13 | 16,031 | 122 | 0.999 | 0.002 | 0.664 | 0.074 | 0.455 | 0.093 |
| 29 | 15 | 40,368 | 9,391 | 0.667 | 0.028 | 0.251 | 0.018 | 0.121 | 0.012 |
| 29 | 16 | 13,648 | 176 | 0.996 | 0.007 | 0.689 | 0.056 | 0.496 | 0.070 |
| 29 | 17 | 40,563 | 1,303 | 0.675 | 0.061 | 0.256 | 0.034 | 0.124 | 0.021 |
| 29 | 19 | 38,339 | 1,762 | 0.693 | 0.046 | 0.257 | 0.029 | 0.122 | 0.018 |
| 30 | 1 | 46,413 | 945 | 0.539 | 0.092 | 0.172 | 0.043 | 0.075 | 0.023 |
| 30 | 2 | 40,907 | 1,896 | 0.631 | 0.059 | 0.208 | 0.036 | 0.092 | 0.022 |
| 30 | 3 | 38,961 | 1,237 | 0.682 | 0.070 | 0.234 | 0.036 | 0.107 | 0.020 |
| 30 | 4 | 48,733 | 560 | 0.451 | 0.109 | 0.123 | 0.040 | 0.049 | 0.018 |
| 30 | 5 | 32,080 | 269 | 0.801 | 0.085 | 0.329 | 0.066 | 0.168 | 0.045 |
| 30 | 6 | 46,284 | 2,365 | 0.560 | 0.074 | 0.175 | 0.029 | 0.076 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 7 | 39,269 | 59 | 0.618 | 0.160 | 0.204 | 0.078 | 0.093 | 0.043 |
| 30 | 9 | 34,697 | 386 | 0.769 | 0.076 | 0.315 | 0.061 | 0.160 | 0.044 |

Figure A1
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## Annex 6: HEALTH

1. The Ministry of Population and Public Health (MOPHP) operates a four-tired health system which is comprised by health centers and health units at the village level and districts for primary health care, district and governorate hospitals for the secondary care and referral hospitals in Sana'a, Aden and other big cities. According to the latest data available from the MOPHP in 2002, there were 15 major hospitals, 22 governorate hospitals, 111 rural and district hospitals, 614 health centers and 2,025 health units under the MOPHP's system. Since 2002, the responsibilities of operating these health facilities have been shifted to the local level due to the implementation of decentralization policy of the Government, and additional numbers of health facilities were constructed. Available data show that the number of installed hospital bed was 0.6 per 1,000 population ${ }^{11}$. This is much lower than other countries in the MENA region. It must be noted that the number of operating health facilities with adequate equipment or health staff is much less than what physically exists. The National Health Account Study ${ }^{12}$ found that Government expenditure on health was nearly $1.8 \%$ of Gross Domestic Product in 2003 and accounted $32 \%$ of the total health expenditure of the country. Per capita public health expenditure was only US $\$ 11$. Government spending on health was $4.9 \%$. Currently, Yemen does not have a compulsory health insurance system that provides financial protection to the poor in the case of catastrophic illnesses.
2. The MOPHP has initiated the Health Sector Reform Strategy in 1998 to improve the performance of their health system. The Strategy attempted to address improvement of management systems, decentralization of management functions, and cost sharing and strengthening the stewardship role of the MOPHP. The Strategy tried to improve critical issues in health sector such as improvement of efficiency, equity, and access to health care. In the last ten years, the Health Sector Reform Strategy has faced political and administrative challenges in its implementation process. In spite of high expectations from those who involved, there has not been so much progress witnessed over the last ten year. The Government of Yemen planed to reformulate the Health Sector Strategy of 1998 into the third five-year plan (2006-2010).
3. With respect to health outcomes, there have been gradual improvements in the recent years. However, Yemen continues to be one of the countries with the worst health outcomes. As of 2005 , infant and under-five mortalities are 76 per 1,000 live births 102 per 1,000 live births respectively ${ }^{13}$. They are the second highest in the MENA regions. Moreover, according to the most recent health survey ${ }^{14}$, nearly $50 \%$ of under-five year old children are underweight. At this moment, most of the MDG targets related to health will not be achieved by 2015, provided that the current level of interventions continues.

[^11]4. The previous Poverty Assessment in 2002 highlighted the need for increase both the access and the quality of services. The previous Poverty Assessment suggested that the priority interventions for Yemen would be to (i) strengthen maternal and child health programs in rural areas, (ii) redirect health care resources towards primary health care, and (iii) increase the public finance resource to the health sector. The World Bank's analysis, Inequality in health, nutrition and population in Yemen ${ }^{15}$ in 2003 also recommended the Government of Yemen undertake more aggressive pro-poor targeting in their health service provisions and ensure the effectiveness of the targeting, particularly reduction of maternal and child mortality. The analysis supported introduction of health insurance program targeted the poor and emphasized needs for inter-sectoral approaches to improve transport, communication and educational attainment of women, particularly in relation to reduction of maternal and child mortality, and child malnutrition.
5. This chapter aims to examine if the health inequality between the poor and the rich has been alleviated over the course of years, due to the collective efforts of the government, donor agencies and other non-governmental agencies. This chapter does not necessarily intend to evaluate the past performance of the Health Sector Reform Strategy in place in the last ten years, as observed changes may not be only contribution of the Health Sector Reform Strategy. At the end of the section, a set of policy recommendations were made for future consideration.

## A Access to health care

6. According to the 2005 HBS, on national average, nearly $70 \%$ of the surveyed individuals sought medical treatment at a health facility, either public or private, in the same district or area when ill. This indicates that the surveyed individuals have relatively good physical access to health care. Regarding the geographical disparity, the urban and rural gap was relatively small, only $10 \%$ point. Equally the different between the richest quintile and poorest expenditure quintile was not significantly large. In the previous Poverty Assessment used a slightly different measure to assess access to health care, percentage of population with at least one health functional health facility only in the same area ${ }^{16}$. In the previous Poverty Assessment, nationally, only $38 \%$ of the population lived in an area where at least one health facility, whether public or private. On average, some $94 \%$ of urban population lives in the area with at least one health facility, compared with $21 \%$ in the rural area.
[^12]Figure A. 6 1: Resident Access to Health Care, by District

7. In addition to overall trend of the improved physical access to health care, more percentage of individuals seems to now seek medical care at the time of sickness. This trend is observed in both the poor and non-poor groups. In the previous Poverty Assessment, only $38.8 \%$ of the poor who were ill during the preceding month of the survey sought treatment. In the $2005 \mathrm{HBS}, 61.5 \%$ of the poor sought treatment in case of illness. The access to health care seems to have improved further for the non-poor. The percentage of the non-poor individuals who were ill during the preceding the interview sought treatment has increased from $41.1 \%$ in 1998 to $76.8 \%$ in 2005.
8. Despite the overall upward trend, the percentage to seek medical care still varies by household's expenditure levels. In the 2005 HBS, the percentage of individuals who were ill during the preceding the survey and sought treatment ranges from $57.1 \%$ in the poorest quintile ${ }^{17}$ to $80.4 \%$ in the richest quintile. Compared with the 1998 HBS figures, the difference of the percentages between the poorest and richest has widened. The percentage increase in seeking the care at the time of illness did not catch up the improved physical access to health facility for the individuals in the poorest expenditure group. What are reasons for the individuals in the poorest expenditure group not to seek the medical care, other than physical access to health facilities?

[^13]Figure A. 6 2: Percentage of Residents Who Sought Medical Care

9. As show in Figure XXX, the reasons for not seeking medical care in case of illness demonstrate variations among the household expenditure groups. For the poor, inability to pay for care seems to be the most significant reason for not seeking the medical care. Unavailability of needed medical service and difficulty in physical access were the second and third reasons for not seeking the medical care ${ }^{18}$. This trend changes as the household expenditure increases. Inability to pay for medical care and unavailability of care become less significant barriers the expenditure level goes up. In the richest quintile, the major reason for not seeking care is that the illness was considered too minor to receive the medical attention.

[^14]Figure A. 6 3: Percentage of Residents Whom Did Not Seek Medical Care

10. This finding coincides with the result of the recent Bank financed qualitative research study, Qualitative Assessment of Community Based Health Related Programs: Five Programs and Six Locations in Yemen. The study conducted twenty four focus groups with community members who were beneficiaries of existing community-based development projects financed by different types of donors. The qualitative study indicates that the focus group participants raised concerned over lack or access or difficulties to health centers, lack of quality health services and financial barrier. The poor seems to have more difficulties in accessing health care, due to the cost-sharing schemes which were introduced in the early 1990s.

## B Utilization of services/ Health Behavior

11. In regard to utilization of health services, the 2005 HBS indicated that throughout the expenditure groups, nearly $80 \%$ of individuals seek medical care only when they are ill. Some $10 \%$ of the surveyed individuals claimed that they do not visit a health professional at all. This trend indicates that Yemenis, whether the poor or the rich, do not have a custom of paying a preventive medical care visit.

Table A.6. 1: Frequency of Visiting Health Care Professionals

|  | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 | Urban | Rural |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monthly | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Every 2 month | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Every 3 month | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Every 4 month | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Every 5 month | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Twice a year | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| No regularity | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| When ill | $79.3 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ |
| Don't see health prpfessional | $20.2 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS
12. In spite of the fact that more individuals seem to seek medical care when ill, the percentage of women who received assisted delivery remain very low in Yemen. The national average is less than one-third of women who delivered a child. The percentage increases from $19 \%$ in the poorest to $40 \%$ in the richest. A geographical gap between the urban and rural is also noticeably huge, $13 \%$ point difference. A comparison with the 1997 PAPCHILD data implies that there has been some improvement, in particular, in the poorest segments of women. Illiteracy and cultural norms among Yemeni women may limit their demand for utilizing the assisted delivery. Perceptions of risk during the periods of pregnancy, birth and postpartum affect strongly care seeking behavior of women. This impediment could be aggravated by lack of physical access to a facility or financial barrier. There was notable disparity among the governorates: the percentage of assisted delivery was extremely low in the governorates of Abyan (14.7\%), Al-Jawf (11.4\%), Hajja (9.8\%), Dhamar (13.6\%), Shabwah (18.0\%), Sana'a (18.0\%) and AlMahwe (17.6\%).

Table: Assisted delivery by expenditure quintile (\% of women)

|  | National <br> average | Quintile <br> 1 | Quintile <br> 2 | Quintile <br> 3 | Quintile <br> 4 | Quintile <br> 5 | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | 21.7 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 15.6 | 28.7 | 49.7 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2005 | 29.4 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 27.1 | 32.8 | 40.3 | 49.9 | 21.2 |

Source: PAPCHILD 1997 and HBS 2005
Note: The PAPCHILD figures are percentage of delivery by a medically trained person. The questionnaire of HBS 2005 did not clearly distinguish if the question meant to ask a delivery by a doctor, midwife or a medically trained professional.
13. Exclusive breast-feeding practice for the first six month after the birth seemed to be universally accepted among those who were interviewed. There was no noticeable disparity among the expenditure groups and the non-poor and the poor. However, several governorates have shown lower prevalence of this practice: Hajja (63.0\%), Shabwah (64.9\%), and Remah (53.9\%).
14. Child malnutrition remained persistently a concerning issue in Yemen. Nearly one third of children two to five year old are severely stunting. There is a larger disparity between in the urban and rural area on the prevalence of severe stunting than other types
of malnutrition. Poverty is clearly associated to the prevalence of severe stunting and underweight. Since the WHO guideline for international reference population was revised in 2005 , it was not possible to compare the prevalence of child malnutrition with the results of earlier health surveys. On the other hand, available data infers that the prevalence of child malnutrition has not been reduced over the course of years. Severe stunting was more prevalent in the governorates of Al-Jawf (52.0\%), Al-Mahweet (43.2\%), Amran (39.4\%) and Sana'a (47.6\%). Severe underweight was more common in the governorates of Al-Hodeida (19.9\%), Mareb (14.8\%), Amran (18.3\%) and Al-Dhale $(31.0 \%)$. More prevalent severe wasting was observed in the governorates of Al-Mahrh (27.7\%), Al-Dhale (23.4\%), Mareb (22.7\%) and Laheg (22.9\%).

Table: Prevalence of severe malnutrition (\%)

|  | National <br> average | Poor | Non- <br> poor | Urban | Rural | Boy | Girl |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Severe stunting | $27.5 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| Severe underweight | $12.4 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Severe wasting | $10.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS
Note: Following the new WHO guidelines, prevalence of stunting and wasting was calculated children between 2 to 5 years old. For underweight, the relevant age group remained children under five years old.
15. Immunization coverage of one year old children varies by geographical location as well as by the type of vaccine. For example, thanks to the aggressive eradication campaign by the Government, nearly $100 \%$ of coverage has achieved throughout different expenditure groups. There was no substantial disparity between the urban area and rural area or gender disparity was observed either.

Table: Immunization coverage by expenditure quintile (\%)

|  | National <br> average | Quintile <br> 1 | Quintile <br> 2 | Quintile <br> 3 | Quintile <br> 4 | Quintile <br> 5 | Urban | Rural |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Polio | 99.1 | 99.0 | 99.1 | 99.0 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.0 | 99.1 |
| DPT3 | 86.4 | 84.3 | 84.1 | 85.6 | 88.8 | 89.0 | 91.2 | 84.3 |
| Measles | 74.9 | 70.1 | 71.9 | 77.1 | 77.0 | 79.2 | 83.4 | 72.0 |
| Hepatitis | 63.1 | 56.6 | 59.3 | 63.5 | 65.5 | 71.9 | 77.5 | 58.1 |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS
16. DPT3 had nearly $86 \%$ coverage at the national level and the disparity of the coverage between the richest and the poorest was only $5 \%$ point. The disparity in the urban and rural was equally small. The governorates of Abyan (60.5\%), Sana'a (69.4\%), and Remah ( $66.0 \%$ ) have recorded lower coverage of DPT3.
17. The percentage of Measles immunization is one of the Millennium Development Goal Indicators. The national average was less than $80 \%$ and it appears that Yemen has a long way to go to achieve the target. There was a $9 \%$ point disparity between the richest and poorest. The gap between the urban and rural was $12 \%$ point, further noticeable. Measles vaccination coverage was much below the average in the governorate of Ibb (68.2\%), Hajja (47.0\%), Sa’adah (56.2\%) and Sana'a (59.5\%).
18. Hepatitis vaccine coverage is the most troublesome among the four vaccination types discussed in this section. The national average was only $63 \%$. There were significant gaps in between the richest and the poorest ( $8 \%$ point) and between urban and rural (19\% point). The governorates of Hajja (29.6\%), Al-Jawf (33.9\%), and Sana'a ( $42.9 \%$ ) observed extremely low coverages. It appears that the coverage of Measles and Hepatitis vaccinations may be more closely correlated to the geographical location of governorate than the expenditure level of the household where children belong to.

## C Household Health Expenditure

19. According to the National Health Account Study 2003, during the period of 1999 and 2003 , proportion of public expenditure on health remained steady, around 1.5 to $2 \%$ of GDP and 4 to $5 \%$ of the government expenditure. On the other hand, the proportion of the private source has slightly increased from $57 \%$ to $60 \%$ between 1998 and 2003. The 2005 HBS data indicated the proportion of out-of-pocket payments for health services against total expenditure have also increased between 1998 and 2005. At the same token, compared with the results of the 1998 HBS, on average, the proportion spent for health as of total household expenditure has slightly increased from $2.3 \%$ in 1998 to $2.9 \%$. Based on the 2005 HBS, per capita household expenditure on health was 4,866 Yemeni Rials ${ }^{19}$ which was equivalent to US $\$ 25.3^{20}$. The per capita household expenditure on health presents a very wide range of expenditure level from 752 Yemeni Rials in the poorest quintile to 17,273 Yemeni Rials in the richest quintile (Table XXX). On the other hand,

[^15]the household expenditures on health in the urban and rural area were 7,379 Yemeni Rials and 3,919 Yemeni Rials respectively. This makes the urban and rural ratio, approximately $2: 1$.
20. The share of the household expenditure on health is progressive among expenditure groups. Households in the poorest quintile spent a lower percentage of their total household budget to health ( $2.0 \%$ ) than the richest quintile ( $4.5 \%$ ). This progressive spending pattern is observed both in urban and rural households. In urban areas, the budget share on health for the poor and the non-poor are $1.8 \%$ and $3.2 \%$ respectively, compared to $1.9 \%$ and $3.4 \%$ in rural areas.
21. In the 1998 HBS, the proportion of household expenditure on health was higher in the rural areas than the urban areas. Between 1998 and 2005, this trend was reversed by a sharp increase of the health share from $2.04 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ in the urban area than the rural area $2.4 \%$ to $2.8 \%$. The analysis in this chapter does not allow us to examine reasons for the sharp increase of the share of household expenditure on health in the urban area. Nonetheless, one possible explanation might be a sharper increase of medical care cost in the urban areas over this time period.
22. Among different categories of medical expenses, medicine and prescription medicine absorb the highest share of total expenditure on health care for the poorest ( $46.8 \%$ ). This large share of medicine and prescription drugs is observed among most of expenditure groups, except the richest quintile. In the richest quintile, the proportion is only $19.2 \%$. In the 1998 HBS, a similar category, medicine and medical preparation took up 60 to $70 \%$ of the household expenditure on health. Since the comparable proportion was only $26.8 \%$ in 2005 , it can be concluded that the burden of medicine and prescription drugs cost was somewhat reduced, on average, between 1998 and 2005. The situation of drug supply has long been regarded as unsatisfactory in Yemen. ${ }^{21}$ As a large private sector dominates the supply of drugs with expensive prices, anecdotal evidence indicates that the very poor may be able to make drug purchase from the private sector by taking loans or selling personal possessions since the needed drugs are scarcely available from the public source ${ }^{22}$. The category of "other health care expenses ${ }^{23 "}$ was the second highest share ( $42.3 \%$ ) in the poorest quintile.
23. Most noticeable spending pattern of the better off is a significantly high proportion of medical costs sought outside of Yemen. Even in the 1998 HBS, this tendency was

[^16]observed, however, the proportion of household expenditure on health for treatment abroad was much less. The 2005 HBS indicated that the richest quintile spent nearly a half of their household spending on health. This is a significant increased from what was observed in the previous Poverty Assessment (around $10 \%$ among the non-poor.) Individuals in other quintiles did not seek medical treatment outside of Yemen. This clearer distinction on the treatment abroad implies that higher proportion of individuals in the richest quintile are willing to pay high cost for quality medical care available outside of Yemen than in 1998.

Table A.6. 2: Household Expenditures on Health Care Services

|  | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 | Urban | Rural | Non-poor | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita household expenditure on health (YR) | 752 | 1,141 | 2,021 | 3,148 | 17,273 | 7,379 | 3,919 | 7,013 | 909 | 4,866 |
| \% of household expenditure on health | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.1\% | 4.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.4\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% |
| Composition of household expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine and Prescription drugs | 47.1\% | 48.3\% | 46.4\% | 47.4\% | 18.5\% | 25.1\% | 28.1\% | 25.5\% | 45.5\% | 26.8\% |
| Doctor's fee | 0.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% |
| Hospital stay | 2.8\% | 1.3\% | 8.4\% | 9.1\% | 4.8\% | 5.8\% | 5.2\% | 5.7\% | 2.3\% | 5.4\% |
| Surgical fees | 1.5\% | 10.9\% | 9.1\% | 6.7\% | 9.3\% | 9.9\% | 7.9\% | 8.9\% | 7.0\% | 8.7\% |
| Medical services (injections, nurse aid) | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Medical examinations | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Medical supplies (glasses, hearing aids) | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
| Medical appliances | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% |
| Medical paraphernalia (cotton, syringes) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Midwife and delivery expense | 3.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% |
| Medical treatment outside Yemen | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.8\% | 36.9\% | 28.2\% | 34.1\% | 0.0\% | 31.8\% |
| Other healthcare expenses | 42.3\% | 31.9\% | 28.9\% | 27.7\% | 17.2\% | 13.6\% | 26.3\% | 19.8\% | 38.5\% | 21.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS
24. When a household has any disabled or chronically ill member, the household expenditure on health increases nearly by 20 to $40 \%$ (Table XXX). This trend is universal throughout the expenditure groups, however, third and forth quintiles observed much higher ratios than the national average. The ratio in the urban area is higher than the one in rural area. This may attribute to higher care cost for the disabled or chronically ill household members. Or the services needed for disable or chronically ill are not readily available in the rural areas.

Figure A. 6 4: Increases in Household Expenditures on Health

25. On the contrary, households with children under 15 year old spend equivalent or slightly less amount on health, if compared with the spending of the average households. Interestingly, if such household is non-poor or located in the urban area, the household expenditure on health would be reduced by 10 to $25 \%$.

Table A.6. 3: Household Expenditures on Health Care Services
(Household with members with disability or chronic illness)

|  | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 | Urban | Rural | Non-poor | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita household expenditure on health (YR) | 904 | 1,849 | 3,192 | 4,915 | 20,544 | 10,122 | 4,546 | 9,372 | 1,306 | 6,243 |
| Composition of household expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine and Prescription drugs | 39.0\% | 45.6\% | 42.3\% | 40.6\% | 23.5\% | 24.9\% | 34.3\% | 28.8\% | 39.5\% | 29.7\% |
| Doctor's fee | 0.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Hospital stay | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 7.6\% | 13.2\% | 7.7\% | 6.9\% | 8.9\% | 8.4\% | 2.1\% | 7.9\% |
| Surgical fees | 0.6\% | 12.0\% | 9.7\% | 8.9\% | 12.5\% | 9.6\% | 12.9\% | 11.7\% | 6.4\% | 11.3\% |
| Medical services (injections, nurse aid) | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Medical examinations | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% |
| Medical supplies (glasses, hearing aids) | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Medical appliances | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% |
| Medical paraphernalia (cotton, syringes) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Midwife and delivery expense | 5.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Medical treatment outside Yemen | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.6\% | 34.4\% | 5.2\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 19.6\% |
| Other healthcare expenses | 49.9\% | 34.1\% | 34.4\% | 27.5\% | 20.9\% | 16.5\% | 33.1\% | 23.1\% | 44.9\% | 24.9\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS

Table A.6. 4: Household Expenditures on Health Care Services (Household with children under 15 year old )

|  | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 | Urban | Rural | Non-poor | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita household expenditure on health (YR) | 758 | 1,137 | 2,048 | 3,212 | 16,107 | 5,626 | 3,895 | 6,305 | 907 | 4,352 |
| Composition of household expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine and Prescription drugs | 46.8\% | 47.7\% | 45.9\% | 47.3\% | 19.1\% | 31.0\% | 27.0\% | 27.1\% | 44.6\% | 28.4\% |
| Doctor's fee | 0.8\% | 3.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% |
| Hospital stay | 2.8\% | 1.3\% | 8.9\% | 9.4\% | 5.1\% | 6.8\% | 5.3\% | 6.1\% | 2.3\% | 5.8\% |
| Surgical fees | 1.5\% | 11.3\% | 9.5\% | 6.9\% | 9.7\% | 11.7\% | 7.7\% | 9.2\% | 7.2\% | 9.1\% |
| Medical services (injections, nurse aid) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% |
| Medical examinations | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Medical supplies (glasses, hearing aids) | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% |
| Medical appliances | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% |
| Medical paraphernalia (cotton, syringes) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Midwife and delivery expense | 3.1\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Medical treatment outside Yemen | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.9\% | 24.2\% | 30.2\% | 30.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.1\% |
| Other healthcare expenses | 42.6\% | 32.1\% | 28.6\% | 27.5\% | 18.5\% | 15.5\% | 25.5\% | 20.7\% | 39.2\% | 22.1\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Source: World Bank staff estimates from the 2005 HBS

## Benefit Incidence of the Government Health Service Provisions

26. In general, a benefit incidence analysis looks into (i) utilization patters of government's health services by expenditure groups and (ii) distribution of subsidy given to an individual in different expenditure groups who utilizes public health services. However, the 2005 HBS questionnaire did not capture the number of per capita visit and household health expenditure by different type of health facilities. Without these basic data, it was not possible to compute the patterns in utilization of public health facilities or distribution of health subsidies. In this chapter, the benefit incidence analysis is limited only to a question, if individuals in different expenditure groups seek medical care in public health facilities in case of illness and analyze the reasons for their preference.
27. According to the 2005 HBS , individuals in the poorest quintile do not necessarily receive their medical care from the public health facilities. In the poorest quintile, more individuals sought the care at the private health facilities, which include private clinic, private hospital, private doctor consultation, and private consultation with a certified health professional. Among the private facilities, private clinic visit represent $33 \%$ of the surveyed individuals in the poorest quintile. This percentage was outstandingly higher than the rest of expenditure groups. The percentages between the public facilities and private ones are slightly changed in the second poorest income quintile, however, in sum, this graph indicates that the government's health system does not effectively outreach to the poor. There is no clear evidence that the poor is a particular beneficiary of the government's health service provision.


Note: Public health facilities include public health center and public hospital. Private health facilities include private clinic, private hospital, private doctor consultation, private consultation with a certified health professional. Other includes pharmacy and traditional medicine. If we can desegregate pharmacy to public or private one, the percentage of the private facilities will go up further.
28. This finding is also endorsed by the qualitative research study mentioned earlier. In case of serious illness, the focus group participants showed their strong preference for seeking better quality health services at private health facilities rather than public ones. At the same time, the participants noted that the private treatment fees were so expensive that they could not afford the treatment easily. Table XX summaries the perceived benefits of visiting public health facilities versus private health facilities based on the focus group discussions. In public hospitals, patients have to pay only 40 Yemeni Rials ${ }^{24}$, however they have to pay additional fees for medicines and examinations. This could possibly add up to a considerable financial burden even though they seek care in public hospitals. Focus group discussion also indicated that cases of medical doctors from public health facilities have their own private practices for making additional incomes. Thus, this leads to short operating hours of public health facilities and absenteeism of doctors or health workers.

Table: Perceived Benefits of Visiting Public or Private Health Facilities

|  | Public health facilities | Private health facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reasons for visiting | - Shorter travel distance <br> - Cheaper <br> - Drugs are cheaper | - More available <br> - More professionals/specialists <br> - Shorter waiting times <br> - Longer opening hours <br> - Tests/exams are available <br> - Better equipment |
| Reasons for not visiting | - Too many exams <br> - Long waiting time <br> - Unprofessional staff attitudes <br> - No specialist available <br> - Health unit close early <br> - No female doctors in health units <br> - No drugs and materials <br> - Too many medical exams | - Expensive <br> - Too far away |

Source: Yoshimi Nishino, Qualitative Assessment of Community Based Health Related Programs: Five Programs and Six Locations in Yemen
29. The following figures present the relation between per capita public health expenditure and some of the measure health outcomes measured in the 2005 HBS. The per capita public health expenditure by governorate was a yearly average of the MOPHP and governorate expenditure on health between 1999 and 2003, taken from the NHA 2003 Study ${ }^{25}$. First of all, there is a large range in the per capita public health expenditure by governorate. This indicates that there might be lack of equity consideration in allocating the public health budget. Secondly, according to these figures, there seems to be lose correlations between the level of per capita public health expenditure and the level of measles immunization coverage or prevalence of severe underweight. However, at the same time, there are huge differences in the level of the immunization coverage or

[^17]prevalence of severe underweight among the governorates with comparable per capita public health expenditure. This implies that there exist huge gaps in the planning and implementation capacity of basic health services among governorates.


Source: The World Bank estimates from the 2005 HBS, National Health Accounts Study 2003


Source: The World Bank estimates from the 2005 HBS, National Health Accounts Study 2003

## $D$ Conclusions and Ways Forward

30. Based on the analysis from the 2005 HBS, it appears that the access to health facilities has improved over the course of the years. Nearly $70 \%$ of household has at least one health facility in the same area or district in 2005. The percentage of people who seek medical care in the case of illness both have increased. However, it must be noted that the gap between the richest group and the poorest group has widened. The access to health facility has improved more favor for the rich. As identified in the analysis, the poor find it difficult to access to health care in case of illness, due to their inability to pay for medical
services. In particular, increased share of household expenditure on health presents an alarming concern. The poor might be exposed to greater risks of deeper impoverishment in case of serious illness than before. Or they are less likely to seek care if they remain unable to pay for the cares. Given the analysis in this section, it appears that there has been slight improvement in access to health care, in particular physical access to a health facility, since the Health Sector Reform was initiated in 1998. Nonetheless, there still exist considerable challenges in improving in equity and efficiency in providing health care services. Additionally, the stewardship or leadership role of the MOPHP remains weak. The health section recommends three options for further consideration as below.
31. First, as the previous Poverty Assessment and the Bank's analysis of health inequality suggested, the Government of Yemen need to divert more of their resources to health sector and then apply more rigorous targeting to the poor segments of the society. This would be a policy option in a short-term. Currently, the government health program is still under funded with only $4.9 \%{ }^{26}$ of the government expenditure allocated to health. It would be advisable to increase the level of government expenditure to health close to some $10 \%$. Provided that the level of the government funding is increased, efficiency of the government's health service provision must be strengthened and monitored at the same token. In the light of targeting the poor more aggressively, the current trend of decentralizing health care service provision would be beneficial and can encourage community level interventions. On the other hand, additional efforts need to be made to further streamline the planning and budgeting process and provision of basic health services, which often is one of the major bottle necks in the decentralization process. Continuous capacity building trainings would be pivotal for managers and health workers in the lower levels of the system. The disparity of geographical resource allocation and lack of rational methodology still persists. It is hoped that the analysis in this section provides a snapshot view of which segments of the society or which governorate needs priority interventions, especially in relation to improvement of assisted delivery, immunization and child malnutrition of which the 2005 HBS's questionnaire captured data.
32. Secondarily, in addition to strengthening the capacity of the MOHPH, it would be worthwhile examining efficacy of partnering with community-based organizations (CBOs) or non-governmental organizations (NGOs) for provisions of basic health care services. CBOs or NGOs are generally believed to effectively reach the poor or disadvantages groups of the society.
33. In regard to alleviating the financial barrier for the poor, one may argue that one option would be to introduce a national health insurance scheme. The Government of Yemen has been exploring possibilities of introducing a national health insurance program in the country with assistance from bilateral or multilateral donor agencies. The current version of Poverty Reduction Strategy Report and Five Year Plan support the idea of introducing the national health insurance plan. However, in reality, the country has experienced political and administrative setbacks in passing Health and Work Insurance
[^18]Law and Police Health Insurance Scheme and has not received sufficient support from the Cabinet and other parts of the society ${ }^{27}$. As a pilot basis, the Government of Yemen is trying to introduce a community-based health insurance in Al-Shamayatayn in the governorate of Taiz ${ }^{28}$. The initial results of the pilot scheme present that the communitybased health insurance scheme may face a series of institutional constraints and challenges before it is becomes operational and is scaled-up to a larger population in context of Yemen. At this point of time, it appears that a cascaded approach, such as the short cycle of a formulation of framework, pilot scheme implementation, evaluation and adjustment of the framework will be more beneficial for Yemen at this point as the country still need to demonstrate a showcase to buy-in the interests and endorsement from relevant stakeholders. Provision of health insurance would be very important to remove the financial barriers to access health care among the poor, however, that will require a careful designing of the scheme and consensus building among the policy makers.

[^19]ANNEX 7: EDUCATION

1. Poverty rates are the highest for households headed by an illiterate person; the poverty rate decreased since 1998, but still remains large. According to the 1998 HBS, the poverty rate for households headed by an illiterate person was $47.3 \%$ nationally, $48.8 \%$ in rural areas, $39.9 \%$ in urban areas. In 2005 HBS , these declined to $44 \%, 47 \%$, and $34 \%$ respectively. The lowest poverty rate was found among the household headed by a person with university and above education although the poverty rate for urban and rural areas are very large: $5 \%$ to $29 \%$.

2. $68.3 \%$ of the poor had no formal education. Among the all the poor households, $49 \%$ of them are headed by the illiterate households, and cumulative share of households with household heads who has no formal education is $68.3 \%$. The share decreased since 1998 when the cumulative share of this category was $86.7 \%$.

Table 7.1: Educational attainment for the poor and non-poor by urban-rural status

|  |  | Non-poor |  |  | Poor |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |

3. The situation of enrollment among age $\mathbf{6 - 1 4}$ children improved overall with drastic pick up on rural girls' enrollment. The overall enrollment rate of age 6-14 children increased from $60 \%$ in 1998 to $66 \%$ in 2005 . This is achieved especially by an increase in the enrollment of rural girls. While the enrollment rate of boy increased from $75 \%$ to $76 \%$ between these two surveys, girls' enrollment increased from $43 \%$ to $56 \%$. In the urban-rural perspective, while the urban enrollment rate remained at $81 \%$, rural enrollment increased from $54 \%$ to $62 \%$. This enrollment rate is not a gross enrollment rate (GER) nor a net enrollment rate (NER), but it is similar to NER ${ }^{29}$. It is important to note that the NER as calculated in MOE's statistics is slightly higher than what is discovered in the HBS. This difference implies that there are students who are officially registered in the schools, but they don't feel they are enrolled because they are not regularly attending school or they decided not to go to school anymore during the school year ${ }^{30}$.
4. Although the overall enrollment rate increased, the gap in enrollment rate between the poorest and the richest deciles are growing. When the enrollment rate is calculated for each income decile, it is discovered that the enrollment rate for the poorest decile decreased and the richest declie increased. In 2005, 50 percent of the children aged 6-14 have access to school, which is down by 6 percentage point lower than HBS 1998 ( $56 \%$ ). On the other hand the 82 percent of the richest children have access to school, which is up by 15 percentage point from HBS 1998 (67\%). The trends in the urban-rural dimension gives a detailed description for this trend. While the enrollment rates in the poorest decile declined for both urban and rural areas by 5 percentage points each, the richest deciles increased enrollment by 1 percentage point in urban areas and by 21 percentage points in rural areas. From gender dimension, again the enrollment rate for the poorest decile declined for boys (by 6 percentage points) and girls (by 4 percentage points) whereas the enrollment rate of the richest decile increased for boys (by 3 percentage points) and girls (by 26 percentage points). From these patterns, the overall enrollment gain mainly occurred by the increase in enrollment of girls in rural rich households. As a number of schools are constructed in rural areas, rural population have gained more access to school. However, those who can go to school in the rural households are mainly the richest households.
5. The reasons for not enrolling in school is widely unknown. The statistics shows reasons for never enrolled and reasons for dropping out are $99 \%$ unknown for age 6-11. Although reasons for dropping out from school for age 12-14 children are reported, the responding behavior is too different from other questions. (It does not make sense that for age 12-14 children, reasons for never attending school shows $99 \%$ not-stated, and reasons for dropping out shows $9 \%$ not-stated.) The data quality must be re-checked.
[^20]Figure 7.2: Age 6-14 Enrollment Rate by Income Decile, Gender, and Urban-Rural Status

6. The gap in the enrollment rate by governorate still exists largely especially for girls. Figure XX shows the enrollment rate of age 6-14 children by gender (Note this is not a basic education NER because it includes non-basic education students). Compared to NPS 1999, the gap between the highest and lowest girls' enrollment rate declined from 67 percentage points to 52 percentage points (Sana'a City $84 \%$, Saadah $32 \%$ ). Yet, disadvantage of certain governorates in terms of enrollment rate still persists. Boys' enrollment gap by governorate on the other hand is not as large, but it is still 29 percentage points (Sana'a City $87 \%$, Al-Hodeidah $58 \%$ )

Figure 7.3: Age 6-14 Enrollment Rate by Governorate and Gender


Source: World Bank staff estimates based on 2005 HBS
Note: It is not an NER for Basic Education as it includes non-basic education students.
7. Illiteracy rates for age 15-24 population has decreased overall due to significant decrease in illiteracy among rural females; however, urban illiteracy rate and male illiteracy rate have gone up. Illiteracy rate among age 15-24 population decreased from $34.8 \%$ to $28.5 \%$ between 1998 and 2005 due largely to the decrease in the rural female illiteracy from $73.0 \%$ to $49.9 \%$. However, male illiteracy rate has gone up both in urban (from $3.8 \%$ to $9.4 \%$ ) and rural areas (from $14.9 \%$ to $19.1 \%$ ).

Figure 7.4: Age 15-24 Illiteracy Rate by Income Decile, Gender, and Urban-Rural Status


Source: World Bank staff estimates based on 2005 HBS

# Annex 8: Is Public Expenditure Targeting in Yemen Pro-poor? 

## I IS PUBLIC EXPENDITURE TARGETING IN YEMEN PRO-POOR?


#### Abstract

1. Since 2000, Yemen has initiated an ambitious program of decentralization to strengthen roots of democracy. In this context, fears have been expressed that devolution of spending power could aggravate problems of fiscal management. However, decentralized authority in spending could help better target the poor in delivering public services. This paper is an attempt at examining targeting efficiency of aggregate public expenditure at decentralized levels. At the current stage of fiscal decentralization in Yemen, central government still makes budgetary decisions but only the execution is carried out locally. Examining targeting efficiency at decentralized levels requires data on expenditures and poverty at the decentralized level. Though expenditures at decentralized levels are readily available, reliable estimates of poverty are not. In the absence of a household survey designed to provide poverty estimates at sufficiently decentralized levels, the paper uses a method suggested by Ravallion (2000) to identify the latent differences in mean program allocations to the poor and non-poor using unmet basic needs measure of poverty constructed from census data (thus representative at any geographic level).


2. The analysis finds evidence of anti-poor bias in public expenditure allocations. The poor receive YR 6290 (US \$33) less than the non-poor in per-capita terms which is almost the same as the per-capita public expenditure on all Yemenis. Most of the anti-poor bias occurs at the level of governorates. At the governorate level, the poor get YR10,060 (US \$52) per-capita less than the non-poor. Intra-governorate allocation of expenditures across districts offsets some of the anti-poor bias observed at the governorate level. Four of the 20 governorates (Taiz, AlHodeidah, Ibb and Hajjaah), accounting for about 40 percent of Yemen's population, allocate more to the poor than the non-poor, or, allocate equal amount. The worst five governorates in this respect are Shabwah, Amran, Sanaa, Lahj and Hadramout. To the extent that the observed inequity in governorate level expenditure allocation captures more than the higher cost of provision of services in inaccessible regions, Yemen could improve targeting efficiency of public expenditures.

## II BACKGROUND

3. Yemen, with its 20 million people and gross national income per-capita of US\$ 510 , is among the poorest countries in MENA. The incidence of poverty in Yemen was 41.8 percent at the national level, 45.0 percent in rural areas, and 30.8 percent in urban areas ${ }^{31}$.
4. The country faces major constraints to sustainable and employment-generating growth as well as good public service delivery that stand in the way of rapid improvements in the quality of life of the poor ${ }^{32}$. The Government of Yemen is clearly committed to poverty reduction and has prepared a national poverty strategy that aims to reach the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) by 2015. As a result of recent policy efforts, social indicators have gradually improved, but they still rank with some sub-Saharan African and South Asian countries.
5. Yemen faces the difficult challenge of ensuring the benefits of growth are distributed across poorer sections of society and improving the quality of public service delivery to the poor, particularly in rural areas. The recent poverty update for the country has concluded that though poverty is widespread nationally, it is pervasive in rural areas and concentrated in a few governorates. Public expenditures in education and health sectors were mildly pro-poor but did not address the magnitude of rural-urban and gender gaps. Almost all social programs were urban-biased and tended to benefit the non-poor. Benefit-incidence analysis of the social safety nets showed that their coverage was extremely limited, failed to address short-term downturns and vulnerability for the able-bodied poor and did not reach the poorest and most needy, especially children ${ }^{33}$. In this context, the effective targeting of public expenditures to the poor assumes critical importance.
[^21]
## Box A.8. 1: Decentralization and the Promise of Equity


#### Abstract

Yemen launched one of the most ambitious decentralization programs in the Middle East and North Africa when parliament passed the Local Authority Law in 2000. The law provides a mechanism for formalizing traditional democratic practices that have served Yemeni society well and can also help mitigate the trend in power concentration among a handful of shaykhs. Effective in May 2002, local councilors serve a five-year term; they represent 332 districts in 22 governorates. Teachers account for nearly 40 percent of those elected to district councils and shaykhs and civil servants each account for roughly 7 percent of office holders. ${ }^{1}$ The overwhelming number of teachers among the ranks of council members suggests the electorate votes on the basis of perceived qualifications, rather than social status. Decentralized governance presents a potential for improved public delivery systems, is anchored in traditional systems of governance and has an important precedent in the popular Local Development Association movement that was active in the highlands during the 70s. The LDAs represented popularly elected councils established throughout the YAR. They collected funds and in-kind support from residents, non-resident migrant laborers, external donors and built schools, water projects, roads, health facilities with much greater reach than the state. Much of their funding came from locally collected zakat payments (5 percent of net resources calculated annually). The LDAs lost their earlier community based character and weakened as central control increased and zakat funds were transferred to the central government


| Comparison of State and LDA Sponsored Development Projects ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Development Projects | Development Projects | Development Projects |
| Rural Projects, 1976 | Rural Projects, 1976 | Rural Projects, 1976 |
| Roads, schools, water, 1981 | Roads, schools, water, 1981 | Roads, schools, water, 1981 |
| Projects, 1986 | Projects, 1986 | Projects, 1986 |

6. Successful implementation of national poverty alleviation strategies is contingent on the effectiveness of regional governments. Even when a national government manages to redistribute its resources to relatively poor provinces, the capacity of regional governments to target the poor is an important factor. The outcome will depend on the behavior of provincial governments and the political economy they are confronted with, which will differ in relevant ways. Some governments will care more about the poor than others or will face different constraints in their efforts to reach the poor; indeed, simply having a high incidence of poverty can result in worse targeting by a local government as poorer provinces usually lack information about the identity of the poor (Ravallion 1999a). Not all regional governments are able to exploit the local information that decentralized decision making affords, while some will be better able to secure the gains.
7. In an effort to reform the public administration system, the Yemeni parliament approved the Local Authority Law (LAL) in 2000. LAL envisages local governance as one of the pillars of the state and provides the legal foundations for the Yemeni inter-governmental system. This shift from a centralized system that is being attempted, with ex ante controls, to a more decentralized system, with an emphasis on ex post monitoring, presents challenges as well as opportunities to fight poverty and improve the quality of public services. In this context, it is useful to understand the structure of inter-governmental fiscal system and the targeting efficiency of various levels of government and individual governorates. Such understanding would help better design incentive structures and exploit the opportunities offered by decentralization reforms in the near future for a stronger impact on poverty.
8. The potential benefits of fiscal decentralization in Yemen are considerable. ${ }^{34}$ In a decentralized system, where locally elected governments have the power to pursue the agenda mandated by voters, citizen participation in decision-making processes cultivates a culture of democracy and transparency in public management system. Decentralized service delivery has the potential of reaching vulnerable groups and therefore reducing poverty. In order to address poverty and quality of service delivery, education and health are of vital importance. While social indicators in Yemen are improving, they still remain at low levels ${ }^{35}$. For instance, only 33 percent of rural girls are enrolled in school compared to 77 percent of rural boys and 78 percent of urban girls. ${ }^{36}$ Improvement of rural girls enrollment is best addressed by local authorities - given that this is dependent not only on provision of schools but provision of sanitation as well. Rather than depending on the central ministries to coordinate these services together, sub-national governments are better able to identify these problems and the interlinked services that are required to address these problems. Box 1 below explains the current status of fiscal decentralization in Yemen. ${ }^{37,38,39}$
9. The rest of this paper is organized in three sections. Section 2 presents the methodology used in this paper and derives from Ravallion's earlier work and provides the details of the decomposition of the national targeting differential into between and within governorate components. Section 3 describes the database created and used for the current analysis and explains the construction of the Unmet Basic Needs (UBN) Index that is used as a proxy to a

[^22]district level poverty index. Finally, section 4 concludes with the estimates of various targeting differentials and findings of the analysis.

## Box A.8. 2: The Status of Fiscal Decentralization and Sub-National Expenditures in Yemen

The current Yemeni public administration system can be characterized as a from of deconcentration rather than one of devolved local self-govermment. Nevertheless, the system remains highly centralized, albeit equipped with an elaborate system of deconcentrated field offices of line agencies and democratically elected local councils. At this time, budget decisions for the most part are made by the central govemment and the role of sub-national authorities is largely confined to executing them and enjoy very limited fiscal autonomy.
The central government in Yemen is the senior partner in the intergovernmental relationship. The share of subnational government spending in Yemen compares favorably with other countries. However, this comparison is misleading because, although a large share of the expenditures is disbursed through subnational government, they have little decision-making power over current expenditures. Subnational government capital expenditures, in which they have a significant degree of autonomy, represents less than 1 percent of the GDP, which is very low by international standards. Table 1 below shows the share of subnational expenditures in GDP in Yemen. Sub-national expenditures in Yemen amounted for about 6.4 percent of GDP in 2004. Current expenditure is the largest item in local budgets. At 80 percent of current expenditures, and 70 percent of total expenditures, wages and salaries consume most of the budget

|  | 2002* |  |  | 2003** |  |  | 2004*** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Capital | Total |  | Current | Capital | Total |  | Current |
| Expenditures | 5.94 | 0.76 | 6.70 | Expenditures | 5.94 | 0.76 | 6.70 | Expenditures | 5.94 |

The Yemeni public administration system is divided into three levels: the center and sub national units--governorates and local districts. Following the LAL, Yemen was divided into local administrative units that include the Sana'a council, the governorates and the districts. As of today, there are 22 governorates and 332 districts both of which are called administrative units. Each administrative unit has its own local authority, which consists of the centrally appointed administrative head of the unit (either the governor at governorate level or the director at the district level), the elected local council at both governorate and district levels, and the "executive organs" (branch offices of the ministries and other government agencies).
As with the budget of central ministries, the MOF's budget circular provides general instructions to sub-national governments about their allocations using a process of incremental budgeting with a de-facto ceiling for wages and salaries, which are, by far, the largest share of current expenditures. These instructions are restrictive in that recurrent expenditures are given no flexibility to account for shifting sub-national government priorities. For example, they do not leave room for essential operation and maintenance ( $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ ) expenditures that has been historically under-budgeted.

## III Methodology

10. Monitoring the performance of sub-national governments can provide the information base for the national government to design an incentive structure that encourages more equitable outcomes on poverty and provision of public services. However, the household level data necessary to examine the incidence and targeting effectiveness of public expenditures is not often available ${ }^{40}$. Ravallion (2000) addresses this problem by suggesting a method that allows an assessment of the degree to which spending tends to be targeted to the poor on an average. Targeting performance can be measured by exploiting the spatial variances in both public spending and poverty incidence across geographic areas.
11. The inter-regional targeting differential is estimated by regressing expenditure allocations across regions on the regional poverty measure. If a program is effectively reaching the poor, with little leakage to the non-poor, then the overall expenditure allocation across geographic areas will be highly correlated with the poverty rates across the same areas. Following Ravallion (2000), this property can be used to devise a measure of how well program allocations match the spatial poverty map in the form of an estimated mean difference in spending between the poor and non-poor. This national measure of targeting performance can also be decomposed into subgroups - between-region and within-region components - and thus help policymakers understand the sources of national targeting failures - between regions and within regions and further identifying the relative contribution of different provinces to the national targeting failure. Ravallion (2000) applied the method to assess Argentina's antipoverty program's performance before and after reforms. Van de Walle (2005) has performed a similar analysis for Morocco on the basis of a provincial level database.
12. This paper applies the decomposition technique in the context of Yemen's public expenditure against the poverty map at the district level. The paper examines the distribution of spending across districts of Yemen and how well the poor are reached by public expenditure. This technique can be further extended to distinguish between differences in mean spending targeted to urban and rural areas as well as between North and South Yemen if one can demarcate these categories for all districts.
13. The equations to be estimated are:
(1) to estimate inter-district or national targeting differential:

$$
G_{i j}-G=T^{D}\left(H_{i j}-\mathbf{H}\right)+V_{i j}
$$

(2) to estimate inter-governorate targeting differential:

$$
\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{G}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{H}\right)
$$

[^23](3) to estimate intra-governorate targeting differentials (one equation for each governorate)
$$
\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}-\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{j}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)+\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ percapita allocation to district i in governorate j
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ percapita allocation to governorate j
$\mathrm{G}=$ national percapita allocation
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ head count ratio in districti i in governorate j
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ head count ratio in governorate j
$\mathrm{H}=$ national headcount ratio
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ error term
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ absolute difference between the average allocation to the poor and the the average allocation to the nonpoor in governorate j . Tj is also referred to as the intra-governorate targeting differential for governorate j .
$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{P}}=$ Inter-governorate targeting differential
$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{D}}=$ national targeting differential

## A Decomposing the National Targeting Differential

14. We can estimate a national (inter-district) targeting differential, $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{D}}$, by regressing the values of Gij on Hij across all districts, irrespective of their governorate. The OLS estimate of the national targeting differential can be decomposed exactly into betweengovernorate and within-governorate components:
$\hat{T}^{D}=S^{P} \hat{T}^{P}+\Sigma S_{j} \hat{T}_{j}$
15. Where SP is the between-governorate share of the total (inter-district) variance in poverty rates, and Sj is the governorate-specific share. The first term on the right side of the equation is the "between-provinces" component, and the second term is the "withinprovince" component. Annex 1 provides the details including the calculation of the respective weights.

## IV DATA ISSUES ${ }^{41}$

16. This method requires a disaggregated poverty map that predates and corresponds to expenditure disbursements for the same disaggregated geographic units. Since the available household budget survey data precludes analysis of public expenditure on poverty at the district

[^24]level, we infer expenditure incidence on poverty indirectly by juxtaposing the geographic distribution of public spending and the corresponding poverty map based on the Unmet Basic Needs (UBN) Index.
17. The empirical analysis draws on budget data from the Ministry of Finance (AFMIS Project Unit) for $2004^{42}$. Since further disaggregated data is not available on the expenditure side, the UBN index has been constructed using information from 1994 census data for 2126 sub-districts. From this data, a district level database (with 289 districts in all distributed across 20 governorates $)^{43}$ was created ${ }^{44}$. A concordance between the expenditure data for 2004 and UBN index for 1994 was constructed. ${ }^{45}$ The population data used to obtain per-capita allocations was from 1994 census instead of 2004 census since a usable mapping of districts of the two censuses was not available ${ }^{46}$. The district classifications for 2004 budget data and the 2004 population census districts is not the same as they were created by different government agencies which were perhaps not coordinated.

## B Construction of the UBN Index

18. The analysis requires data on disbursements by local government area and a corresponding poverty map. There are 289 districts in Yemen administered under 20 governorates. We do not have head count ratios (of consumption poverty) of districts based on household surveys that are designed to be representative at the district level. Hence we resort to

[^25]a poverty measure that is possible to construct at the district level - the proportion of households with unmet basic needs (UBN), based on the 1994 census.

Figure A.8. 1: UBN Index by Governorate


Source: Staff estimates based on Republic of Yemen Census, 1994.
Note: The numbers indicate the percentage of population that does not have basic needs met.
19. The UBN index is constructed as a composite of housing quality, access to safe water, infant mortality, and educational attainment - literacy (of adults), school enrollment (of children). As opposed to a consumption measure of poverty, the UBN index measures the actual deprivation in select dimensions of quality of life (Box 2). All the four components are given equal weight age (with the subcomponents of education - literacy and enrollment sharing equal weights within educational attainment). Unmet basic need is measured against the benchmark need of 100 percent fulfillment. For example, the benchmark for safe water is that 100 percent of population should have safe water.
20. Since it is based on the census, the unmet basic needs index covers the whole population and is representative at the district level. (By contrast, none of the household surveys for

Yemen is representative at that level.) ${ }^{47}$ The UBN index is the main poverty index we use as a proxy to the head count ratio of poverty for our analysis. This index has the advantage that one can safely treat it as exogenous to the public spending. While the composition and weighting of the component indicators are not beyond question, Ravallion (2000) has used this method for the analysis of Argentina's Trabajar program.

Box A.8. 3: Measuring Poverty
Poverty is pronounced deprivation in well-being. The commonly used consumption measure of poverty measures deprivation in the material (money-metric) dimension. Measuring deprivation in key social dimensions like health and education (as captured in the Unmet Basic Needs Index here) is an alternative and complementary measure of poverty. WDR (2000-01) extends the concept of deprivation beyond the aforementioned dimensions to include vulnerability to risk and exposure.
21. The relative positions of governorates on the basis of UBN index thus constructed (for 1994) and head count ratios (based on consumption poverty in 1998) do not exactly match. According the estimates of World Bank's 1998 poverty update for Yemen, the number of poor people as a percentage of the governorate population is highest in Taiz ( 56 percent), Ibb (55 percent), Abyan (53 percent), and Lahj ( 52 percent), but is also high in Dhamar (49 percent), Hadramout, Al-Mahrah and Shabwah ( 43 percent). The incidence of poverty is lowest in AlBaida ( 15 percent) and Saddah ( 27 percent), and in the two major urban centers, Sana'a city ( 23 percent) and Aden ( 30 percent). The ranks match for some governorates like Sanaa city, AlMahrah, Sanaa and Dhamar. However, the classification of governorates for both these measures are not exactly comparable and the data do not belong to the same year. ${ }^{48}$
22. Expenditure data show that Al-Mahrah, Aden and Abyan have the highest expenditure allocations, Al-Baida Al- Jawf, and Al-Hodeidah seem to be allocated the least amount on a percapita basis (Table 3). In terms of the UBN index, Sanaa city and Aden are the best performers while Al-Hodeidah, Hajjah, Al-Jawf and Al-Mahwit have the highest UBN indices in the country ${ }^{49}$ (Figure 1).

## V FINDINGS

23. This section interprets the results of the regression analysis presented in Table 3 and summarizes the findings of the paper.

[^26]24. The analysis finds evidence of anti-poor bias in public expenditure allocations. The national targeting differential ( $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ) for Yemen in 2004 is about 6290 Rials per person, i.e, the poor receive YR 6290 (US \$33) less than the non-poor in per-capita terms which is almost the same as the per-capita public expenditure on all Yemenis ${ }^{50}$ (Figure 3). The absolute level of targeting failure is substantial and there is a significant bias at the national level in government expenditure allocations against the poor. (t-ratio=-3.09) With a large of sample of 287 districts, the coefficient of targeting differential is negative and significant.

Figure A.8. 2: Public Expenditure per capita (2004) and UBN Index (1994)


Source: Department of Statistics (Census 1994) and Ministry of Finance (AFMIS Project Unit)

[^27]Table A.8. 1: Contributions to the National Targeting Differential

| (in percent) ${ }^{51}$ | Contribution |
| :--- | :---: |
| Governorate | 87.5 |
| Inter-governorate | 12.5 |
| Intra-governorate | 6 |
| Hadramout | 4.3 |
| Shabwh | 4.2 |
| Sana'a | 2.3 |
| Abyan | 2.3 |
| Lahj | 1.9 |
| AL-Jawf | 1.8 |
| Amran | 1.5 |
| AL-Mahwit | 1.3 |
| Aden | 1.3 |
| Mareb | 0.6 |
| SanaaCity | 0.4 |
| Ad-dala | 0.4 |
| AL- Baida | 0.2 |
| Ibb | 0.2 |
| Sa'adah | 0 |
| Hajjah | -0.4 |
| AL-Mahrah | -2.1 |
| Dhamar | -5.8 |
| AL-Hodeidah | -7.8 |
| Taiz | 100 |
| Yemen |  |

Source: Staff estimates
25. The anti-poor bias at the governorate level can be evaluated through the intergovernorate regression ${ }^{52,53}$. The results show that most of the anti-poor bias occurs at the level of governorates - the poor get YR 10,060 (US \$52) per-capita less than the nonpoor. The inter-governorate targeting differential $\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ is significantly different from zero

[^28]at the 10 percent level (t-ratio $=-1.78)^{54}$. A scatter plot of expenditure per-capita (2004) and poverty index (1994) at governorate level (Figure 2) confirms the negative correlation (Correlation coefficient $=-0.4553$ ).

Table A.8. 2: Summary Results of the Regression Analysis by Govornate

|  | Targeting <br> Differential | t-ratio | Total | Poor | Non-poor | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National | -6.29 | -3.09 | 5.94 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ |
| Inter-governorate | -10.06 | -1.78 |  |  |  |  |
| Intra-governorate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AL-Mahrah | 9.02 | 0.71 | 17.24 | 21.89 | 12.87 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Taiz | 7.25 | 1.34 | 5.1 | 9.94 | 2.69 | 18 |
| Dhamar | 5.05 | 0.74 | 4.79 | 7.42 | 2.37 | 9 |
| AL--Hodeidah | 3.1 | 1.46 | 4.03 | 5.49 | 2.39 | 22 |
| Hajah | 0.09 | 0.03 | 4.67 | 4.72 | 4.62 | 28 |
| Ibb | -0.57 | -0.08 | 5.11 | 4.76 | 5.33 | 18 |
| Sa'adah | -1.42 | -0.29 | 4.79 | 4.06 | 5.48 | 14 |
| AL-Baida | -2.11 | -0.51 | 3.08 | 1.65 | 3.76 | 10 |
| Ad-dala | -6 | -0.52 | 8.22 | 4.71 | 10.71 | 9 |
| Hadramout | -7.85 | -2.02 | 8.92 | 2.98 | 10.83 | 28 |
| Lahj | -11.48 | -1.63 | 9.96 | 2.5 | 13.98 | 14 |
| Sana'a | -12 | -2.04 | 6.04 | -0.29 | 11.71 | 18 |
| AL-Mahwit | -13.34 | -2.66 | 6.74 | 0.08 | 13.42 | 8 |
| Amran | -16.35 | -2.96 | 5.46 | -4.13 | 12.22 | 19 |
| Aden | -20.4 | -1.59 | 14.34 | -3.82 | 16.59 | 8 |
| Mareb | -20.94 | -1.07 | 6.63 | -5 | 15.94 | 12 |
| AL-Jawf | -21.38 | -1.7 | 3.62 | -6.9 | 14.48 | 12 |
| Abyan | -23.31 | -3.65 | 11.28 | -4.86 | 18.45 | 10 |
| Shabwh | -27.28 | -3.69 | 7.47 | -10 | 17.29 | 16 |
| Sana'a City | -87.88 | -1.3 | 5.17 | -69.04 | 18.83 | 6 |

Source: Staff estimates
Notes:

1. Variables are regressed as deviations from relevant group means.
2. Negative sign means that targeting differential (TD) is against the poor. The poor get less than the non-poor.
3. Coefficient values (TDs) are in absolute units of 1000 Rials. For example, the second data row says that at the governorate level, the poor receive 10,060 Rials less than the non-poor.
4. N is the number of observations (districts/governorates) available for the regression.
5. Intra-governorate allocation of expenditures across districts offsets some of the anti-poor bias observed at the governorate level. Four of the 20 governorates (Taiz, Al-Hodeidah, Ibb and Hajjaah), accounting for about 40 percent of Yemen's population, allocate more to the poor

[^29]than the non-poor, or, allocate equal amount. The worst five governorates in this respect are Shabwah, Amran, Sanaa, Lahj and Hadramout ${ }^{55}$.
27. Analyses of public transfer programs in other countries also show that inter-regional targeting is often less pro-poor than intra-regional targeting (Alderman 2002 for Albania; Galasso and Ravallion 2005 for Bangladesh) which turns out to be the case in our analysis. Van de Walle (2002) assessed the incidence and targeting effectiveness of Yemen's safety net and poverty programs and arrived at a similar conclusion.

Figure A.8. 3: Targeting Differentials by Governorate (in thousand Rials)


Source: Staff estimates

[^30]
## Box A.8. 4: Explaining the Targeting Differentials: North-South and Urban-Rural Dimensions

Interesting insights emerge when the targeting differentials are analyzed from the North-South and rural-urban frames of reference. The Targeting differential is far more pronounced across the North-South dimension than the rura-urban dimension. While the North has bias neither for nor against the poor, the South has significantly anti-poor bias in per-capita allocation of expenditures.
North-South Dimension Historical factors could help explain this pronounced targeting differential along the North-South dimension. North and south Yemen were united into a single state - the Republic of Yemen - on 22 May 1990. This replaced the Yemen Arab Republic (YAR) in the north and the People's Democratic Republic of Yemen (PDRY) in the south. The South had lesser poverty at the time of unification compared to the North. We find that only 28.2 percent of the erstwhile PDRY's population was poor on the basis of the UBN index constructed for 1994 compared to 41.6 percent of the erstwhile YAR. However, public expenditure allocations for 2004 reveal that per-capita allocation to the South (YR 10,270) is nearly twice as much as that of the North (YR 4970). This could be due to the higher number of civil servants in the South that were inherited from the PDRY. Moreover, population is more dispersed raising the cost of public service delivery. Only about 2.64 million Yemenis (18 percent of total) live in the South (Table 4).

Figure 4 - UBN Index: North and South Yemen


Figure 5 - UBN Index: Urban and Rural Yemen


Source: Staff estimates based on Dept. of Statistics (Census 1994) Data.
Note: The boxes contain the middle $50 \%$ of the data - the upper and lower hinges of the box indicates the $75^{\text {th }}$ and $25^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the data. The line in a box indicates the median value and when it is not equidistant from the hinges, the data is skewed. The whiskers at the ends of the vertical lines indicate upper and lower adjacent values that are the extreme data points within 1.5 times the Interquartile Range of the nearer quartile.The points outside the ends of the whiskers are outliers. The UBN index across districts here is population-weighted.

Per-capita allocation of expenditure is significantly anti-poor in the south. The poor get YR 2020 per capita as against YR 13,510 that the non-poor receive. In the North, however, where $87 \%$ of the total poor live, per-capita allocation is neutral between the poor and the non-poor. The main reason for the observed anti-poor bias of expenditures at the national level can be traced to the anti-poor allocations in the South.

Table A.8. 3: Summary Results of the Regression Analysis by North-South and Rural-Urban Districts

|  | Targeting Differential | t-ratio | Per-capita expenditure allocations |  |  | N | HCR | Population (in millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  |  | Total | Poor | Non-poor |  |  |  |
| National | -6.29 | -3.09 | 5.94 | 2.12 | 8.41 | 287 | 39.2 | 14.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inter-group | -39.64 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Intra-group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Yemen | -0.19 | -0.11 | 4.97 | 4.86 | 5.05 | 198 | 41.6 | 11.74 |
| South Yemen | -11.49 | -3.39 | 10.27 | 2.02 | 13.51 | 89 | 28.2 | 2.64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inter-group | 2.17 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Intra-group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | -9.50 | -3.20 | 5.73 | -0.98 | 8.51 | 51 | 29.3 | 5.07 |
| Rural | -7.97 | -5.25 | 6.06 | 1.64 | 9.61 | 236 | 44.5 | 9.31 |

Source: Staff estimates
Note: Targeting differential and per capita allocations in thousands of Rials.
28. Kanbur (2003) discusses the empirical and normative significance of such decompositions. It is suggested, based on a literature review, that whichever decomposition is done, it turns out that empirically, for gender (two groups) and race (usually less than five groups), the between group component is less than 15 percent. For space, it depends on how disaggregated a grouping is possible. ${ }^{56}$ However, our results, based on spatial disaggregations, conclude otherwise ${ }^{57}$. Table 2 presents the relative contributions of between and within governorate components to the national targeting differential. The between-governorate component accounts for 87.5 percent of the national targeting differential. Thus, most of the anti-poor bias occurs at the level of allocations to the governorates.
29. It is instructive to look at the targeting differential along North-South and Urban-Rural dimensions. The North (capitalist) and South (socialist) Yemen had very different economic systems which were merged at the time of unification. Further, since two-thirds of Yemenis live in rural districts, it is also useful to investigate whether targeting differential has any urbanrural bias (Box 3).
30. While the results clearly indicate an anti-poor bias at the governorate level, it is not obvious why this is so. It will be worthwhile to know the decision making process behind the allocation of government expenditures to governorates and districts. In Yemen's case, there are several reasons that potentially explain the seemingly anti-poor bias of inter-governorate allocations. Big city infrastructure costs, lobbying, high cost of provision of public services in hilly governorates with dispersed population and bogus wage bills are among the factors that may explain some of this bias.
31. At the intra-governorate level, it is not surprising that some governorates are better at pro - poor allocation, since there is no uniformity and capacity varies across governorates. Since most of the spending at the governorate level is the de-concentrated line ministry spending, analysis and decomposition at the ministry level expenditures may offer better explanations.
32. The national government presumably has more control on redirecting resources to the poor governorates (relative to influencing targeting within governorates) and hence possesses greater leverage on influencing the national targeting differential through the inter-governorate targeting differential. To the extent that the observed inequity in governorate level expenditure allocation captures more than the higher cost of provision of services in inaccessible regions, Yemen could improve targeting efficiency of public expenditures.

[^31]
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## AnNex 1: Decomposition of the National Poor-Area Targeting Differential

Decomposition: $\hat{T}^{D}=S^{P} \hat{T}^{P}+\Sigma S_{j} \hat{T}_{j}$

## Targeting differentials ${ }^{a}$ Weights ${ }^{b}$

Inter-district $\quad \hat{T}^{D} \equiv \frac{\Sigma \Sigma\left(G_{i j}-G\right)\left(H_{i j}-H\right) f_{i j}}{\sum \Sigma\left(H_{i j}-H\right)^{2} f_{i j}} \quad-1$

$$
\hat{T}^{P} \equiv \frac{\Sigma M_{j}\left(G_{j}-G\right)\left(H_{j}-H\right) f_{j}}{\Sigma M_{j}\left(H_{j}-H\right)^{2} f_{j}} S^{P} \equiv \frac{\Sigma M_{j}\left(H_{j}-H\right)^{2} f_{j}}{\Sigma \Sigma\left(H_{i j}-H\right)^{2} f_{i j}}
$$

Intra-governorate $\hat{T}_{j} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M_{i}}\left(G_{i j}-G_{j}\right)\left(H_{i j}-H_{j}\right) f_{i j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M_{j}}\left(H_{i j}-H_{j}\right)^{2} f_{i j}} S_{j} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M_{j}}\left(H_{i j}-H_{j}\right)^{2} f_{i j}}{\sum \Sigma\left(H_{i j}-H\right)^{2} f_{i j}}$

Notes: $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is public expenditure percapita in the ith district of the j th governorate. The mean for that governorate is $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{j}}$, and the national mean is G . Governorate j contains $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ districts. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the Unmet Basic Needs (UBN) Index (used as a proxy for the head count ratio of consumption poverty) in the ith district of governorate j , with governorate mean $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and national mean $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ refers to the population of the ith district of the jth governorate and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ refers to the population of governorate j . Indexing of the summations is only given when there is any ambiguity.
a. Regression coefficients of public spending on the UBN indices across geographic areas
b. Shares of the geographic variance of unmet basic needs.

Based on: Ravallion 2000.

## ANNEX 2: UBN INDEX BY GOVERNORATE

| Governorate | UBN Index |
| :--- | :--- |
| Abyan |  |
| Ad-dala | 30.8 |
| Aden | 41.6 |
| AL- Baida | 11.0 |
| AL--Hodeidah | 31.9 |
| AL-Jawf | 53.0 |
| AL-Mahrah | 50.8 |
| AL-Mahwit | 48.5 |
| Amran | 50.1 |
| Dhamar | 41.4 |
| Hadramout | 47.8 |
| Hajjah | 24.3 |
| lbb | 51.2 |
| Lahj | 38.5 |
| Mareb | 35.0 |
| Sa'adah | 44.5 |
| Sana'a | 48.5 |
| Shabwh | 47.2 |
| Taiz | 36.0 |
| Sana'a City | 33.2 |
| YEMEN | 15.5 |

Source: Department of Statistics (Census 1994)

Annex 9: Construction of Social Accounting Matrix


|  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-wood and its produspaper and printinc $A$-oil refineries |  |  |  |  | emical and fertilia-rubber industry |  | A-non-metallic | tal and iron prodi |
| 1 | A-Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | A-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | A-Food |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | A-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | A-textile and clothes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | A-leather and its products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | A-wood and its product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | A-paper and printing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | A-oil refineries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | A-chemical and fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | A-rubber industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A-non-metallic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | A-metal and iron products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | A-electrical and medical equipments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | A-transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | A-furniture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | A-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | A-Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | A-trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | A-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | A-Transport, Storage \& Communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | A-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | A-Real Estate \& Business Serv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | A-Other services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | A-Public administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | C-Agriculture | 44 | 47 |  | 243 | 119 | 185 |  |
| 2 | C-Mining and quarrying | 0 | 0 | 288,413 | 60 | 95 | 935 | 667 |
| 3 | C-Food | 4 | 26 | 11 | 51 |  |  | 2 |
| 4 | C-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $C$-textile and clothes | 4 | 4 |  | 2 | 142 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | $C$-leather and its products |  |  |  | 0 | 17 |  |  |
| 7 | $C$-wood and its product | 2,395 | 57 |  | 22 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 8 | $C$-paper and printing | 2 | 5,379 | 82 | 51 | 31 | 875 | 126 |
| 9 | C-oil refineries | 84 | 32 | 76,248 | 118 | 98 | 512 | 184 |
| 10 | C-chemical and fertilizer | 34 | 165 | 3,724 | 1,277 | 1,489 | 33 | 65 |
| 11 | C-rubber industry | 276 | 2 | 255 | 59 | 780 | 62 | 36 |
| 12 | $C$-non-metallic | 16 | 9 | 5,405 | 56 |  | 6,426 | 1,150 |
| 13 | $C$-metal and iron products | 15 |  |  | 0 | 134 | 132 | 4,920 |
| 14 | C-electrical and medical equipments | 5 | 4 | 65 | 12 | 12 | 27 | 39 |
| 15 | C-transportation | 3 | 2 | 115 | 3 | 12 | 55 | 18 |
| 16 | C-furniture | 23 | 0 | 6,428 | 16 | 7 | 197 | 8 |
| 17 | C-Electricity, Water and Gas | 6 | 50 | 8,336 | 35 | 30 | 332 | 429 |
| 18 | C-Construction | 31 | 11 | 3,178 | 60 | 306 | 1,536 | 686 |
| 19 | C-trade | 446 | 447 | 53,184 | 1,629 | 2,984 | 1,585 | 1,287 |
| 20 | C-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  | 3,037 |  | 21 |  |  |
| 21 | C-Transport, Storage \& Communications | 204 | 161 | 23,895 | 176 | 548 | 2,474 | 1,447 |
| 22 | C-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate | 9 | 7 | 1,348 | 30 | 115 | 1,371 | 815 |
| 23 | C-Real Estate \& Business Serv. | 9 | 31 | 1,300 | 5 | 159 | 31 | 146 |
| 24 | $C$-Other services | 3 | 2 | 84 | 3 | 20 | 46 | 94 |
| 25 | C-Public administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paid-Public-Urban | 21 | 141 | 1,893 | 28 |  | 715 |  |


| A-Transport, Storage \& Com |  | Busines: A-Other servicesPublic administrat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Food |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-textile and clothes |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-leather and its products |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-wood and its product |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-paper and printing |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-oil refineries |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-chemical and fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-rubber industry |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-non-metallic |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-metal and iron products |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-electrical and medical equipments |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-transportation |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-furniture |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-trade |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Transport, Storage \& Communications |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Real Estate \& Business Serv. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-Public administration |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-Agriculture | 153 |  |  | 586 | 14,033 |
| C-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  | O |  |
| C-Food |  |  |  | 315 | 9,134 |
| $C$-beverage and tobacco | 224 |  | 3 | 5 | 127 |
| C-textile and clothes | 19 |  | 1 | 313 | 4,096 |
| $C$-leather and its products |  |  |  | 211 | 954 |
| $C$-wood and its product | 15 |  | 5 | 24 | 1,596 |
| $C$-paper and printing | 2.426 | 581 | 527 | 1,685 | 4,274 |
| $C$-oil refineries | 58,900 | 36 | 332 | 7,052 | 21,561 |
| $C$-chemical and fertilizer | 1,040 |  | 433 | 6,534 | 1,869 |
| C-rubber industry | 13,991 | 16 | 70 | 308 | 41 |
| $C$-non-metallic |  | 1 | 1,931 | 59 | 18,097 |
| $C$-metal and iron products |  |  |  | 165 | 6,961 |
| $C$-electrical and medical equipments | 1,141 |  | 139 | 967 | 124 |
| C-transportation | 7,547 | 4 | 142 | 935 | 413 |
| C-furniture | 241 | 8 | 12 | 791 | 455 |
| C-Electricity, Water and Gas | 1,067 | 46 | 40 | 804 | 2,266 |
| C-Construction | 12,698 | 583 | 232 | 6,225 | 15,666 |
| C-trade | 68,250 | 73 | 1,594 | 22,507 | 11,326 |
| C-Restaurants and Hotels | 6,994 | 86 |  | 174 | 12,641 |
| C-Transport, Storage \& Communications | 71,164 | 848 | 5,960 | 9,358 | 177,737 |
| C-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate | 2,314 | 5.766 | 339 | 1,149 | 4,097 |
| C-Real Estate \& Business Serv. | 8,261 | 196 | 1,116 | 2,355 | 14,390 |
| $C$-Other services | 484 | 51 | 2,649 | 5,341 | 1,238 |
| C-Public administration |  |  |  |  | 17,977 |
| Paid-Public-Urban | 6,864 | 3,332 | 68 | 3,308 | 139,747 |





| A-Agriculture |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  |  |
| A-Food |  |  |  |  |
| A-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  |
| A-textile and clothes |  |  |  |  |
| A-leather and its products |  |  |  |  |
| A-wood and its product |  |  |  |  |
| A-paper and printing |  |  |  |  |
| A-oil refineries |  |  |  |  |
| A-chemical and fertilizer |  |  |  |  |
| A-rubber industry |  |  |  |  |
| A-non-metallic |  |  |  |  |
| A-metal and iron products |  |  |  |  |
| A-electrical and medical equipments |  |  |  |  |
| A-transportation |  |  |  |  |
| A-furniture |  |  |  |  |
| A-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  |  |
| A-Construction |  |  |  |  |
| A-trade |  |  |  |  |
| A-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  |  |
| A-Transport, Storage \& Communications | 607,754 95,374 |  |  |  |
| A-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  |  |  |
| A-Real Estate \& Business Serv. | 133,059 |  |  |  |
| A-Other services | 70,908 |  |  |  |
| A-Public administration |  |  |  | 656,813 |
| C-Agriculture |  |  |  |  |
| C-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  |  |
| C-Food |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  |
| C-textile and clothes |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-leather and its products |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-wood and its product |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-paper and printing |  |  |  |  |
| C-oil refineries |  |  |  |  |
| C-chemical and fertilizer |  |  |  |  |
| C-rubber industry |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-non-metallic |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-metal and iron products |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-electrical and medical equipments |  |  |  |  |
| C-transportation |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-furniture |  |  |  |  |
| C-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  |  |
| C-Construction |  |  |  |  |
| C-trade |  |  |  |  |
| C-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  |  |
| C-Transport, Storage \& Communications |  |  |  |  |
| C-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  |  |  |
| C-Real Estate \& Business Serv. |  |  |  |  |
| $C$-Other services |  |  |  |  |
| C-Public administration |  |  |  |  |
| Paid-Public-Urban |  |  |  |  |




| or Yemen | Capital account 1 | 2 | Rest of World 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residual } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saving-Investmen- Stock-variations |  | Row |  |  |
| A-Agriculture |  |  |  |  | 601.714 |
| A-Mining and quarrying |  |  |  |  | 1,454,669 |
| A-Food |  |  |  |  | 188,621 |
| A-beverage and tobacco |  |  |  |  | 30.427 |
| A-textile and clothes |  |  |  |  | 19.703 |
| A-leather and its products |  |  |  |  | 4,160 |
| A-wood and its product |  |  |  |  | 10,216 |
| A-paper and printing |  |  |  |  | 16.827 |
| A-oil refineries |  |  |  |  | 490,293 |
| A-chemical and fertilizer |  |  |  |  | 6,369 |
| A-rubber industry |  |  |  |  | 12.486 |
| A-non-metallic |  |  |  |  | 59.914 |
| A-metal and iron products |  |  |  |  | 38,093 |
| A-electrical and medical equipments |  |  |  |  | 2.340 |
| A-transportation |  |  |  |  | 956 |
| A-furniture |  |  |  |  | 8,390 |
| A-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  |  | 68.771 |
| A-Construction |  |  |  |  | 371.738 |
| A-trade |  |  |  |  | 462,004 |
| A-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  |  | 82,704 |
| A-Transport, Storage \& Communications |  |  |  |  | 609.445 |
| A-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  |  |  | 95,374 |
| A-Real Estate \& Business Serv. |  |  |  |  | 133,059 |
| A-Other services |  |  |  | O | 112,600 |
| A-Public administration |  |  |  |  | 656,813 |
| C-Agriculture |  |  | 28,351 | 7,248 | 916,602 |
| C-Mining and quarrying |  | 75,538 | 1,051,730 |  | 1,456,102 |
| C-Food |  | 52,172 | 24,256 | 2,106 | 324,324 |
| C-beverage and tobacco |  |  | 4,585 | 351 | 47.171 |
| C-textile and clothes |  |  | 267 | 465 | 54.799 |
| C-leather and its products |  | 2,072 | 1,377 | 32 | 8,510 |
| $C$-wood and its product |  |  | 26 | 118 | 31.750 |
| $C$-paper and printing |  | 23,115 | 784 | 30 | 60.548 |
| $C$-oil refineries |  | 48,799 | 78,789 | -16,072 | 477,331 |
| $C$-chemical and fertilizer |  | 80 | 4,472 | 382 | 80,255 |
| C-rubber industry |  |  | 547 | 156 | 39.974 |
| c-non-metallic |  |  | 793 | 72 | 98,019 |
| C-metal and iron products | 120,000 | 9,510 | 30,449 | 215 | 217.161 |
| $c$-electrical and medical equipments | 97,208 |  | 1,215 | 8 | 103,817 |
| C-transportation | 71,116 |  | 455 | 66 | 90.513 |
| C-furniture | 14,782 |  | 678 | 15 | 29,801 |
| C-Electricity, Water and Gas |  |  |  | 350 | 51.274 |
| C-Construction | 275,346 |  |  | 0 | 367.714 |
| C-trade |  |  |  | -1,012 | 462,004 |
| C-Restaurants and Hotels |  |  |  | 497 | 82,704 |
| C-Transport, Storage \& Communications |  |  | 75,057 | 3,113 | 832,899 |
| C-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate |  |  | 7,201 |  | 116.975 |
| C-Real Estate \& Business Serv. |  |  |  | 936 | 133,059 |
| C-Other services |  |  |  | 443 | 70,908 |

AnNex 10. Updates of the Input/Output Table for 2005

## I IO AND SAM TABLES FOR THE YEMENI ECONOMY

## I TECHNICAL NOTE

1. Properties and advantages of IO and SAM tables are well established in the recent literature on policy simulation modeling. They provide a comprehensive and consistent data foundation. An IO table describes quantitatively the sectoral transactions taking place in an economy during a specified period of time, generally one year. It consists of row and column accounts that represent the inter-industry transactions, payments to factors of production, expenditures of households and government, investment by commodity, and transactions with the rest of the world. Analytically, IO tables are widely used in the analysis of production, employment, trade, as well as issues of more recent interest, such as energy and the environment. Statistically, IO tables function as frameworks for data compilation that permit the statistician to check for quality and consistency.
2. On the other side, a SAM is a comprehensive, economy-wide data framework, typically representing the economy of a nation. A SAM is formatted as a square matrix in which each account is represented by a row and a column. Each cell shows the payment from the account of its column to the account of its row. Thus, the incomes of an account appear along its row and its expenditures along its column. The underlying principle of double-entry accounting requires that, for each account in the SAM, total revenue (row total) equals total expenditure (column total). A SAM may be viewed as an input-output table that has been extended to cover the full circular flow of incomes, linking GDP on the supply side, represented by incomes accruing to factors and the government (indirect taxes net of subsidies), to GDP on the demand side, defined as the sum of domestic and foreign final demands for the nation's outputs net of imports. This requires that the database include comprehensive budgets for domestic institutions (government and nongovernment) and the rest of the world (the current account of the balance of payments). In addition, compared to what is implied by the IO structure, a SAM typically has a more disaggregated treatment of factors, domestic non-government institutions (households and enterprises), indirect taxes, and subsidies. For each institution, these budgets cover all current revenues and expenditures, including savings. Given the requirements of the SAM structure, it is necessary that the institutional budgets be consistent in terms of disaggregations and values, both in their interface with the accounts that appear in the IO table (for example, the sum of household consumption demands for any commodity in the more detailed SAM must equal the value for aggregate household consumption demand for the same commodity in the IO table) and internally (for example, a transfer payment from the government to a household must appear with the same value and account name in the government and household budgets).
3. The construction of SAMs is driven by three motivations. First, it displays information in a manner that exhibits the structure of an economy in an illuminating way.

Secondly, by exposing inconsistencies between data from different sources, it contributes to improvements in the database. Thirdly, it provides all or at least a major part of the data needed for different types of models, most importantly fixed-price SAM-multiplier models and Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) models (Round 2003, pp. 301-302).
4. This technical note describes the estimation methods and the data used in the updating of the IO table and the development of a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) for Yemen for the year 2005.

## II The IO Table

5. Drawing on the IO table for 2002 for Yemen and available data for 2005, we estimated a new IO table for the year 2005. The method used for the updating consists of simultaneous applications of RAS for account balancing. The data used for the updating has been drown from various sources including national accounts, government budget, household survey, industrial survey, trade statistics, and many other non-published documents produced by the Central Statistics Organization. The following list presents some of the key data sources used in the updating of the IO table for Yemen.

Central Statistical Organization (2006). "Household Budget Survey April 2005 March 2006".
Central Statistical Organization (2006). "Results of the Labor Force Survey for the year 2001",
Central Statistical Organization (2006). "Statistical Year Book"
Central Bank of Yemen (2006). "Annual Report 2005"
T.G. . «An Input Output Table for Yemen 2002 ».

IMF (2006). "Republic of Yemen: Statistical Appendix".
COMTRADE DATABASE 2006
6. The final version of the Yemeni IO table developed in this study includes 25 activities. Table 1 presents a listing of these sectors. The methodology for updating the IO table for the year 2005 is documented in the next sections.

Table A.10. 2: Sectoral Dimension of the Yemeni IO Table for the year 2004

| Sector <br> Classification | Sector identification |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sector 1 | Agriculture |
| Sector 2 | Mining and inquiring |
| Sector 3 | Food |
| Sector 4 | Beverage and tobacco |
| Sector 5 | Textile and clothes |
| Sector 6 | Leather and its products |
| Sector 7 | Wood and its products |
| Sector 8 | Paper and printing |
| Sector 9 | Oil refineries |
| Sector 10 | Chemical and fertilizer |
| Sector 11 | Rubber industry |
| Sector 12 | Non-metallic |
| Sector 13 | Metal and iron products |
| Sector 14 | Electrical and medical equipments |
| Sector 15 | Transportation |
| Sector 16 | Furniture |
| Sector 17 | Electricity, Water and Gas |
| Sector 18 | Construction |
| Sector 19 | Trade |
| Sector 20 | Restaurants and Hotels |
| Sector 21 | Transport, Storage \& Communications |
| Sector 22 | Financial Institutions |
| Sector 23 | Real Estate \& Business Service |
| Sector 24 | Other services |
| Sector 25 | Public administration |

The updating of the IO table for Yemen has been realized following three major steps. In the first step, we have used aggregated data related to the national accounts to build an aggregated IO table with only one production sector. The data covers total public and private final consumption, gross output, GDP, intermediate consumption, wages, exports and imports, indirect taxes and subsidies, investment and changes in stocks, and import duties. These data are provided by the CSO. The aggregated IO table has served as a coherent accounting framework for the sectoral disaggregation carried out throughout this work. At a first stage, we have used the sectoral data on the production value, the intermediates consumption and the value added provided by the CSO for a given number of sectors. These sectors are the following: agriculture, oil and gas, other mining and quarrying, oil refining, other manufacturing, electricity water and gas, construction, wholesale and retail sale, restaurants and hotels, maintenance, transport and storage, communications, financial institutions, real estate and business services, social and personal services, government services, private non-profit services, and household services. For sectors listed in the original IO table for the year 2002 (manufacturing sectors) and no data is available on their respective GDP in 2005, we used the sectoral shares in the total manufacturing sector (excluding oil refining) drawn from the IO 2002. Once a table on sectoral GDP and intermediate consumption is established, we update the IO 2002 by imposing the new vectors on sectoral GDP and intermediate consumption in addition to data covering sectoral exports and imports, final private and public demand by commodity, investment by commodity, and taxes and subsidies. Data on sectoral exports and imports are drawn directly from COMTRADE database. Private and public final demand vectors as well as sectoral investment are calculated in two steps. In the first one, we used initial IO table for 2002 to derive coefficients on sectoral repartition of private and public final consumption as well as sectoral investment. In the second step, these coefficients are imposed to the respective value of total final consumptions and investment for 2005. A new vector of sectoral final demand and investment are than estimated. For indirect taxes, subsidies and tariff, the corresponding rates are calculated using the IO 2002. These rates are than imposed on total output at basic prices (for indirect taxes and subsidies) and on imports for tariffs. The total of indirect taxes, subsidies, and duties revenues calculated using these coefficients are different than those figuring in the national accounts. An adjustment was made on these rates to produce the exact values as reported in the national accounts. When the new IO table is estimated, many inconsistencies appears, mainly in the form of high variations (growth or decline) in stock variations. To avoid this shortcoming, an additional adjustment was applied on the estimated IO table. The adjustment of the IO table is a continuous process meant to ensure a greater representation of the Yemeni economy at the level of sectoral technologies as well as macro-economic balances. In this context, since the sectoral technologies for the 2005 IO table are, in the first place, estimated on the basis of the 2002 IO table, some adjustments have been introduced to improve this table given the structural changes in the economy, mainly as result of higher oil prices, reduction of subsidies on energy and food products... Thus, all the detailed information provided by the CSO on the cost
composition of certain activities, or even some enterprises have been used to refine the values of the technical coefficients, estimated earlier. Some balancing procedures have been applied whenever new specific data have been used, with the aim to maintain the equilibrium of the table, especially at the macro-economic level. In fact, the estimation of the value added and its distribution between its different components for the manufacturing sectors as well as the estimation of the total of intermediate consumption and its distribution between the different products and services of the sectors of the IO table has been enriched by additional sectoral data as well as at firm level. Similarly, we have proceeded to a new estimation of the commodity-structure of the household consumption using the results of the latest survey on income and expenses of Yemeni households.

## III The SOCIAL ACCOUNTING MATRIX

Table A.10. 3: The Accounts in our SAM for Yemen and its Structure

| Account acronym | Production activities. Paying (in its column) for inputs used and paid (in its row) <br> for outputs produced. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ACT | Commodities. Paying the supply side (including production activities and imports) <br> and paid by the demand side (domestically and for exports) |
| COM | Labor. Paying the institutions to which the labor belongs and paid by the <br> activities in which it is employed). |
| LAB $^{*}$ | Capital (other than capital owned abroad). Paying to the institutions that own the <br> capital and paid by the activities in which it is employed |
| CAP* $^{\text {Households** }}$Domestic non-government institutions (including households, enterprises, and <br> private non-profit institutions). Payments made cover consumption, transfers, <br> direct taxes, and savings. Payments received consist of factor incomes and <br> transfers from other institutions. |  |
| GOV**Government. Payments made cover consumption, transfers, and savings. <br> Payments received consist of factor incomes, transfers, and taxes. |  |
| Duties | Duties on imports from the rest of the world |
| Indirect taxes paid by activities and commodities and forwarded to the |  |
| government. |  |

*Note: Production factors.
**Institutions.
7. In addition to the IO table, building the SAM requires the following additional data: transfers between domestic institutions and the rest of the world (balance of payments), taxes paid by households to government, and transfers from government to households. Savings of the different institutions is residual. Various data sources are used for building the SAM. They include the balance of payments and government budget provided by CSO. The most important task in building the SAM was the disaggregaqtion of labor into four categories and households into two categories. For households, final consumption by commodity and household category are estimated using the result of the latest household survey on income and expenditures. Concerning labor disaggregation, the same survey is
used to estimate the number of workers by category and sector as well as their respective yearly wages.

Table A.10. 4: Price Vectors for the Following Simulations

|  | Price vector with new SAM for oil subsidy shock | Price vector with new SAM for tariff reduction | Price vector with new SAM for GST implementation | Price vector with new SAM for Civil Service Wage increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assumptions: weighted \% increase applied, $250 \%$ to oil refineries and $33 \%$ of Gas increase ( $100 \%$ ) | Assumptions: overall average tariff reduction for commodities of $-7.4 \%$ was implemented (excluding public administration) | Assumptions: flat increase of $10 \%$ on commodities was implemented (excluding public administration) | Assumptions: Paid Public Urban and Paid Public Rural sectors were shocked by $50 \%$ |
| C-Agriculture | 21.8\% | -16.0\% | 21.6\% | 2.0\% |
| C-Mining and quarrying | 28.8\% | -16.2\% | 21.9\% | 2.6\% |
| C-Food | 13.9\% | -14.3\% | 19.3\% | 1.2\% |
| C-beverage and tobacco | 11.0\% | -14.1\% | 19.1\% | 1.1\% |
| C-textile and clothes | 7.7\% | -11.2\% | 15.1\% | 1.2\% |
| C-leather and its products | 6.7\% | -12.7\% | 17.2\% | 0.9\% |
| C-wood and its product | 4.0\% | -10.2\% | 13.8\% | 0.6\% |
| C-paper and printing | 2.7\% | -9.7\% | 13.1\% | 0.6\% |
| C-oil refineries | 250.0\% | -16.5\% | 22.2\% | 1.5\% |
| C-chemical and fertilizer | 1.4\% | -8.3\% | 11.2\% | 0.2\% |
| C-rubber industry | 4.5\% | -10.9\% | 14.7\% | 0.6\% |
| C-non-metallic | 9.5\% | -13.2\% | 17.8\% | 1.9\% |
| C-metal and iron products | 2.5\% | -9.0\% | 12.2\% | 0.4\% |
| C-electrical and medical equipments | 0.6\% | -7.7\% | 10.4\% | 0.1\% |
| C-transportation | 0.3\% | -7.5\% | 10.2\% | 0.2\% |
| C-furniture | 5.3\% | -10.2\% | 13.8\% | 0.5\% |
| C-Electricity, Water and Gas | 99.6\% | -20.9\% | 28.3\% | 7.6\% |
| C-Construction | 15.3\% | -18.6\% | 25.1\% | 2.3\% |
| C-trade | 12.5\% | -14.4\% | 19.5\% | 1.8\% |
| C-Restaurants and Hotels | 17.1\% | -18.3\% | 24.7\% | 2.1\% |
| C-Transport, Storage \& Communications | 27.2\% | -15.2\% | 20.5\% | 2.1\% |
| C-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate | 10.4\% | -13.9\% | 18.8\% | 3.6\% |
| C-Real Estate \& Business Serv. | 12.7\% | -14.9\% | 20.2\% | 2.1\% |
| C-Other services | 29.7\% | -19.5\% | 26.3\% | 5.3\% |
| C-Public administration | 29.3\% | -13.8\% | 18.7\% | 25.3\% |
| Paid-Public-Urban | 8.8\% | -13.2\% | 17.9\% | 54.4\% |
| Paid-Public-Rural | 8.2\% | -12.7\% | 17.2\% | 53.6\% |
| Paid-Private-Urban | 8.8\% | -13.2\% | 17.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Paid-Private-Rural | 8.2\% | -12.7\% | 17.2\% | 3.6\% |
| Capital | 4.2\% | -6.5\% | 8.7\% | 1.9\% |
| Urban Households | 8.8\% | -13.2\% | 17.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Rural Households | 8.2\% | -12.7\% | 17.1\% | 3.5\% |
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## AIDS model and Estimation method

Our purpose is to estimate income and price elasticities for Yemen. In order to do so, we cstimate the almost ideal demand system of Deaton and Mullbauer (1980a), AIDS. The main properties of this model is first that it bclongs to the class of exactly aggregable demand system and flexible functional forms. Such forms have been define by Deaton and Mullbauer (1980b) as an approximation of the indirect(direct) utility function or the cost function "by some specific functional form with enough parameters to be regarded as a reasonable approximation to whatever the true unknown function may $b \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$. Another advantage is that Engel curves are linear in terms of log expenditure, but non-linear in terms of expenditure itself. It admits not only substitutes goods but also complementary ones (unlike the Linear Expenditure System, LES, which allows only for substitutions). Moreover it satisfies the adding up of budget sharcs, homogeneity and symmetry conditions. We could have considered a Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System (QUAIDS) model, which a.llows goods to be non-linear in terms of log-expenditure as well. But, we have no reason to believe that such property is necessary in our context, given that households agricultural products, food and beverage constitute over $50 \%$ of their total expenditure.

The Almost Ideal Demand System (AIDS) has an indirect utility function of the form (2.1i) with $\ln g(p)=\alpha_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} \ln p_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \gamma_{k j} \ln p_{k} \ln p_{j}$ and $b(p)=\prod_{k} p^{\beta_{k}}$; so that the lng of the cost function and budget share are given by :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln C\left(p, u^{h}\right)_{A I D S}=\ln g(p)+u^{h} b(p)  \tag{1}\\
\omega_{j, A I D S}=\alpha_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{j k} \ln p_{k}+\beta_{j} \ln \left(\frac{x^{h}}{g(p)}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\gamma_{i j}=\gamma_{j i}, \sum_{k} \gamma_{j k}=0, \sum_{j} \beta j=0, \sum_{j} \alpha_{j}=1
\end{gather*}
$$

The influence of household's social and demographic characteristics such as number of males, females, children, and living region are included with the translation method (Pollak and Wales, 1992), where $\alpha_{j}=\sum_{s=0}^{S} \delta_{s j} X_{s}, \delta_{s j}$ are parameters and $\delta_{0 j}$ is the constant of the equation.

Each budget share equation for each month $t$ can be written in a general compact notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j t}^{i}=\dot{\phi}_{j}^{i}\left(z_{t}, \beta\right)+v_{t}+\varepsilon_{j t}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^32]$$
j=1, \ldots, K ; \quad t=1, \ldots, M
$$
where $\omega_{j t}^{i}$ is the $k_{t}$-commodity budget share vector of the $j^{t} h$ good, $N_{t}$ is the number of households per month $t$ with $\sum_{t=1}^{M} N_{t}=N, z_{t}$ is the $N_{t} \times\left(S+\frac{K+(K+1)}{2}+1\right)$ matrix of explanatory variables and $\beta$ the parameters to be estimated. $\phi$ denotes the relationship between the budget share $\omega$ and $z$ which is nonlinear in our model. Since we have monthly time series of cross sections, temporal heterogeneity can be modelled using specific time effects. Therefore structural changes over month are additively included with the T-dimensional time effect vector $v_{t}$. Time effects are assumed to be fixed, since we have, only 12 different surveys. In addition we suppose that vece $\sim\left(0,\left(\Sigma_{K} \otimes I_{N}\right)\right)$ where $\varepsilon \equiv\left[\varepsilon_{2} \ldots \varepsilon_{K}\right]$, which means that disturbance are uncorrelated across individuals and periods but correlated across commodities. The additivity restriction implies the singularity of $\Sigma$, therefore, one of the K demand equatiou is dropped form the system. The remaining (K-1) are estimated by generalized non-linear lenst square (GLS), and the parameters of the last equation are recovered using the parameters constraints of each model. The estimation method has the s.dvantage of not requiring the normality of the errors, which would be a very strong assumption in this context. On the other hand, we arc considering a demand system for 16 goods of which at least two have a large degree of censoring. The proportion of households not spending on transport, storage and communication services is as high as $54 \%$ in our sample. $43 \%$ of the households do not spend on oil refineries, table 5. We are also modelling demand for durable and non-durable goods at the same time, despite the fact that the estimation of demand for durable commodities requires to take into chooice and timing decision. Several methods have been proposed to deal with consumer choice, corner solutions and frequency problerns (Weliwita et al., 2003; Meyerhoefer et al., 2005) but they generally focus on demand system for specific goods and deal with a limited number of commodities (less than 10). We have to acknowledge the limitations of our econometric specification and we will pay a special attention to the goodness of fit of our model. Of course, in a second step it would be necessary to overcome these problems by looking at the demand for specific goods.

The estimation program of this nonlinear SUR model was written is TSP, unfortunately this program does not allow us to take into account the structure of the survey. Standards errors of the following preliminary results are only corrected for heteroscedasticity but not adjusted for inlragroup correlation (cluster).

## The Data

The data set and price indices are provided by the World Bank. They come from a crosssectional household budget survey, conducted in Yemen between April 2005 and March 2006. The data covers 21 governorates and is representative of the entire population, in rural and urban areas.

Information about consumption expenditure is recorded each month for different households and has been grouped into 25 categories $^{2}$. However, price indices are not available

[^33]for 3 groups (wood, expenses related to the use of public administration and cultural services) and the CPI for transport charges related to a private car/motor bike and/or private bicycle is a proxy. Moreover some commodities such as furniture, paper and leather are not consumed by all households, so considering their related expenses would introduce problems of selection bias that we du nol want to deal with in the present study. Therefore, in order to reduce any type of bias due to a decision making process, we include these expenditures in one of the other groups ${ }^{3}$. If the old category aggregated has a price index, the price indcx of the new category is calculated as the weighted average of each component, with weights equal to the median expenditure shares of each item ${ }^{4}$. Thus our estimated demand system consists of 16 commodities (see table 1 ).

Prices vary across governorates and months. We conducted (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) pairwise tests of the hypothesis that the prices are drawn form the same common distribution across periods and states. For all commodities, we reject the assumption of homogenous distribution across governorates. Across periods, for all items except Food, Transport/storage/communication and Beverage/tobacco, wc could not reject the null at $5 \%$ level for the months of April and May, May and June, i.e between those months, the prices are not significatively different. There is only a significant variation of prices for electricity, watcr and gas between June and July, October and November. Agricultural prices on the other hand, significantly change between June and July, October and November, November and December; December and January. Prices for Oil refineries, Real Estate/business arc not significantly different between July and August for the former commodity, August and September, February and March for the latter group.

## Elasticities

We calculate expenditures elasticities as well as Marshallian and Hicksian price elasticities at the sample mean and at the population weighted mean ${ }^{5}$ (table 1). The expenditure clasticity $\eta_{i}=\beta_{i} / \bar{w}_{i}+1$; captures the percentage variation of the demand for the $i^{\text {th }}$ good due to a $1 \%$ change in total expenditure. Demand of a "normal" good, should increase when the total expenditure increases ( $\eta_{i}>0$ ). If the variation is proportionally greater than the income growth $\left(\eta_{i}>1\right)$ and the good is qualified as a luxurious item.

The Marshallian price elasticity gives the "apparent" percentage variation of demand for the $i^{\text {th }}$ good due to a $1 \%$ variation of either its own price (own price elasticity $\epsilon_{i i}$ ) or the price of the $j^{t} h$ good (cross price elasticity $\epsilon_{i j}$ ). This is an "apparent" change because it is a mixture of the income and substitution effect, namely a decrease in the price of the $j^{\text {th }}$ good would, keeping the quantity purchased the same, would increase the amount

[^34]available for consumption of other items and decrease demand of all substitute goods.
$\epsilon_{i j}=\frac{\gamma_{i j}}{\bar{w}_{i}}-\frac{\delta_{0}}{\bar{w}_{i}}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{j}+\sum_{k} \log \left(\bar{p}_{k}\right) \gamma_{j k}\right)-\delta_{i j}$
where $\delta_{i j}-1$ if $i \ddot{=} j$ and 0 otherwise, $\bar{\alpha}_{j}=\sum_{g=0}^{S} \delta_{3 j} \bar{X}_{s}$.
The Hicks-compensated cross and own price elasticities $\epsilon_{i j}^{n}$, identify the "pure" price effect once income has been compensated for the price increase. They are given by the Slustky equation : $\varepsilon_{i j}^{h}=\epsilon_{i j}+\eta_{i} w_{j}$.
If $\epsilon_{i j}^{h}<0$ goods $i$ and $j$ are complementary.
If $\epsilon_{i j}^{h}>0$ goods i and j are substitutes.
If $\epsilon_{i j}^{h}=0$ goods $i$ and $j$ are independent.

## Estimation

In a first step, we experimented different types of socio-demographic variables in addition to the time dummies. Model 1 included the household size, the region of residence, the type of dwelling unit, the gender of the head of the household and information about idiosyncratic shocks ${ }^{6}$. We conducted ordinary linear least squares regression for each item to identify outlier observations ${ }^{7}$. In our second model, we decompose the size of the household by number of children, adult male and female between 15 and 60 years old and seniors (older than 60 y ). We also introduce 4 additional demographic variables, i.e the employment status of the head of the household, the proportion of members without formal education and the main source of water supply and lighting. While considering these characteristics considerably improved the overall fitting, unfortunately, it decreased the sample size because we can only keep in the analysis household with information about all the variables. Therefore, estimation of this model was drawn on 11'233 observation and no influential outlier was detected in the preliminaries OLS estimations. Table 1 shows the population weighted and sample average of all the regressors.

In a second step we estimated the second model on the rural and urban sample separately, table 5. Unfortunately, the goodness of fit in the urban sample was not good enough to consider the estimations results reliable. Thercfore, we will focus on the pooled sample.

## Goodness of fit

Table 2 shows our generalized least squares estimates of the nonlinear AIDS demand system for the pooled sample. Standard errors are corrected for heteroscedasticity and non-significant parameters are highlighted in green. We provide different statistics to analyze the empirical adequacy for each model (table 5). The correlation between observed and predicted share is the highest ( $\geq 0.45$ ) for Electricity, ges and water; Food; Real Estates and Business services; Textile and Agriculture, in both models but sightly higher

[^35]in the second one. We also looked at the number of predicted negative shares as a proportion of observed positive values. Surprisingly, despite the fact that we have not imposed a nonnegativity constraint (Golan et al., 2001), the rate of negative prediction is below $1.5 \%$ for 11 out of the 16 commodities considered in the pooled sample, and above $10 \%$ for electrical equipment ( $11.5 \%$ ), households services ( $24.8 \%$ ) and metal ( $26.1 \%$ ). The predictive power for the categories with the largest degree of censoring is pretty good, with only $1.1 \%$ of negative share for transport services and $8 \%$ for oil refineries.

The Pearson's correlation test is used to identify any systematic bias in the prediction of the budget share. We find a positive/negative significant correlation between residuals and predictions of the following 7/16 categories : Trasport, storage and communication; Electricity, gas and water; Fond; Household services, repair and unclassified; Metal and Iron products; Personal services and Agricultural. There is no significant bias in the estimation of oil refineries. If we take into account the three statistics we have used, we would say that, we need to be particularly careful with the estimation results for Households services, repair and unclassified; Metal and Iron Products because they have the worst score for all "tests".

## Demographic effects

Table 3 shows the percentage share variation of each consumption group due to a change in one exogenous variable ${ }^{8}$, while holding all other regressors constant. A household headed by a woman spend respectively $6.6,0.28$ and 1.25 percentage points less on Beverage and tobacco, Oil refineries and Agriculture than one headed by a men. The same pattern is observed in a household where the head is away for more than a month and more than six month. Significantly less is spend on Beverage and tobacco and agriculture and the longer the head is away, more important is the effect. The share of a rural household on Transport services, Oil refineries, Food and Agriculture, is respectively 0.19, 0.54, 2.31 and 4.47 percentage points ( pp ) sig. higher than that of a comparable urban household. Relatively less is spend on Restaurant and Hotels (-1.9pp) and Real Estatc -4.54. Households living in a. wood/iron shelter, hut, or tent, spend significantly more in particular on Beverage $(+2.62 p p)$, Food ( +1.94 pp ) and Oil refineries ( +0.77 pp ). $\Lambda$ n idiosyncratic shock decreases the consumption on Real Estate, Household services ${ }^{9}$ and Metal by 1.46, -0.74 and -3.49 percentage points respectively. On the other hand, they spend much more on personal services ( +10.16 ) and it has no significant consequence on consumption of all other goods. Compared to all these former characteristics, a change in the household size has only a small effect; the budget share of all commodities vary by less than 1 percentage point in absolute terms, even if the size is decomposed by age groups and gender.

## Elasticities

Table 4 show the estimated Hicks-compensated price elasticities and expenditures elasticities, calculated at population weighted means (pwm). Standard errors are calculated

[^36]with the delta method and non-significant elasticities are highlighted in green. All the own elasticities have the expected sign and are significant. at. $5 \%$ level. As expected, the goods with low budget share means have relatively high own price elasticities (>1). In particular, if the price of transport services and oil refineries increase by $1 \%$, their demand would respectively decreased by $1.27 \%$ and $1.24 \%$. But, the highest price effect are found for Mctal and non-metal. The estimated expenditure elasticity, calculated at the population weighted mean, is less than 1 for Electricity, Food, Chemical, Rubber and Agriculture. Again, Metal and non-metal have the highest expenditure elasticities. For transport services and oil refineries, if total expenditure increases by $1 \%$, their demand would increase by $1.02 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ respectively.

## Preliminary conclusions

So far we have attempt to model demand for 16 groups, most of which are durable but only 2 are relatively highly censored. The main consequence of having ignored those characteristics seem to translate in high price and expenditure elasticities. In a future work, we could reduce the number of commodities and focus specifically on some demand for some goods such as demand for energy in Yemen.
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| Pooled sample | Summary Statistics |  |  | Table 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | 95\% CI |  | Population Weighted |  |  |
| Expenditare shars of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport, storage and corr. | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.018 |
| Beverage and Tobacco**** | 0.125 | 0.123 | 0.127 | 0.125 | 0.121 | 0.130 |
| Chemical and Ferilizer | 0.043 | 0.042 | 0.0 | 0.043 | 0.041 | 0.044 |
| Electrical and medical equif | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| Electriciry, Water and Gas | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.038 | 0.042 |
| Food | 0.117 | 0.116 | 0.11 | 0.135 | 0.130 | 0.140 |
| Houschold services, repair : | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| Metal and Iron Poducts | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| Non-meralic* | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.015 |
| Oil Refineries | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.015 | 0.017 |
| Personal Services** | 0.083 | 0.081 | 0.085 | 0.081 | 0.077 | 0.085 |
| Real Estatc and Business St | 0.101 | 0.099 | 0.103 | 0.072 | 0.069 | 0.075 |
| Restaurants and hotels | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.042 | 0.046 | 0.053 |
| Rubber industry | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
| Texriles and irs Products*** | 0.057 | 0.056 | 0.058 | 0.056 | 0.053 | 0.059 |
| Agriculturc | 0.285 | 0.283 | 0.288 | 0.321 | 0.314 | 0.327 |
| Total expenditure | 8936 | 8700.555 | 9170 | 7957 | 7603.873 | 8311 |
| Households with Idiosyncr: | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Number of giris <15y | 1.652 | 1.623 | 1.681 | 1.670 | 1.629 | 1.712 |
| Number of boys < 15y | 1.776 | 1.746 | 1.806 | 1.805 | 1.757 | 1.853 |
| Number of female 15y-60y | 2.150 | 2.124 | 2.177 | 2.039 | 2.003 | 2.076 |
| Number of male 15y-60y | 2.049 | 2.021 | 2.077 | 1.917 | 1.875 | 1.960 |
| Number of adults > $60 y$ | 0.347 | 0.336 | 0.358 | 0.350 | 0.333 | 0.367 |
| Household is rural | 0.414393 | 0.405 | 0.423512 | 0.756 | 0.749 | 0.762 |
| Household head is female | 0.069 | 0.064 | 0.074 | 0.077 | 0.067 | 0.087 |
| Household head is uncrupl | 0.245 | 0.237 | 0.253 | 0.224 | 0.210 | 0.237 |
| \% members without formal | 0.391 | 0.387 | 0.395 | 0.441 | 0.432 | 0.451 |
| Dwelling unit is: wood/iror | 0.050 | 0.046 | 0.054 | 0.077 | 0.062 | 0.091 |
| Main source of water supply is: (rff: outside house, tranport: on foot, riding animals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| public network | 0.404 | 0.395 | 0.413 | 0.228 | 0.208 | 0.248 |
| cooperative/private ntw | 0.132 | 0.125 | 0.138 | 0.153 | 0.129 | 0.177 |
| outside house, transport: pt | 0.154 | 0.147 | 0.161 | 0.105 | 0.091 | 0.120 |
| Main source of Lighting is: (nff: kerosene or gas lamp) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| public network | 0.618 | 0.609 | 0.627 | 0.405 | 0.375 | 0.435 |
| cooperative/private ntw, he | 0.069 | 0.065 | 0.074 | 0.064 | 0.050 | 0.079 |
| other | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.009 | . 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.010 |
| Number of morths whe head is avay from home (ref never away or less chan a 1 montb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| between 1 \& 6 months | 0.098 | 0.092 | 0.104 | 0.111 | 0.101 | 0.122 |
| between 6 \& 12 months | 0.073 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.090 | 0.080 | 0.101 |
| Sample size (number of ob: 11'214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Poolcos sample

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


Sample size: 11214 houscholds. ${ }^{* * *}$ Non-significant paraineters ate highlighted in green.
*Divelling unit is: wood/iron shelter, hut or tent **private ntw includes cooperative ntw (and house generator for lighting)

# Technical Annex to the Report on : <br> Demand System Estimation for Yemen by Gabriela Flores and Jaya Krishnakumar ${ }^{1}$ 

Compensating Variation and Consumer Surplus<br>(May 2007)

Compensating Variation (CV) is the change in cost/expenditure necessary, say in period $t=1$, to preserve the utility level of the reference period $t=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V=C\left(u^{0}, p^{1}\right)-C\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(u, p^{*}\right)=e\left(u, p^{*}\right)$ and $e\left(u, p^{*}\right)$ is the equivalent expenditure, i.e the minimum expenditure a household would have to incur at prices $p^{*}$ to attain the level of utility $u$. A positive CV indicates a welfare loss.

From Figure 1 it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \cong \frac{\partial C\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right)}{\partial p^{\prime}} * d p \approx q^{\prime} * d p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of two goods

$$
\begin{align*}
& =q_{1}\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right) * d p_{1}+q_{2}\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right) * d p_{2}  \tag{3}\\
& =h_{1}\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right) * d p_{1}+h_{2}\left(u^{0}, p^{0}\right) * d p_{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

This is an approximate calculation using derivatives whereas using equivalent expenditures correspond to an exact calculation method.

Now, consider changes in welfare due to abolishment of a subsidy in a given market, say oil taken as good 1, denoted by $j=1$. Let us once again take the simple case of only two goods, so that eliminating the subsidy will have a direct impact in the first market, due to changes in demand for good 1 because of price increase :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{1}=q_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{1}} *\left(p_{1}^{1}-p_{1}^{0}\right)=q_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the loss in welfare for consumers is given by change in consumer surplus given by the shaded area in Figure 2:

$$
\Delta C S_{1}=q^{1} * \Delta p+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1}^{1}-q_{1}^{0}\right) \Delta p=q^{1} * \Delta p+\frac{1}{2} \Delta q \Delta p
$$

But there is a gain in subsidy given by $q^{0} * \triangle p$. The triangle CAD in Figure 1 is referred to as dead-weight loss that gets eliminated when the subsidy is abolished. Moreover, we have to

[^37]take into account the indirect demand effect on oil. Therefore, demand for good 1 given the new price $p_{1}$ is given by :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}^{1} & =q_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1}+a * \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1}  \tag{6}\\
q_{1}^{1} & =q_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1}+\frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial p_{1}}\left(a * \Delta p_{1}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& =q_{1}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{2}} * \Delta p_{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $a$ is a coefficient based on the input-output matrix and $\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial p_{2}}=\frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial p_{1}}$ due to the symmetry of compensated demand derivatives. Therefore, if we use compensated derivatives, then applying 'input-output' coefficients on prices of other goods (i.e. $\triangle p_{2}=a * \Delta p_{1}$ ) should reflect the new demand taking account of both direct and indirect (intermediate) effects. In this way when we calculate CV, it will also incorporate the indirect effect.

What would be the consequences in the second market? The price of good 2 also increases but changes in $p_{2}$ may be proportionately less than $\triangle p_{1}$. Hence both own price effect and substitution effect operate in this market :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}^{1}=q_{2}^{0}+\frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial p_{2}} * \Delta p_{2}+\frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial p_{1}} * \Delta p_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this good, changes in consumer surplus or welfare loss should also be calculated and added to the previous one to get the total welfare loss of consumers, given by : $\triangle C S=\triangle C S_{1}+\triangle C S_{2}$ and this should be approximately the same as CV (if new demand levels are calculated using compensated derivatives).

Finally, note that the new demand for each good should be calculated from the new budget shares estimated by demand system estimation and applied to equivalent expenditure :

$$
q_{i}^{1}=\frac{w_{i}^{1} * C\left(u^{0}, p^{1}\right)}{p_{i}^{1}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $w^{1}$ is the budget share given new prices $p^{1}$ and $C\left(u^{0}, p^{1}\right)$ is the equivalent expenditure necessary to maintain the utility level of the reference period at new prices $p^{1}$.

Jaya Krishnakumar, Gabriela Flores

## Annex 12: National Accounts Data

| Yemen: Nominal GDP, Expenditure Side | lions of | meni Rials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998* |
| 1-Final Consumption Expenditure | 113,237 | 160,683 | 191,237 | 257,695 | 306,016 | 508,353 | 640,681 | 748,736 | 838,855 |
| public final consumption | 23,003 | 29,418 | 37,685 | 46,048 | 58,847 | 74,865 | 104,177 | 137,563 | 140,173 |
| private final consumption | 90,234 | 131,265 | 153,552 | 211,647 | 247,169 | 433,488 | 536,504 | 611,173 | 698,682 |
| 2-Gross Investment | 18,406 | 24,334 | 43,026 | 48,249 | 64,390 | 112,713 | 170,879 | 217,786 | 203,181 |
| Gross Fixed capital formation | 15,074 | 20,955 | 38,157 | 41,627 | 58,267 | 106,227 | 158,016 | 188,237 | 194,526 |
| Change in stock | 3,332 | 3,379 | 4,869 | 6,622 | 6,123 | 6,486 | 12,863 | 29,549 | 8,655 |
| 3-Balance of goods \& services | -7,330 | -33,860 | -42,062 | -66,927 | -56,127 | -101,493 | -66,208 | -77,864 | -177,127 |
| Exports of good \& services | 18,060 | 19,416 | 22,513 | 32,833 | 42,091 | 115,957 | 285,587 | 320,822 | 228,025 |
| exports of goods | 16,197 | 16,861 | 18,164 | 26,218 | 34,002 | 99,947 | 262,407 | 293,983 | 204,327 |
| Exports of services | 1,863 | 2,555 | 4,349 | 6,615 | 8,089 | 16,010 | 23,180 | 26,839 | 23,698 |
| Imports of goods \& services | 25,390 | 53,276 | 64,575 | 99,760 | 98,218 | 217,450 | 351,795 | 398,686 | 405,152 |
| imports of goods | 17,400 | 40,997 | 48,184 | 77,975 | 77,102 | 172,660 | 285,035 | 311,112 | 311,002 |
| Imports of services | 7,990 | 12,279 | 16,391 | 21,785 | 21,116 | 44,790 | 66,760 | 87,574 | 94,150 |
| 4-GDP at market prices ( $1+2+3$ ) | 124,313 | 151,157 | 192,201 | 239,017 | 314,279 | 519,573 | 745,352 | 888,658 | 864,909 |
| Non - Oil GDP | 106,903 | 136,036 | 178,835 | 226,389 | 296,544 | 450,461 | 552,581 | 641,884 | 723,859 |
| 5-Consumption of fixed capital | 5,276 | 8,615 | 11,927 | 15,245 | 19,884 | 28,845 | 42,080 | 57,346 | 78,691 |
| 6-indirect Taxes (net) | 8,496 | 11,452 | 12,211 | 14,374 | 14,299 | 31,118 | 7,550 | -19,705 | -1,364 |
| indirect Taxes | 8,496 | 11,452 | 12,211 | 14,374 | 14,299 | 31,118 | 48,192 | 55,096 | 50,905 |
| Subsidies |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,642 | 74,801 | 52,269 |
| 7-GDP at factor cost (4-6) | 115,817 | 139,705 | 179,990 | 224,643 | 299,980 | 488,455 | 737,802 | 908,363 | 866,273 |
| 8-Domestic Demand (1+2) | 131,643 | 185,017 | 234,263 | 305,944 | 370,406 | 621,066 | 811,560 | 966,522 | 1,042,036 |
| 9-Domestic Saving (4-1) | 11,076 | -9,526 | 964 | -18,678 | 8,263 | 11,220 | 104,671 | 139,922 | 26,054 |
| 10-Net Factor income from abroad | -940 | -5,832 | -5,011 | -4,901 | -6,388 | -22,535 | -65,067 | -69,775 | -37,763 |
| Labor income from abroad | 4,380 | 166 | 146 | 85 | 85 | 908 | 7,688 | 8,201 | 9,358 |
| Labor income to abroad | -960 | -318 | -273 | -258 | -333 | -1,269 | -390 | -279 | -324 |
| Investment income from abroad | 440 | 354 | 311 | 180 | 180 | 1,929 | 5,519 | 8,998 | 9,376 |
| Investment income to abroad | -4,800 | -6,034 | -5,195 | -4,908 | -6,320 | -24,103 | -77,884 | -86,695 | -56,173 |
| 11-GNP at market prices ( $4+10$ ) | 123,373 | 145,325 | 187,190 | 234,116 | 307,891 | 497,038 | 680,285 | 818,883 | 827,146 |
| 12-Net current transfers from abroad | 16,900 | 26,992 | 33,260 | 49,114 | 84,569 | 128,774 | 138,664 | 150,524 | 171,646 |
| Received | 17,180 | 29,100 | 34,972 | 51,168 | 86,441 | 132,458 | 146,080 | 155,817 | 177,803 |
| Payment | -280 | -2,108 | -1,712 | -2,054 | -1,872 | -3,684 | -7,416 | -5,293 | -6,157 |
| 13-National Disposable Incom ( $11+12-5$ ) | 134,997 | 163,702 | 208,523 | 267,985 | 372,576 | 596,967 | 776,869 | 912,061 | 920,101 |
| 14-National Saving from NDI (13-1) | 21,760 | 3,019 | 17,286 | 10,290 | 66,560 | 88,614 | 136,188 | 163,325 | 81,246 |
| 15-National Saving from GNP (11-1) | 10,136 | -15,358 | -4,047 | -23,579 | 1,875 | -11,315 | 39,604 | 70,147 | -11,709 |
| * Provisional Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Provisional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** Comp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GDP at Producers Prices, Nominal Prices, millions of Yemeni rials

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 29,877 | 33,017 | 44,126 | 50,550 | 69,888 | 100,840 | 122,492 | 140,839 | 168,677 |
| Agriculture \& Foresty (with out qat) | 18,483 | 19,542 | 28,175 | 31,173 | 42,755 | 64,818 | 76,996 | 88,347 | 109,875 |
| Qat | 10,598 | 12,092 | 14,050 | 17,312 | 21,319 | 27,190 | 34,796 | 39,236 | 44,188 |
| Fishing | 796 | 1,383 | 1,901 | 2,065 | 5,814 | 8,832 | 10,700 | 13,256 | 14,614 |
| 2-Mining and Quarrying | 17,660 | 15,393 | 13,753 | 13,162 | 18,488 | 70,166 | 194,046 | 248,088 | 142,461 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 250 | 272 | 387 | 534 | 753 | 1,054 | 1,275 | 1,314 | 1,411 |
| Oil and Gas | 17,410 | 15,121 | 13,366 | 12,628 | 17,735 | 69,112 | 192,771 | 246,774 | 141,050 |
| 3-Manufacturing | 9,795 | 13,114 | 19,005 | 26,360 | 39,798 | 65,860 | 63,406 | 67,182 | 68,293 |
| Manufacturing | 9,292 | 12,576 | 18,461 | 25,814 | 39,300 | 64,095 | 58,677 | 63,762 | 62,948 |
| Oil Refining | 503 | 538 | 544 | 546 | 498 | 1,765 | 4,729 | 3,420 | 5,345 |
| 4-Electricity, Water and Gas | 1,378 | 1,916 | 1,988 | 2,102 | 2,028 | 3,117 | 4,858 | 5,903 | 7,839 |
| 5-Construction | 3,437 | 4,790 | 7,268 | 8,137 | 12,571 | 20,224 | 32,647 | 46,164 | 50,703 |
| 6-Wholesale and Retail Trade, Rest. \& Ho- | 10,418 | 15,285 | 19,702 | 27,743 | 39,773 | 65,298 | 79,829 | 91,982 | 107,644 |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 7,884 | 11,369 | 14,706 | 19,986 | 27,723 | 45,593 | 56,585 | 64,692 | 77,973 |
| Restaurants and Hotels | 1,166 | 2,017 | 2,650 | 4,192 | 7,007 | 11,568 | 13,727 | 17,105 | 18,518 |
| Maintenance | 1,368 | 1,899 | 2,346 | 3,565 | 5,043 | 8,137 | 9,517 | 10,185 | 11,153 |
| 7-Transport, Storage \& Communications | 16,951 | 20,923 | 27,962 | 38,148 | 44,781 | 63,986 | 80,801 | 103,709 | 115,148 |
| Transportand Storage | 16,003 | 19,755 | 26,404 | 36,037 | 42,294 | 60,444 | 76,196 | 98,003 | 108,611 |
| Communications | 948 | 1,168 | 1,558 | 2,111 | 2,487 | 3,542 | 4,605 | 5,706 | 6,537 |
| 8-Financial Institutions \& Real Estate | 11,876 | 18,222 | 22,836 | 28,984 | 38,745 | 58,156 | 74,566 | 80,540 | 97,958 |
| Financial Institutions | 4,174 | 7,858 | 8,832 | 11,164 | 16,078 | 21,541 | 21,429 | 23,536 | 33,602 |
| Real Estate \& Business Serv. | 7,702 | 10,364 | 14,004 | 17,820 | 22,667 | 36,615 | 53,137 | 57,004 | 64,356 |
| 9-Community Social \& Personal serv. | 1,390 | 1,821 | 2,179 | 3,026 | 4,141 | 6,173 | 6,987 | 8,032 | 9,054 |
| Total Of Industries | 102,782 | 124,481 | 158,819 | 198,212 | 270,213 | 453,820 | 659,632 | 792,439 | 767,777 |
| B-Producers Of Government Services | 19,934 | 25,445 | 32,762 | 41,286 | 49,184 | 66,951 | 77,708 | 90,755 | 101,966 |
| C-Household Sector (houses's Servecies) | 300 | 310 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 |
| D-Producers Of Private Non -Profit serv. | 73 | 101 | 132 | 165 | 203 | 243 | 287 | 335 | 388 |
| E- Import Duties | 4,122 | 6,119 | 6,769 | 7,680 | 7,475 | 16,989 | 26,251 | 25,785 | 24,080 |
| Less: Imputed Bank Services Charge | -2,898 | -5,299 | -6,601 | -8,666 | -13,156 | -18,810 | -18,916 | -21,056 | -29,712 |
| G D P At Market Prices | 124,313 | 151,157 | 192,201 | 239,017 | 314,279 | 519,573 | 745,352 | 888,658 | 864,909 |
| Non-Oil GDP | 106,903 | 136,036 | 178,835 | 226,389 | 296,544 | 450,461 | 552,581 | 641,884 | 723,859 |
| * Provisional Actual <br> ** Provisional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Annex 13: Market Share Analysis

## Constant Market Share Analysis: Yemen

|  | Manufacturing |  | Non-Oil |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total Exports |
| Total Increase | 100.0 | $\mathbf{0 5}$ | 100.0 |
| World Trade Effect | 13.1 | 32.1 | 100.0 |
| Composition Effect | -0.8 | -13.6 | 43.6 |
| Competitiveness | 87.6 | 81.5 | -41.9 |

## Annex 14: Calculation of Welfare Gains

Figure 1


Figure 2



[^0]:    1 This design varies significantly from that used for the HBS 1998, where the study community was allocated in 12 strata, 7 of which were urban and 5 were rural, and each stratum consisted of several governorates, except the capital (Sana'a) and the city of Aden, which were considered two distinct urban strata. The sample size of the HBS 1998 was set at 15120 households drawn from 420 PSUs, cluster size was set at 18 households.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The HBS measured all children younger than 5 years throughout the year. In the last month of fieldwork (March 2006,) all household members were measured.

[^2]:    3 This design varies significantly from that used for the HBS 1998, where the study community was allocated in 12 strata, 7 of which were urban and 5 were rural, and each stratum consisted of several governorates, except the capital (Sana'a) and the city of Aden, which were considered two distinct urban strata. The sample size of the HBS 1998 was set at 15120 households drawn from 420 PSUs, cluster size was set at 18 households.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Annex __ for definitions and calculations of household income, expenditure and consumption

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Unit values are obtained by dividing the reported value by its corresponding quantity.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The author thanks P. Lanjouw from the World Bank for his guidance during all stages of this work, T. G. Srinivasan for providing access to the census and HBS data, and the staff of the Development Research Group at the World Bank, Washington D.C. for their help at the early stage of this work. ${ }^{7}$ This paragraph is drawn from Elbers et. al. (2002b).

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Standardized residuals are calculated using the formula:
    $e^{*}=\frac{e_{c h}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon, c h}}-\left[\frac{1}{H} \sum_{c h} \frac{e_{c h}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon, c h}}\right]$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Among these households, 231,565 households only contain data on dwelling because the houses were not occupied or the household does not have a household head. These households are dropped from later analysis.
    ${ }^{10}$ The description of the design of the HBS is drawn from Godoy and Muñoz (2006).

[^8]:    *sizeinv=1/(1+hh_size); ${ }^{\dagger}$ no location effect.

[^9]:    * nkidsinv=1/(1+nkids); ${ }^{\dagger}$ no location effect.

[^10]:    *sizeinv=1/(1+sizeinv); ${ }^{\dagger}$ No location effect

[^11]:    ${ }^{11}$ The data source is World Health Organization's World Health Report 2006. This figure is believed to be the bed capacity in the public sector. There was not an accurate estimate available at the time of writing this report.
    ${ }^{12}$ Yemen National Health Accounts: Estimate for 2003, National Health Accounts Team, Republic of Yemen, Partners for Health Reformplus, June 2006
    ${ }^{13}$ The data source is United Nations Children's Fund, State of the World Children 2007.
    ${ }^{14}$ Pan Arab Family Health Survey 2003

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ Preker A.S. Nandini Omman, Elizabeth Lule, Deeborah Vazirani, and Ritu Chhabra, Yemen Inequalities in Health, Nutrition and Population, World Bank June 2003
    ${ }^{16}$ The comparability in the definition of "area" between these two HBSs was not clear at the same of writing this section. Additionally, the 1998 HBS looked into only the distribution of health facilities by available data.

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ Quintiles are defined by household expenditure divided by the total number of household members.

[^14]:    ${ }^{18}$ The 2005 HBS did not assess how many minutes it takes to go to a nearby health facility.

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ The 2005 HBS questionnaire did not capture health care costs for chronic disease and disability. Thus, these estimates may be underreported.
    ${ }^{20}$ Official change rate in 2005, US $\$ 1=192$ Yemeni Rials

[^16]:    ${ }^{21}$ Between 1996 and 2002, the Government of Yemen initiated Yemen Drug Action Programme (YEMDAP) and created "Drug Funds" in order to improve availability of medicine of good quality through the public sector. Until the Programme ended in 2002, a marked degree of improvement was indeed achieved. Following the end of "Drug Fund", National Drug Programme was created in the MOPHP to improve availability of drugs in the country. Although both of the programmes were not financially viable or successful, they might have reduced the burden of medicine costs among the household, compared with the time there was no such a programme.
    ${ }^{22}$ The Synthesis Report, The Pharmaceutical Sector in Yemen, Short-term and Long-term Plans for Action (Draft) by Dr. Graham Dukes
    ${ }^{23}$ According to the instruction book of the HBS 2005, it was not quite clear what to be categorized to "other health care expenses." It was possible that some interviewees, in particular, the poorer groups did not bother to disaggregate

[^17]:    ${ }^{24}$ The different report shows a much higher co-payment at the hospital. This could be due to the fact that in both public and private health facilities, the pricing for health services were at ad-hoc basis and not transparent.
    ${ }^{25}$ This was the most recent per capita public health expenditure available for this type of analysis.

[^18]:    ${ }^{26}$ Yemen National Health Accounts: Estimate for 2003, National Health Accounts Team, Republic of Yemen, Partners for Health Reformplus, June 2006

[^19]:    ${ }^{27}$ Military Health Insurance Law was approved that requires contribution rates of 3\% for soldiers and 5\% for civilian officers.
    ${ }^{28}$ GTZ, WHO, World Bank and ILO, Towards a national health insurance in Yemen

[^20]:    ${ }^{29}$ It is not GER because it doesn't include enrollment of other ages (below 6 and above 14); it is not NER for basic education because some of the students registered as enrolled are in secondary schools.
    ${ }^{30}$ Another possible explanation is data error in either or both datasets. It is common to have different enrollment rates depending on the data source.

[^21]:    ${ }^{31}$ The figures refer to poverty estimates for 1998 reported in World Bank Poverty Update (2002a).
    ${ }^{32}$ See World Bank (2002b) - World Bank's most recent Country Assistance Strategy (CAS).
    ${ }^{33}$ Programs under the second phase of the Social Development Fund (SFD) were found to be pro-poor, but the inter-governorate distribution of both Public Work Programs(PWP) and Social Welfare Fund (SWF) allocations showed no signs of pro-poor targeting.

[^22]:    ${ }^{34}$ Allen et al 2005 states a variety of reasons for which decentralization holds the promise of poverty alleviation and improved service delivery - a) Poverty is largely a rural phenomenon (in 1998 - half the rural population was poor compared to a third of urban population. 83 percent of Yemen's poor live in rural areas. b) The level of education has a strong correlation with poverty incidence, depth and severity. 87 percent of the poor are either illiterate or did not complete primary school. c) Geographic location significantly affects the risk of being poor. d) Children and women living in rural areas without access to education and health services rank highest among those people vulnerable to poverty. e) Almost all social programs are urban-biased and tend to benefit the better off.
    ${ }^{35}$ The case for fiscal decentralization in Yemen draws upon Allen et al, 2005.
    ${ }^{36}$ The rural-urban divide holds in other social sectors as well - While 80 percent of the urban population has access to health care services, only 25 percent of rural population is provided with health care. About 33 percent of the rural population has access to safe drinking water compared to 87 percent in urban areas.
    ${ }^{37}$ This box is based on Allen et al, 2005. We gratefully acknowledge the comments and clarifications provided by Richard Allen, Lead Public Sector Specialist and Monali Chowdhurie-Aziz, Senior Public Sector Specialist, MNSED, World Bank.
    ${ }^{38}$ In contrast to devolution, which is a transfer of authority for decision-making, finance and management to quasi-autonomous units of local government, deconcentration is a redistribution of decision making authority among different levels of the central government and is often considered the weakest form of decentralization and is used most frequently in unitary states. Within this structure, however, policies and opportunities for local input vary: deconcentration can merely shift responsibilities from central government officials in the capital city to those working in regions, provinces or districts, or it can create strong field administration or local administrative capacity under the supervision of central government ministries.
    ${ }^{39}$ The deconcentrated units are regarded as local organs and act as the administrative, technical, and executive organs of the local council and operate under the councils' supervision.

[^23]:    ${ }^{40}$ See Alderman (2002) for an analysis of distributional and targeting outcomes of social expenditures in Albania on the basis of a household survey.

[^24]:    ${ }^{41}$ All the public expenditure data used in the paper refer to fiscal allocations and not actual expenditures.

[^25]:    ${ }^{42}$ Under the Civil Service Modernization Project being financed by a credit of $\$ 11.3$ million from the World Bank, the Ministry of Finance has embarked on a project to design and implement and Accounting and Financial Management Information System (AFMIS). The AFMIS is expected to provide the full range of functionalities for budget preparation, execution, accounting and financial reporting. This is a tool and its effectiveness is dependent on a clear and coherent strategy for budget reform and fiscal decentralization. See, Allen et al. 2005 .
    ${ }^{43}$ However, the regressions were based on 287 districts only since expenditure data for two districts was missing. The districts with missing budget data are Attur in Hajja governorate and Khawlann in Sanaa governorate.
    ${ }^{44}$ Ideally, one would prefer to use a poverty index closer in time to the expenditure data as the assumption is that the current spending allocations are determined by the most recent information available regarding the poverty status of geographic areas. However, the Republic of Yemen came into existence as recently as 1991 and 1994 is the only year for which census data is available. The poverty estimates based on the household budget survey of 1998 can not be used since they are not representative at the district level.
    ${ }^{45}$ There have been several reclassifications and reassignment of territory in the intermittent period 1994-04. The district level concordance has been constructed after getting the original Arabic data files translated with some assistance from the Department of Statistics. However, for some governorates like Sanaa city, a near-perfect concordance was created, for several other governorates, the mapping may not be perfect. In cases, where a district that retained its name over the decade, may have lost territory in which case the expenditure is overstated. On the other hand, for districts that have gained territory expenditure would be understated.
    ${ }^{46}$ It is however, not possible to construct an exact concordance between the country classification in 1994 and 2004 without further assistance from GOY - There were 20 governorates and 289 districts in 1994 compared to 22 governorates and 332 districts in 2004.

[^26]:    ${ }^{47}$ Since the time of Yemen unification, the Central Statistical Organization (CSO) has implemented three household surveys: (i) the 1992 Household Budget Survey (HBS-92), (ii) the 1998 Household Budget Survey (HBS-98), and (iii) the 1999 National Poverty Phenomenon Survey (NPS-99).
    ${ }^{48}$ The head count ratios for consumption poverty in 1998 have been based on a classification of Yemen into 15 governorates and the UBN index for 1994 is constructed for a classification of Yemen into 20 governorates.
    ${ }^{49}$ See Annex 2 for exact estimates at the governorate level.

[^27]:    ${ }^{50}$ This refers to public expenditure at the decentralized level and accounts for about 10 percent of all public expenditure in Yemen.

[^28]:    ${ }^{51}$ The decomposition of the national targeting differential into inter-governorate and intra-governorate components is exact and the contributions here are reported in percentages to offer magnitudes of relative importance and add up to 100 percent.
    ${ }^{52}$ This refers to equation 2 listed in the earlier section on methodology (Section 2).
    ${ }^{53}$ The estimate of $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{P}}$ is weighted by the number of districts in each governorate. The weighting is done by multiplying all variables by the square root of the number of districts prior to running the regression of the Gjs on the Hjs across governorates. See Ravallion (1999) for details.

[^29]:    ${ }^{54}$ The regression is weighted for the purposes of decomposition of the total targeting failure into between and within governorate components.

[^30]:    ${ }^{55}$ However, these results need to be used with caution because of the statistical significance of the results for some governorates. The coefficients for the inter-governorate targeting differential and those for Amran, Sanaa, Hadramout and Abyan are statistically significant (see Table 3).

[^31]:    ${ }^{56}$ For example, for rural Peru, it requires going below Region, below Province, and below Canton to the Parroquia level (there are 915 of these units), for the between group component still to rise only to 15 percent. See Elbers et al. (2002)
    ${ }^{57}$ There is also a technical question of the extent to which the number of groups in any given classification affects the between groups component. Clearly, if groups are subdivided into further subgroups, the between group component will increase for this reason alone. See Kanbur (2003).

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Address : 40, Bd. du Pont d'Arve, CH-1211, Geneva 4, Switzerland. Email : gabriela. Hores@metri.unige.ch,jaya.krishnakumar@metri.unige.ch

[^33]:    ${ }^{2}$ Transport; Storage and communication; Beverage and tobacco; Chemical and fertilizer; Electrical and medical equipment; Electricity, water and gas; Food; Household services repair and unclassified; Metal and Iron Products; Non-metallic; Oil Refineries; Personal Services; Real Estate and Business

[^34]:    Service; Restaurants and hotels; Rubber industry; Textiles and its Products; Agriculture; furniture; paper; wood; public administration, cultural services, and leather expenses.

    3 Non-metal expenditures includes expenses on furniture, paper and wood. Personal services includes transport charges, expenses related to the risc of public administration and cultural services whereas textile includes leather expenses.
    if the median of a good is equal to zero because only a very few consume such good, we use the median of the positive expenses
    ${ }^{5}$ Population weighted mean talke into account sample weights, stratification and standard errors are corrected for intragroup variation

[^35]:    ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Idiosyncratic shocks have been defined as health problems needing treatment in other countries. Total expenditures for households suffering such events includes the related expenditures. In order to take into eccount only the expenses in Yemen, we have redefined their total cost as expenditures net of charges due to the shock.
    ${ }^{7}$ we regressed equation 3 separately for each commodity

[^36]:    ${ }^{8}$ If the variable is dichotomous, the innpact, is given by the corresponding dummy coefficient multiplied by 100 . If the variable is contimuous, the effect is calculated as an elasticity.
    ${ }^{9}$ This is the only socio-demographic variable that has an impact on this category

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Department of Econometrics, University of Geneva, 40, Bd. du Pont d'Arve, CH-1211, Geneva 4, Switzerland. Email : gabriela.flores@metri.unige.ch,jaya.krishnakumar@metri.unige.ch

